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ABSTRACT

The Eddy Kinetic Energy (EKE) associated with the Subtropical Counter-current (STCC) in the western subtropical South Pacific is known to exhibit substantial seasonal and decadal variability. Using an eddy-permitting ocean general circulation model, which is able to reproduce the observed, salient features of the seasonal cycles of shear, stratification, baroclinic production and the associated EKE, we investigate the decadal changes of EKE. We show that the STCC region exhibits, uniquely among the subtropical gyres of the world’s oceans, significant, atmospherically forced, decadal EKE variability. The decadal variations are driven by changing vertical shear between the STCC in the upper 300 m and the South Equatorial Current below, predominantly caused by variations in STCC strength associated with a changing meridional density gradient. In the 1970s, an increased meridional density gradient results in EKE twice as large as in later decades in the model. Utilizing sensitivity experiments, decadal variations in the wind field are shown to be the essential driver. Local wind stress curl anomalies associated with the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO) lead to up- and downwelling of the thermocline, inducing strengthening or weakening of the STCC and the associated EKE. Additionally, remote wind stress curl anomalies in the eastern subtropical South Pacific, which are not related to the IPO, generate density anomalies that propagate westward as Rossby waves and can account for up to 30 – 40 % of the density anomalies in the investigated region.
1. Introduction

During the last decade, it has become evident that a large fraction of variability in the global ocean is intrinsic in a sense that no interannual to decadal atmospheric variability is needed to drive oceanic variability on these time scales. Studies utilizing Ocean General Circulation Models (OGCMs) have shown this intrinsic variability to manifest itself in Sea Surface Height (SSH) variance (Combes and Di Lorenzo 2007; Penduff et al. 2011; Sérazin et al. 2015) as well as Eddy Kinetic Energy (Wilson et al. 2015). Even properties not directly related to the mesoscale such as the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) (Grégorio et al. 2015), ocean heat content (Sérazin et al. 2017), and sea level trends (Sérazin et al. 2016) exhibit intrinsic variability. Nevertheless, there are regions with lower intrinsic variability on interannual to decadal time scales. These regions are mainly, but not exclusively, located in the tropical oceans, where the ocean dynamics are relatively linear and largely depend on air-sea interactions (Penduff et al. 2011; Sérazin et al. 2015). Apart from these areas, the interior subtropical South Pacific (SP) exhibits relatively weak intrinsic variability of SSH at $\sim 30^\circ S$ compared to that found in the other subtropical oceans (Sérazin et al. 2015).

In the subtropical SP, the Subtropical Countercurrent (hereafter called STCC, Travis and Qiu 2017), initially called the South Tropical Countercurrent (Merle et al. 1969; Morris et al. 1996), is located between $25^\circ$ and $33^\circ S$ on top of the large scale gyre circulation. Contrary to the gyre circulation, which flows westward in the South Equatorial Current (SEC) at these latitudes, the STCC flows eastward, thereby creating a vertical shear of horizontal velocities. Similar current systems, associated with subtropical or similar fronts, can be found in the North Pacific (Kobashi and Kubokawa 2012) and the Indian Ocean (Menezes et al. 2014). The vertical shear in these
systems is generally baroclinically unstable (e.g. Qiu 1999; Qiu and Chen 2004) and generates mesoscale variability.

Depending on the current systems, the mesoscale variability exhibits variability on different time scales. The North Pacific Subtropical Countercurrent, the STCC and parts of the South Indian Countercurrent (SICC) all have been shown to generate more mesoscale turbulence in summer than in winter (Qiu 1999; Qiu and Chen 2004; Jia et al. 2011b). These seasonal variations are driven by an increased meridional density gradient across the associated fronts in summer, inducing a stronger, surface intensified current and thus enhanced shear with the underlying currents. The source of the increased meridional density gradient can be either dynamic or thermodynamic. In the South Pacific, Qiu and Chen (2004) argue for latitudinally dependent surface cooling to be the main factor in the STCC. However, Jia et al. (2011b) show Ekman and geostrophic flux convergence to be responsible in the SICC. The variability in countercurrent systems is not restricted to the seasonal time scales. The SICC has been shown to vary with a quasi-biennial period (Menezes et al. 2016) and interannually (Jia et al. 2011a) due to changes in the meridional density gradient driven by anomalous Ekman upwelling (Jia et al. 2011a), which they relate to changes in the wind field associated with the Southern Annular Mode. Qiu and Chen (2010) argue that the interannual variability in the North Pacific Subtropical Countercurrent is generated by surface heat flux forcing related to the Pacific Decadal Oscillation.

