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2. Introduction

Stromboli volcano in the Aeolian archipelago is world famous for its continuous volcanic activity throughout historic times. It is less well known as a source of tsunamis that threaten coasts around the Tyrhenian Sea, and are generated by flank collapses. The last tsunami occurred in 2002, five such events occurred during the last century, and at least four major collapses occurred over the past 13 ka. Useful landslide and tsunami hazard assessment requires a record of past ages, frequencies, mechanisms and magnitudes of collapse events. The aim of this cruise was to determine that record by sampling sediment profiles on the seafloor north of Stromboli where the distal turbidites of proximal landslide masses have been deposited. Next to the stratigraphic succession, we wanted to observe lateral facies variations by an array of drill cores because these are particularly indicative of the dynamic processes. Three seamount complexes exist near Stromboli with bathymetric indication for collapse structures but otherwise practically unknown. Shipboard bathymetric mapping and water (CTD) and rock (dedge) sampling have been employed to characterize collapse structures and magmatic compositions, and search for hydrothermal signs of volcanic activity.

3. Background

Stromboli, in the Aeolian archipelago, is located in the Southern Tyrhenian Sea, a few tens of kilometers offshore from the north coast of Sicily and the Italian peninsula (Fig. 1). The island, with an elevation of 924 m asl, represents the subaerial part of a larger volcanic edifice extending to a maximum water depth of 2,600 m bsl. Stromboli volcano was built during seven main periods of activity covering a time span of about 100 ka, separated by caldera and flank collapses (Fig. 2).

The most striking geomorphological and volcanic structure of the island is the Sciara del Fuoco (SdF; Fig. 1), a horseshoe-shaped collapse scar that occupies the NW sector of the island. The SdF structure is considered the result of at least four flank collapses that occurred in the last 13 ka (Figs. 2, 3; Tibaldi, 2001). The SdF structure continues below sea level (Fig. 1) as a depression bounded by steep walls in continuity with the flanks of the sub-aerial SdF (Kokelaar and Romagnoli, 1995). This structure acts as a collector for the materials involved in the flank instability events, funneling them toward the Stromboli canyon.
a huge erosional channel that originates on the northern Sicilian continental slope. The SdF was the source of the last landslide event of December 2002.

Fig. 2: Ages and stratigraphic profile of Stromboli volcano with the major collapse events from Tibaldi (2001).

Tsunami hazard at Aeolian Islands
More than 60% of Italian population and the majority of productive facilities are concentrated along the coasts of the peninsula and are thus exposed to tsunami risk. Tsunami waves resulting from volcanic eruptions and mass failures (subaerial and submarine landslides) have historically affected the coasts of Italy and in particular of the southern Tyrrenian Sea. Most of tsunamis of the Tyrrenian Sea (seven in the last century) originated from the volcanic islands of Stromboli and Vulcano, and were mainly associated with volcanic landslides (Tinti et al., 1999, 2000; Maramai et al., 2005a, b). The event on 30 December 2002 showed that collapses much smaller than the major events depicted in Fig. 2 can be tsunamigenic. In 2002, landslides with a total volume of about 25–30x10⁶ m³ (Marani et al., 2008a) detached from the NW flank of Stromboli volcano, and resulting tsunami waves up to 10 m high struck the coasts of Stromboli causing severe damage to the Stromboli village. Waves up to 2 m high reached the neighboring islands (Tinti et al., 2005) and further propagated toward the northern coasts of Sicily, weakly affecting the Milazzo harbor 100 km south of Stromboli (Maramai et al., 2005a, b). As a result of the 2002 event the Italian Department of Civil Protection set up a contingency plan in 2015 for a tsunami event at Stromboli that is calibrated on the 2002 scenario which is considered as the most hazardous one (high-frequency, mid-scale event). However, little is yet known about the frequency and magnitudes of past tsunami events. This past record needs to be recovered and investigated in order to be able to also implement emergency plans for larger, less-frequent events.