More recently, Travis and Qiu (2017) investigated the decadal variations of instability in the STCC region. The decadal changes are caused by a combination of variations of vertical shear of velocities, and stratification. Stronger (weaker) vertical shear and weaker (stronger) stratification both induce enhanced (decreased) instability. Travis and Qiu (2017) find the variability of vertical shear to be the dominant mechanism. However, they did not determine which factors ultimately drive the associated density changes observed in the STCC. Decadal variability in SP SSH has
been shown to affect the large scale gyre circulation (Roemmich et al. 2016) as well as local EKE levels associated with the Tasman Front (Sasaki et al. 2008). Sasaki et al. (2008) show that basin scale wind stress curl variations related to decadal modulations in El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) drive the SSH and EKE changes. An influence on the STCC system and associated EKE can thus be expected.

In this study, the use of an OGCM enables us to extend our knowledge of the STCC and its variability due to a long study period of more than five decades and a deeper investigation of mechanisms. We show that the decadal variability of surface currents and EKE is related to atmospheric forcing rather than internal variability in the STCC region (section 3a). Furthermore, we validate the mean state of the STCC and associated mesoscale variability from the OGCM in section 3b and in section 3c we show that the OGCM is able to reproduce the seasonal cycle of EKE. Then in section 3d we investigate the decadal variations, and in section 3e we investigate the role of the atmospheric forcing in the context of decadal variability associated with the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO).

2. Model, Methods, and Data

This study is based on an eddy-permitting global ocean circulation model using a 1/4° configuration (ORCA025) based on the NEMO code (Madec et al. 1998) version 3.6, developed as part of the DRAKKAR collaboration. It is accompanied by an additional configuration (TROPAC01) with a refined grid in the South Pacific. As a base, TROPAC01 has a global 1/2° horizontal grid (ORCA05) and shares the vertical levels with ORCA025. Between 49°S-31°N in the Pacific, a grid with 1/10° resolution is nested into the ORCA05 grid using AGRIF (Adaptive grid refinement in FORTRAN; Debreu et al. 2008). TROPAC01 has been compared to observations in Czeschel et al.
(2011) and van Sebille et al. (2014). For more information on TROPAC01, the reader is referred to Schwarzkopf (2016).

Hindcast experiments were performed with the two configurations from 1958-2009 and 1960-2007 for ORCA025 and TROPAC01, respectively. Comparing these hindcast experiments, it is shown that the ORCA025 configuration has about 60-70 % of the mesoscale variability of TROPAC01 in the subtropical South Pacific (see section 3d). Most importantly, ORCA025 is able to reproduce the relative amplitude of the decadal variability simulated in TROPAC01 (Fig. 1a and b and section 3a and d). Thus, the several sensitivity experiments carried out as part of this study have been performed with the ORCA025 configuration.

The various ORCA025 configurations developed in recent years (DRAKKAR Group 2007, 2014) share the same global, orthogonal, curvilinear, tripolar Arakawa-C type grid with a nominal resolution of 1/4° in longitude. ORCA025 configurations have been widely used in studies investigating ocean variability mechanisms in different areas (e.g. Lübbecke et al. 2008; Lorbacher et al. 2010; Rühs et al. 2015) as well as influences of large scale atmospheric variability on the ocean (e.g. Feng et al. 2011; Ummenhofer et al. 2013; Barrier et al. 2015), and intrinsic oceanic variability on different time scales (Penduff et al. 2010; Sérain et al. 2015).

The particular (Kiel) version of ORCA025 employed here uses 46 vertical levels with 6 m thickness at the surface, increasing towards ∼ 250 m in the deep ocean and a partial-cell formulation at the bottom (cf. Barnier et al. 2006). The atmospheric forcing for the simulations from 1958-2009 utilizes the bulk formulations and data products comprised in the Coordinated Ocean-Ice Reference Experiments (CORE.v2; Griffies et al. 2009; Large and Yeager 2009). The CORE.v2 products are available for the period 1948-2009, however, some forcing fields are climatological in the first decades of the forcing. Starting the simulations from 1958 thus constitutes a compromise between a long study period to investigate decadal variability and discarding the decades with
highest uncertainty from the forcing. All model simulations apply a very weak sea surface salinity
restoring (SSSR) to climatology of 33.33 mm day$^{-1}$, which corresponds to a relaxation time scale
of 1500 days over a 50 m surface layer.

The model is spun up from rest with temperature and salinity from climatology (PHC2.1, up-
dated from Steele et al. 2001) and an initial sea ice field from December 31st, 1992 from a previous
ORCA025 simulation as this sea ice state fits the initial temperature and salinity fields. The model
spin-up is forced for 30 years with the atmospheric forcing from 1980-2009. The ocean state at
the end of this spin-up period is then used as the initial condition for the hindcast simulations. Five
experiments have been simulated in total: REF, CLIM, WIND, BUOY and IPOsim (Table 1).

REF (1958-2009) is the reference simulation with no changes to the forcing described above,
to represent a realistic estimate of the simulated period. The CLIM simulation (62 years) with
a repeating Normal Year (NY; Large and Yeager 2009) forcing is used to study the relative im-
portance of intrinsic and forced variability. WIND (1958-2009) applies an interannually varying
wind stress forcing in combination with climatological NY buoyancy forcing (heat and freshwa-
ter fluxes). WIND is accompanied by BUOY, which utilizes an interannually varying buoyancy
forcing in combination with a NY wind stress forcing. The latter two experiments are intended
to determine whether decadal variations in the STCC can be related to wind or buoyancy forcing
from the atmosphere. The fifth experiment (IPOsim, 28 years) starts with 3 years of NY forcing.
For the following 10 years, the annual mean wind field of the NY forcing is replaced by a mean
wind field representative of a negative state of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO), while
keeping the synoptic variability and seasonal cycle of the NY forcing. This negative IPO phase
is followed by 10 years where the mean wind field represents a positive IPO. The experiment
concludes with 5 more NY forced years. The IPO phases are defined after the IPO Tripole Index
(TPI), from Henley et al. (2015), to be the averaged wind field over 1970-1975 and 1980-1985 for
the negative and positive phases, respectively. During the first and last year of the 10 year negative
(positive) IPO phase, the mean wind field is linearly interpolated from the climatological state to
the negative (positive) IPO state and back toward climatology, respectively.

EKE is defined to be \( \text{EKE} = 0.5(u'^2 + v'^2) \), where \((u', v') = (u - \bar{u}, v - \bar{v})\). \((u, v)\) are the 5 day
mean zonal and meridional velocities at 93 m depth, respectively, and \((\bar{u}, \bar{v})\) are the one year mean
horizontal velocities at 93 m depth. One year mean velocities \((\bar{u}, \bar{v})\) are used as to avoid interannual
variations of the mean currents to be considered in the calculation of EKE. For further details on
the calculation of EKE see Penduff et al. (2004) and Rieck et al. (2015). All investigations of near-
surface circulation and EKE from the model output are performed at a depth of 93 m to exclude
any ageostrophic circulation features, such as Ekman currents, that are present at the surface. To
allow for the equilibration of EKE after the spin-up, the first two years of all hindcast experiments
are discarded from use in the analysis (so that the periods used from REF, CLIM, WIND and
BUOY are 1960-2009).

All model output and the derived quantities have been detrended prior to any averaging applied.
When monthly time series are shown, the climatological seasonal cycle has been removed as well.
Unless otherwise stated, all temporal filtering has been performed with a simple Lanczos filter. A
Boxcar filter has also been tested, but did not qualitatively alter the conclusion drawn from the
presented results. After filtering with a five-year cut-off period, the first and last three years of the
timeseries have been discarded from further analyses to avoid any boundary effects of the filter.

For validation of the reference simulation (REF), objectively analyzed monthly climatological
temperature and salinity data on a 1/4° grid, averaged over all available six decades from the World
Ocean Atlas 2013 (WOA13.v2; Locarnini et al. 2013; Zweng et al. 2013) are used. From these
temperature and salinity fields, potential density \(\sigma_0\) is calculated and used to derive geostrophic
velocities with a reference depth of 1500 m. Horizontal surface geostrophic velocities derived
from sea surface height measurements by satellite altimetry, produced by SSALTO/DUACS and distributed by Archiving, Validation, and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic data (AVISO, http://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/duacs) are used to calculate observational surface EKE.

3. Results

a. Intrinsic and forced variability

A large part of the variability in the oceanic mesoscale, even on decadal time scales, is of an intrinsic nature and, therefore, cannot be related to changes in the forcing of the ocean (e.g. O’Kane et al. 2013, also see section 1). Thus, in order to detect significant, deterministic decadal changes of EKE and to attribute an atmospheric driver, the amplitude of these changes should be sufficiently large. Simultaneously, the intrinsic variability in the region should be relatively low, so as to minimize the possibility that the decadal changes in EKE are of an intrinsic nature. The intrinsic variability on decadal time scales is investigated by means of the ratio of intrinsic variance $\sigma^2_i$ to total variance $\sigma^2_t$ of EKE on decadal time scales (Fig. 1c and 2b).