Assessing the tsunami record through landslide depositional facies
Paleo-tsunami deposits can be found in coastal sediment successions but are commonly incompletely exposed due to the highly erosive environment. Moreover it is difficult to distinguish tsunami deposits from storm deposits (tempestite). Preservation potential is much better in the submarine environment where the deposits of large-scale landslides are commonly associated with deposits of turbidity currents. It is well known that collapse events do not only leave coarse-grained debris avalanche deposits on the seafloor but that the partial mixing of the slide mass with the surrounding seawater can form turbulent density (turbidity) currents charged with fine volcaniclastic sediment (Hampton, 1972; Garcia et al., 1994; Garcia, 1996). These currents can travel and laterally spread for hundreds of kilometers leaving deposits on vast areas of the deep ocean floor (Carey et al., 1998; Piper et al., 1999; Hunt et al., 2014, 2015). Because mass and dispersal characteristics of turbidity current deposits can be considered as a proxy of volume and energy of the landslides (e.g., Hunt et al., 2014, 2015) they can also be considered a proxy of the resulting tsunami waves (e.g., Murty, 2004). Northwest of Stromboli, Marani et al. (2008a, b) and Di Roberto et al.
(2010) have actually documented three submarine deposit facies associated with mass wasting by sidescan sonar and video mapping and sampling: (1) The chaotic, very coarse-grained proximal landslide deposit with breccia mounds is followed, and partly overlain, by (2) a sand to granule size volcaniclastic sediment composed of cross-bedded and massive layers and capped by ripple structures (Fig. 5) that is thought to have been emplaced by cohesionless granular flow. (3) Volcaniclastic turbidites form the distal facies. Marani et al. (2008a, b) observed facies (2) locally on the downstream side of facies (1) mounds but generally the distribution of, and the transitions between, the three facies are poorly known. This also holds for lateral variations in composition because of the limited previous sampling stations. The sediment cores collected during cruise POS 522 will be particularly used to investigate lateral variations in the turbidite deposits.

**Marine tephrochronostratigraphy and compositional correlations**

We also found primary fallout ash layers in the sediment cores that may be derived from stronger explosive eruptions at Stromboli, other Aeolian islands, Etna, Vesuvius or Campi Flegrei. Geochemical correlation (mainly based on glass geochemical compositions) of these ash layers as well as of cryptotephras dispersed in the marine sediments with known and dated tephras on land can provide important time lines for the marine sediment stratigraphy. Di Roberto et al. (2010) analyzed a 1-m-long core collected north of Stromboli and showed that the turbidite deposits can be correlated with compositionally distinct phases in the magmatic evolution of Stromboli over the past ca. 15 ka.

**4. Cruise Narrative**

**4.1 Daily Narrative**

(see station list 4.2 and station map 4.3 below)

**April 10:** At 9:15 the ship left Catania harbor and reached the first work station at Lemetini NE seamount at 20 h to begin the nighttime bathymetric mapping (station 1).

**April 11:** Stations 2 to 6
After a CTD deployment (St. 2) in the morning at Lemetini, we collected the first two cores on the plateau north of Stromboli (St. 3, 4). We quickly faced the difficulties of penetrating near-surface sand layers because after 4 m recovery at St. 3 we got zero penetration and recovery at St. 4. We concluded the day with another CTD (St. 5) and continued mapping (St. 6) at Lemetini NE.

**April 12:** Stations 7 to 12
Coring stations 7 to 11 yielded nothing but a bend pipe because we could not achieve any penetration into the sediments close to the southern rim of the plateau. In the evening we continued mapping (St. 12) at Lemetini NE.

**April 13:** Stations 13 to 16
We tried variations in tube length and impact speed but still had no success with coring at Stations 13 and 14 at the southern rim. Since this time we did not have the scissor system for free-fall entry available which served us very well on cruise POS 513, the possibilities to try for better penetration were limited. We concluded the day with another CTD and mapping at Lemetini SW (St. 15, 16).

**April 14:** Stations 17 to 21
We moved further westward along the south rim of the plateau but found the same conditions at coring stations 17-19 which delivered no recovery. Hence we turned to No-Name E seamount for CTD and mapping (St. 20, 21).