Following Penduff et al. (2011): $\sigma^2_i$ is the variance of low-pass filtered annual mean EKE, filtered with a 5-year cut-off period, of the climatological run CLIM, where the atmosphere does not exhibit any variability on interannual to decadal scales. Thus, all decadal variability observed in CLIM’s ocean must arise from processes internal to the ocean. $\sigma^2_i$ is the same variance of decadal EKE but from the simulation with interannual forcing variability (REF). If $\sigma^2_i/\sigma^2_t$ approaches one, most of the variability is generated internally. It should be noted that $\sigma^2_i/\sigma^2_t$ is only an estimate, as the intrinsic variability in REF can be different from the intrinsic variability in CLIM. It has been shown that interannual to decadal atmospheric variability is able to trigger modes of internal
variability in the ocean (e.g. Taguchi et al. 2010; O’Kane et al. 2013), which are not accounted for in $\sigma_i^2/\sigma_t^2$.

Over most of the global ocean in REF, the standard deviation of EKE at 93 m depth on decadal time scales, $\sigma_t$, is about 10 – 40 % of the mean EKE (Fig. 1). Outside the tropics, this is less than the part of variability that can be attributed to processes internal to the ocean. Poleward of 15°N and 15°S, the ratio of intrinsic to total variability $\sigma_i^2/\sigma_t^2$ is above 30 % on average (Fig. 1). Aside from western boundary currents and other strong current systems, the subtropical South Pacific is the only location in the world oceans where decadal variability with magnitude $> 50$ % of the mean is found along with a relatively low ratio $\sigma_i^2/\sigma_t^2$ (Fig. 1a and c, and 2a and b).

We focus further analyses on a box between 175 – 153°W and 25 – 33°S. The box is characterized by a large decadal variability of EKE, with $\sigma_t$ between 30 and more than 70 % of the mean EKE with a zonal mean maximum of 60 % at 31°S (Fig. 2a). At the same time, the ratio $\sigma_i/\sigma_t$ of intrinsic to total variance of decadal EKE is low. $\sigma_i/\sigma_t$ exhibits a local minimum, from < 0.1 to 0.3, with a zonal average of 0.2 (Fig. 2b). This combination of large decadal variability and a low ratio of intrinsic to total variability of EKE cannot be found to such an extent in any other subtropical ocean (Fig. 1a and c).

After establishing the exceptional properties of decadal EKE variability in the STCC region we now describe the mean state of the STCC region in detail, validate the model simulations against observations (sections 3b and 3c), and then investigate the decadal variability of the EKE associated with the STCC (section 3d) and the associated atmospheric forcing (section 3e).

b. The Subtropical Countercurrent: Mean state

The near-surface circulation from REF in the subtropical South Pacific is dominated by the anti-clockwise subtropical gyre with broad eastward flow between roughly 30 and 45°S, and westward
flow in the SEC between 15 and 30°S (Fig. 2c). Between 25 and 35°S, slanting southward towards
the east, however, bands of eastward velocity are located above the SEC (Fig. 3a). These eastward
velocities have a maximum of > 5 cm s\(^{-1}\) at 25°S and extend down to about 250 m between
25 – 33°S. The SEC below has a maximum of 2.5 cm s\(^{-1}\) at 26°S and 600 m depth, the core of
the SEC (5 cm s\(^{-1}\)), however, lies to the north between 21 and 22°S at 300 – 400 m depth. While
the core of the SEC and the northern edge of the STCC are located 2 to 3° further north in the
geostrophic velocities derived from WOA13.v2 data, the overall structure of the current system
compares well between the simulation and the observations (Fig. 3b). Larger differences can only
be found in the southern branch of the STCC at 27 to 29°S, that is roughly 2 cm s\(^{-1}\) weaker in REF.
The westward flow at depth is stronger in REF compared to WOA13.v2 inside the box, so that the
vertical shear of horizontal velocities remains similar. One cause for this discrepancy could be the
choice of the reference depth of 1500m for the calculation of the geostrophic velocities.

The cause for the reversal of the horizontal flow with depth can be seen in the isopycnals shown
in Fig. 3a and b. The meridional density gradient at depth is positive to the north, associated with
the westward SEC. At 600 m depth, however, the gradient reverses and in accordance with the
thermal wind balance, the westward current weakens towards the surface, reversing at around 250
m. The induced vertical shear in horizontal velocity has been shown to be baroclinically unstable
(Qiu and Chen 2004), resulting in a maximum of EKE at the latitude of the largest shear (25°S,
Fig. 3a). The surface EKE from AVISO has a similar meridional distribution between 25 and
33°S, however, the magnitude of the EKE is roughly three times higher in AVISO than in REF
(Fig. 3). Part of this difference can be accounted for by the fact that the EKE from the model
is calculated at 93 m depth. Simulated EKE at the surface is twice as large as at 93 m depth on
average in the STCC region (not accounting for possible ageostrophic contributions). Despite the
EKE from satellite altimetry being still 50 % higher after accounting for this depth dependency,
the $1/4^\circ$ model is found to be suitable to investigate the temporal variations in EKE in the STCC region, as will be demonstrated in the following section.

c. Seasonal Cycle

Changes in stratification and vertical shear of horizontal velocities have been shown to cause decadal variations of baroclinic instability in the STCC region by Travis and Qiu (2017). Using observational data, they showed these variations to manifest themselves in decadal EKE variability. For the extended period from 1960-2009 investigated in this study, it is not possible to verify the simulated fields of velocities and stratification against observations, because the data coverage from observations is not sufficient. Nevertheless, the simulations can be validated using the seasonal cycle of EKE. The seasonal cycle, similar to the decadal variability, is driven by changes in stratification and shear (Qiu and Chen 2004) that influence baroclinic instability.

To compare REF to observations, monthly climatological values are used. The vertical shear $\Delta u$ of horizontal velocities in the region $175^\circ - 153^\circ W$ and $25^\circ - 33^\circ S$ is estimated using the difference between zonal velocity at 93 m and 628 m (100 m and 600 m) in REF (WOA13.v2). On average, $\Delta u$ and the amplitude of its seasonal cycle are 0.1 cm s$^{-1}$ larger in REF compared to observations (Fig. 4a and b). The phase of the seasonal cycle is similar though, with a minimum in April (April/May) and largest values in September and December (August and November) in the estimate based on observations (model).

The variations in stratification are estimated using the density difference $\Delta \sigma$ between the same depth levels as for the zonal velocities. Stratification is stronger in REF by 0.3 kg m$^{-3}$ and the amplitude of the seasonal cycle is slightly larger in the observations (Fig. 4a and b). The phase of the seasonal cycle agrees well between model and observations with a minimum in June and
a maximum in October. Given the seasonal cycles of $\Delta u$ and $\Delta \sigma$, baroclinic instability should be stronger in austral spring/summer, compared to austral autumn/winter.

We estimate the strength of the baroclinic production mechanisms in the model by calculating $\sqrt{\langle \langle w T \rangle \rangle^2}$ as a measure of the energy transfer from the reservoir of Eddy Available Potential Energy to EKE (Zhai and Marshall 2013), where $\langle \rangle$ denotes the mean over all available data for each month and $(\cdot)'$ denotes the 5-day mean deviation from the monthly climatology; $w$ is the vertical velocity and $T$ the potential temperature. For simplicity, potential temperature $T$ is used here as an estimate for density fluctuations and the gravitational constant in the term $(\sqrt{\rho} g)$ from Zhai and Marshall (2013) is neglected. Indeed, $\sqrt{\langle \langle w T \rangle \rangle^2}$ exhibits a clear seasonal cycle with a minimum in May and a maximum in October/November, followed by a minimum and maximum, respectively, in EKE with a lag of 1 to 2 months (Fig. 4c). A lag between $\sqrt{\langle \langle w T \rangle \rangle^2}$ and EKE is to be expected because the instabilities require time to grow, depending on their growth rate. The EKE from AVISO has exactly the same phase as that from the model, however, the amplitude of the seasonal cycle is $\sim 50\%$ larger than in the model (Fig. 4d). This could be partly due to the stronger stratification in the model and partly because the model’s resolution is not high enough to simulate the whole spectrum of mesoscale, baroclinic instabilities.