**April 15:** Stations 22 to 24
Moving a bit north off the southern edge of the plateau, we finally got 2.5 m recovery at St. 22 but again none at St. 23. We then took a long leg to map at Alcione seamount (St. 24).

**April 16:** Stations 27 to 31
Finally stations 27-29 on the east side of the plateau and about 10 km from the southern rim gave good core recoveries from 2.5 to 4.5 m. Stations 30 (CTD) and 31 (mapping) took us back to Alcione seamount for the night.

**April 17:** Stations 32 to 37
An E to W traverse of four core stations (32-35) across the central plateau yielded core lengths from 1.6 to 3.1 m, sufficient to capture the Holocene turbidite sequence and to relieve the frustration from the first days. Evening CTD and nighttime mapping again at Alcione (St. 36, 37).

**April 18:** Stations 38 to 42
Another coring traverse across the plateau (St. 38-40) was even more successful than the previous day when yielding 5.8 to 6.7 m recoveries. With such long cores we have good chances to record a number of late Pleistocene events. Evening CTD and nighttime mapping again at Alcione (St. 41, 42).

**April 19:** Stations 43 to 45
During the night we had moved to the eastern rim of the Marsili basin in order to collect two cores in those deep waters (St. 43, 44), each of which gave 2.4 m recovery. For nighttime mapping we returned to Lametini NE seamount (St. 45).

**April 20:** Stations 46 to 49
A northerly E-W traverse of three cores (St. 46-48) across the plateau yielded excellent recoveries of 5.5 to 7.5 m. Nighttime mapping at Lametini SW seamount (St. 49).

**April 21:** Stations 50 to 54
A CTD near Lametini SW (St. 50) was followed by three gravity coring stations. Station 51 immediately south of Lametini NE seamount delivered a 1.8 m core but the other two stations (52, 53) on the western part of the plateau yielded no recovery. Mapping at Lametini SW seamount continued over night (St. 54).

**April 22:** Stations 55 and 56
On this day we cored at two stations far apart. Station 55 at the western slope of the plateau into the Marsili basin achieved no penetration but station 56 in the northeastern Marsili basin recovered 1.8 m core. Overnight took the long transfer to the No-Name seamounts, target of the final work day.

**April 23:** Stations 57 and 58
The final work days were reserved for dredging at the No-Name seamounts. The seamounts are obviously thickly covered in mud so that only dredge station 58 did actually sample hard rock fragments.

**April 24:** Stations 59
The third dredge taken early in the morning again only recovered mud so that by about 9 h we concluded the scientific program and made our way towards Malaga harbor which we entered early morning on April 28. Unloading the same day went smoothly and the scientific crew finally disembarked on the morning of April 29.

Overall, we worked 57 stations during cruise POS 522, including 34 gravity corer deployments of which 21 delivered cores, 8 CTD stations, 12 multibeam bathymetric mappings of three seamount complexes, and 3 barrel dredge tracks. The sediment cores range from 1.5 to 7.5 m lengths yielding a total 73 m core length and are packed full with turbidite and ash layers so that several hundred volcaniclastic layers will have to be analyzed during the continuation of this project.
### 4.2 Station List

**Stationlist POS 522**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time UTC</th>
<th>Station coordinates</th>
<th>Coring parameter</th>
<th>GC= gravity corer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bathysopon</td>
<td>10.04.18</td>
<td>20:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>11.04.18</td>
<td>06:08</td>
<td>39° 00.673</td>
<td>15° 26.445</td>
<td>2350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GC5</td>
<td>11.04.18</td>
<td>09:50</td>
<td>39° 05.014</td>
<td>15° 13.550</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>GC5</td>
<td>11.04.18</td>
<td>12:02</td>
<td>39° 04.909</td>
<td>15° 08.566</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>11.04.18</td>
<td>14:40</td>
<td>39° 04.182</td>
<td>15° 19.644</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bathysopon</td>
<td>11.04.18</td>
<td>16:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>GC5</td>
<td>12.04.18</td>
<td>09:00</td>
<td>39° 03.985</td>
<td>15° 16.635</td>
<td>2320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>GC5</td>
<td>12.04.18</td>
<td>08:05</td>
<td>39° 04.501</td>
<td>15° 16.132</td>
<td>2299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>GC5</td>
<td>12.04.18</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>39° 04.842</td>
<td>15° 11.151</td>
<td>2470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>GC5</td>
<td>12.04.18</td>
<td>13:10</td>
<td>39° 02.650</td>
<td>15° 10.952</td>
<td>2456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>GC3</td>
<td>12.04.18</td>
<td>14:50</td>
<td>39° 02.649</td>
<td>15° 10.915</td>
<td>2456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** station numbers 25 and 26 have not been used