Nevertheless, we have demonstrated that the ORCA025 model is capable of simulating baroclinic instabilities and their seasonal variability in the STCC. This gives confidence in the model’s ability to simulate the correct timing of decadal changes of EKE, especially considering their dependence on large scale properties such as stratification and vertical shear of horizontal current systems. These decadal changes of EKE will be investigated in the next section.
d. Decadal Variability

The EKE in REF inside the box shown in Fig. 2 exhibits large variations on decadal time scales. After an increase in the late 1960s, the 1970s have an average EKE of 40 cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$ (solid black curve in Fig. 5). EKE decreases again in the mid 1980s, levels off at 20 cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$ and increases again in the 2000s. To illustrate the atmospherically forced nature of this variability, the variations of EKE from CLIM are shown in Fig. 5 to be less than 50% of REF and to have a completely different phase. Comparing REF to the higher resolution configuration of the same model (1/10°, TROPAC01), mean EKE levels are 1.5 times higher in the higher resolution run, however, the relative peak to peak amplitude is $\sim$ 100% of the mean in both simulations, and both simulations show similar phases of EKE variations. Further investigation will be focused on the period 1971–1981 and its anomalous, unique properties of the surface ocean.

In section 3b we demonstrated the importance of meridional density gradients to the STCC-SEC system and thus expect changes in these density gradients to influence the STCC and its EKE. Indeed, corresponding to the elevated EKE in the 1970s, there is a dipole of density anomalies in the sub-surface ocean (note that all anomalies are referenced to the period 1960-2009). A positive density anomaly with a maximum of 0.1 kg m$^{-3}$ is centred at 33°S and 300 m depth, co-located with a negative temperature anomaly of up to $-0.6^\circ$C (Fig. 6a). Further to the north, at 25°S and 150 m depth, the density is about 0.1 kg m$^{-3}$ lower, compared to the mean. This negative anomaly is supported partly by a positive temperature anomaly of 0.3°C centred at 26°S, 250 m depth. Closer to the surface, at the northern boundary of the box, salinity also plays a role in determining the strength and structure of this density anomaly (Fig. 7).

This anomalous dipole structure steepens the slope of the isopycnals and thus increases the meridional density gradient, especially between 200 and 300 m depth (Fig. 6b). This results in
surface-intensified eastward current anomalies of up to 2 cm s\(^{-1}\) at the latitudes of the strongest
increase in the density gradient (27 and 31\(^\circ\)S) arising from the increased vertical shear, resulting
in a baroclinically more unstable current system as already illustrated by Qiu and Chen (2004)
for seasonal time scales and Travis and Qiu (2017) for decadal time scales. Fig. 8 illustrates the
decadal variations of the vertical shear \(\Delta u\) and \(\overline{w'T'}\) as an indicator for the baroclinic instability.
During 1971-1981, \(\Delta u\) exhibits a 20-30 % increase with respect to the mean and accordingly,
\(\overline{w'T'}\) is 40-50 % larger. This additional baroclinic instability in turn leads to intensified mesoscale
variability and elevated EKE in the upper ocean.

e. The role of wind and the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation

To determine whether the subsurface temperature anomalies are caused by variations in buoy-
ancy or momentum fluxes at the atmosphere-ocean interface, the two model runs BUOY and
WIND are used. It can be clearly seen in Fig. 9 that BUOY exhibits only minor decadal vari-
ability, while WIND is able to reproduce the decadal variability of EKE found in REF. BUOY
shows a minimum of around 25 – 30 cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\) in the 1970s, when WIND and REF have EKE of
approximately 40 – 50 cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\), followed by a slow increase towards 30 – 40 cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\) in BUOY,
when WIND and REF show a minimum of 15 – 25 cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\), in the 1980s and 90s. The decadal
variability in BUOY is of the same order of magnitude as in CLIM and could thus be of intrinsic
nature. After establishing the essential role of the wind forcing for variations of the STCC and
EKE, the responsible mechanisms will now be explored.

From Fig. 10, it can be seen that the density anomalies at depth (322 m, the depth at which the
anomalies are strongest) are related to wind stress curl anomalies at the surface to a certain extent.
The positive wind stress curl anomaly in the early 1970s triggers anomalous downwelling between
26 and 38\(^\circ\)S. This leads to density anomalies at depth, with the maximum in the thermocline,
where the vertical temperature gradient is strongest. Anomalies in salinity can also be observed, although they are not dominant in determining the density structure (cf. Fig. 7).

In the late 1970s, a negative anomaly in wind stress curl then induces upwelling from $\sim 28^\circ$S toward the south. The maximum negative temperature anomaly is located slightly deeper then the positive anomaly further north (Fig. 6), as the strongest vertical gradient in temperature, i.e. the thermocline, is located deeper at these latitudes. As there are no associated anomalies on density surfaces (not shown), both these temperature anomalies are of an adiabatic nature as expected for anomalies associated to wind driven upwelling and downwelling.

Interestingly, the positive subsurface density anomaly in the early 1970s and the negative density anomaly in the late 1970s are both shifted northward with respect to the related wind stress curl anomalies by roughly $3^\circ$ latitude (Fig. 10), rather than being located directly beneath the wind stress curl anomalies, where the upwelling and downwelling should occur. The most obvious reason for that $3^\circ$ shift is the propagation of density anomalies into the region from the east. These anomalies are generated in the eastern South Pacific ($110 - 120^\circ$W, $25 - 33^\circ$S), where local wind stress curl anomalies generate subsurface density anomalies (Fig. 11). In contrast to the wind stress curl anomalies in the western part of the basin, these anomalies occur on time scales shorter than five years. To focus on the influence of these interannual anomalies, but at the same time exclude shorter, more synoptic variability, the density anomalies in Fig. 11 have been band-pass filtered to include only variability on time scales of 2-5 years. The density anomalies propagate westward at a speed of $\sim 6$ cm s$^{-1}$, arriving in the box investigated ($153 - 175^\circ$W) after roughly two to three years. This propagation speed lies within the observed range of Rossby wave propagation speed at these latitudes from satellite altimetry (e.g. Chelton and Schlax 1996).

Sub-decadal density anomalies at $153 - 164^\circ$W and $164 - 175^\circ$W are correlated to the wind stress curl forcing in the eastern part ($110 - 120^\circ$W) with a lag of 28 and 40 months, respectively
(correlation coefficients $-0.38$ and $-0.30$, both significant at the 95% level). More precisely, a negative density anomaly of up to $-0.03$ kg m$^{-3}$ arrives in the box in the early 1970s, supporting the negative anomaly generated by the local wind stress curl forcing. In 1975, a strong positive anomaly of $0.03$ kg m$^{-3}$ is arriving at the same time the local wind stress curl forcing switches from positive to negative. Comparing the magnitudes in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 it is obvious that, in the 1970s, between 30 and 40% of the local density anomalies can be explained by signals arriving in the western basin, that originate from further east.

In the 1980s and early 1990s, both the local (in the western part) and eastern wind stress curl anomalies are weak (associated density changes are $< 0.03$ kg m$^{-3}$) and do not induce any significant changes in current strength and EKE (Fig. 9). The late 1990s and 2000s exhibit a weak increase in EKE, which is associated with strengthened local and remote (eastern) wind stress curl anomalies. However, the local wind stress curl anomalies exhibit a second maximum at $\sim 35^\circ$S in contrast to the 1970s, when a minimum occurred at this latitude (Fig. 10). In combination with a negative density anomaly arriving from the east around the year 2000 (Fig. 11), this results in meridionally almost uniform subsurface density anomalies that do not change the meridional gradient significantly.

The decadal variability of the large-scale wind field over the South Pacific can to a large degree be understood in terms of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO). The wind stress curl at $33^\circ$S between 153 and 175$^\circ$W is correlated to the IPO index with a correlation coefficient of $-0.34$ at time scales $> 5$ years (also compare Fig. 10a and b). To investigate the role of the IPO in forcing the simulated changes in the STCC, the simulation IPOsim as described in section 2 is used. In Fig. 9, the green line shows the temporal evolution of EKE in the box in IPOsim to be quite similar to the REF and WIND cases. There is a strong increase in EKE in the early 1970s and a slow decrease afterwards, until the end of the IPOsim simulation. However, the second peak in EKE
in the late 1970s is not captured in the IPOsim run. This is due to a weaker negative wind stress
curl anomaly at the southern edge of the box in IPOsim, thus the meridional density gradient is
not reinforced. This might be caused by the transition time between the negative and positive IPO
phases. The IPOsim simulation uses wind fields from completely negative and positive IPO phases
and does not fully account for the transition between these. In reality, the transition might be much
more complex than the linearly interpolated attempt presented here, thus possibly explaining the
differences between WIND and IPO.

The question arises, why EKE does not increase in a similar fashion in the 2000s, when the
IPO again is in a negative phase. In addition to the meridional structure of the density anomaly in
the 2000s, which is different from the 1970s due to a different structure of the local wind stress
curl anomaly, and also the effect of density anomalies arriving from the east (Fig. 10 and 11), a
second explanation can be brought forward. While the magnitude of the negative IPO phase in
the 2000s is about as large as in the 1970s, the duration is much shorter. In the early decades, the
IPO is in a mostly negative phase in the 1960s, the index is then slowly decreasing until 1975 and
then abruptly increasing, reaching positive values in 1977. This results in more than 10 years of
negative IPO forcing. Around the year 2000, the IPO index becomes negative in 1998, reaching its
minimum in 2000 and shows positive values already in 2002, resulting in only 4 years of negative
IPO forcing. Thus, the response of EKE is much weaker, though nonetheless present, around 2000
with a short peak in the late 1990s, early 2000s.