**Coring parameter**

- **max. rope tension (kN)**
- **Penetration Recovery**
- **Begin of station**
- **Time UTC = ship time**
- **Station coordinates**
- **Coring parameter**
- **Instrument**
- **Date**

**Bathy = bathymetric mappings**

**Station coordinates**

- **Latitude (N)**
- **Longitude (E)**
- **Water depth**

**Time UTC**

- **3 = 3 m tube**
- **5 = 5 m tube**
- **8 = 8 m tube**
4.3 Map of stations

Fig. 3: Map of stations. Violet station numbers refer to 4.2. Blue boxes are bathymetric mapping areas each comprising several stations. Blue bars are dredge tracks. Blue dots are CTD deployments. Green dots are gravity coring sites with recovery shown in [m]. Red dots are sites with no recovery. Yellow dots are successful cores from earlier expeditions available for the project at ISMAR Bologna.

5. Seamounts: bathymetry and dredge sampling

Little is known about the five seamounts (arranged in three groups) north and west of Stromboli. A volcanic origin of the Alcione and Lemetini seamounts had been demonstrated by earlier dredging whereas no samples had yet been recovered from the No-Name seamounts. Bathymetric mapping aimed to investigate the structure of these seamounts. During RV Poseidon cruise POS522 multibeam mapping was carried out by a Seabeam 3000 series echosounder system (SB3100) provided by ELAC Nautik GmbH. The SeaBeam 3100 multibeam echosounder (MBES) collects bathymetric, corrected backscatter, side scan and water column imaging (WCI) data at medium depths. It has an across-ship swath width of up to 140 degrees with up to 630 beams for each multi-ping. The complete system consists of 2 transmitter/ receiver units, a motion sensor (Coda Octopus F180), and a salinometer installed on RV Poseidon. The system was operated with HydroStar v4.0. Data acquisition was performed with Hypack 2016. The Hysweep survey module of Hypack bundle collected all data from the SeaBeam echo sounder in HSX data format which was used for further processing. Since the HSX data contain all necessary information for post processing work the native ELAC XSE-data format was not stored during the cruise. The configuration installed on RV Poseidon operates in the 50 kHz frequency band at water depths ranging from 3 m below the transducers to approximately 3,000 m. However, beside this theoretical value we observed that the best operation depth under optimal weather conditions is between >100 m to <2000 m water depth. The Alcione and Lemetini seamounts lie at 800 to 2200 m water depths. Repeated mappings and careful adjustment of the data acquisition by several CTD salinity and sound-velocity profiles measured near the mapping
areas allowed us to obtain bathymetric maps of sufficient resolution to observe their tectonic structure. However, mapping at the No-Name seamounts at 2500-2900 m water depths did not produce satisfying results.

Both Lametini seamounts have circular cone shapes with central summits. The Lametini NE seamount reveals a narrow collapse scarp extending from the summit southward down to the foot of the volcano.

The Alcione seamount lies on the continental slope leading down into Marsili basin. It is about 1 km high and dissected by a large NNW-SSE striking regional normal fault with a vertical throw of 900 m. Water column imaging (WCI) of the multibeam system identified rising gas bubble swarms above the fault as well as above the summit of the western cone indicating continued magmatic/tectonic activity at Alcione.

Fig. 4: Bathymetric map of the Lametini seamounts. Red lines mark the mapping tracks.

Fig. 5: Bathymetric map of the Alcione seamount. Red lines mark the mapping tracks.

Fig. 6: WCI image of a gas bubble swarm (orange region at center above red ground) above the Alcione fault.
The three dredges collected at the No-Name seamounts were heavily loaded with mud including brown/black fragmented incrustation levels. Only the dredge at station 58 at the SE flank of No-Name E seamount recovered hard rock fragments. These include px-fsp-phyric pillow lava fragments with vesicular interior and dense crust, rounded moderately vesicular gray lapilli rich in amphibole and clear feldspar phenocrysts as well as an amphibole megacryst fragment (3 cm), glassy fluidally textured lava chips, a few well-rounded pumice lapilli, and fragments of weakly solidified well-sorted sandy hyaloclastite. Therefore the No-Name seamounts are most likely also of volcanic origin and relatively young considering the fresh state of the rock samples, despite the apparently thick cover of mud that reflects the very high sediment accumulation rates at the south rim of the Stromboli canyon.

6. Gravity Coring Results

All cores that recovered at least the upper 1 m of sediment contain two major black sandy turbidite layers (Fig. 7) which have been tentatively correlated to the major known collapse events at Stromboli, the 13 ka Vancori and the 5 ka Neostromboli collapses (Fig. 2). In addition, thin turbidite layers on top of these have been observed in many cores and represent younger, weaker events such as the one in 2002. However, all correlations still need to be verified by geochemical comparisons.

An exception are stations 51, 56 and 40. Station 51 south of Lametini NE seamount only contains continent-derived turbidites which are characterized by containing abundant mica, and this station marks the eastern dispersal limit of the Stromboli turbidity currents. Similarly, station 56 in the northeast corner of the Marsili basin marks the northern limit of volcaniclastic turbidite dispersal as it also only contains
mica-rich continental turbidites. Station 40 lies on top of a submarine hill at the northwest edge of the plateau (Fig. 3) and was apparently too high above the surrounding seafloor for significant turbidity current deposition (Fig. 8).

Across the southern, proximal half of the plateau particularly the Vancori and Neostromboli turbidites are apparently too thick and too close to the seafloor for penetration by the gravity corer which typically flipped over when hitting the ground. Hence almost all coring attempt yielded no recovery except black sand and mud in the core catcher. Across the northern half of the plateau, however, the turbidite layers can be traced along the cores. For example, Figure 8 show a W-E transect along stations 43, 40, 39, 35 and 38 (Fig. 3). The Vancori and Neostromboli layers have very similar thickness and appearance along this profile indicating that the turbidity currents spread evenly across the plateau and into Marsili basin but were unable to surmount the hilltop at station 40.

The cores contain several volcaniclastic turbidite layers intercalated in the sediments below the 13 ka Vancori turbidite (Fig. 8). It appears that these can also be correlated between the cores and have similar wide spread suggesting that these may also have been significant collapse events.

The cores also contain abundant primary ash beds. For example, a white ash beds underlies the Vancori turbidite (Fig. 8) and is tentatively correlated with a major eruption on Lipari. Another prominent example is the thick white pumiceous turbidite package at 160 cm bsf in core 39 (Fig. 9), which could be the submarine equivalent of the 39 ka Campanian Ignimbrite. Once such correlation can be geochemically verified, they will provide important time markers in the core stratigraphies.

Long cores (e.g., station 39, Fig. 9) have recovered sediment packages including green reduced intervals that seem to reach back through glacial times. These are intercalated with black volcaniclastic turbidite layers at intervals on the order of 10 cm. Analysis of these cores will eventually help to better understand the evolution of Stromboli through the late Pleistocene which appears to have involved numerous collapse events. In addition, paleo-environmental analyses of the sediments should provide data to test for any systematic relationship between turbidite frequency (and possibly magnitude) and environmental changes.

7. Final notes

Both work and archive halves of all cores have been stored in the cooled GEOMAR core repository and will be available for further sampling and studies. These studies will also make use of sediment cores from the same region obtained by earlier cruises and stored at ISMAR Bologna. The new bathymetric data is available through both the GEOMAR and ISMAR Bologna data storages.
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