In summary, the IPO seems to set the large-scale background wind field that either favours or
suppresses the increase of STCC strength through the induced anomalies of the meridional density
gradient. However, additional factors are needed to ultimately set the strength of the STCC and
EKE levels. In the 1970s, wind stress curl anomalies in the eastern basin aid with the generation
of density anomalies, that propagate to the west and make up 30 – 40 % of the observed anomalies
in the western basin. These eastern wind stress curl anomalies are not associated with the IPO (correlation coefficient between the IPO index and wind stress curl averaged over 110°–120°W, 25°–33°S is 0.02).

4. Summary and Conclusion

Using an eddy-permitting global ocean general circulation model, we investigate a band of decadal variability of near-surface EKE in the subtropical South Pacific (175°–153°W, 25°–33°S). This region exhibits, as a unique feature among the subtropical gyres of the world’s oceans, significant, atmospherically forced, decadal EKE variability. Over most of the other subtropical oceans, decadal variations of EKE are small and masked by processes internal to the ocean.

The investigated region contains a vertically sheared current system, consisting of the eastward Subtropical Countercurrent in the upper 300 m and the westward South Equatorial Current below. As shown by previous studies based on observations (Qiu and Chen 2004), and confirmed in this study, this vertical shear in horizontal velocities is maintained by the meridional density gradient across the STCC and the current system is baroclinically unstable, resulting in a local maximum of near-surface EKE. The 1/4° OGCM is able to reproduce the salient features of the seasonal cycles of EKE, baroclinic instability and its two causes, vertical shear and stratification.

Based on the fact that decadal variations in EKE are driven by changes in vertical shear and stratification (Travis and Qiu 2017), we investigated the decadal variability of EKE. In the STCC region (175°–153°W, 25°–33°S) EKE shows decadal variations of over 100% of the mean, with a maximum in the 1970s, which is unmatched in the rest of the simulated period. The phase and amplitude of this decadal variability is supported by findings from a 1/10° resolution configuration of the same model, as well as other model studies. For example Sasaki et al. (2008) show similar variability of EKE in a region nearby in their 1/10° OGCM.
As the meridional density gradient sets the strength of the STCC and its mesoscale variability, anomalies in this density gradient are the cause for changes in near-surface EKE. In the 1970s, a dipole of a negative density anomaly in the STCC region and a positive anomaly just to the south increases the meridional density gradient. These anomalies are focused at thermocline depth where the vertical density gradient is strongest, and are dominated by temperature changes which, through the thermal wind balance, result in stronger vertical shear and associated baroclinic production of the STCC-SEC system.

Utilizing sensitivity experiments, we show interannual and decadal variability of the wind field to be the essential driver of changes in the STCC, while changes in air-sea heat and freshwater fluxes are negligible contributors. Positive (negative) anomalies in the large scale wind stress curl over the STCC drive downwelling (upwelling) in the 1970s that results in anomalies in the subsurface density field and its meridional gradient. While most of the decadal variations of the wind stress curl are related to the IPO (supported by another sensitivity experiment), other local and remote wind stress curl variability significantly influences the density field in the STCC region. 30—40% of the density anomalies in the 1970s can be explained by interannual variability, propagating into the region from the east, modulating the decadal signal of the IPO.

These interannual density anomalies are correlated to the wind stress curl at 110°–120°W at the same latitude and show no relation to the IPO. They propagate at a speed of \(\sim 6 \text{ cm s}^{-1}\), identifying them as long baroclinic Rossby waves (cf. Chelton and Schlax 1996). Travis and Qiu (2017) also find Rossby waves to propagate into the STCC region, explaining part of the SSH variability observed, even though Qiu and Chen (2006) predicted the Rossby waves dynamics to break down in this particular region.

In the 1980s and early 1990s, a positive phase of the IPO prevails and causes an extended period of very low EKE levels. In the late 1990s/2000s, differences in the structure and strength of
the local wind stress curl anomalies and the westward propagating density anomalies suppress a response of the STCC to the negative phase of the IPO. Additionally, the later, negative IPO phase is much shorter than the earlier phase, not allowing for a full adjustment of the ocean. Accordingly, there are only minor similarities between the early (1970s) and late (1990s/2000s) negative phases of the IPO.

The STCC region uniquely demonstrates how large-scale, interannual and decadal atmospheric variability can manifest itself in variations of the oceanic mesoscale. At the same time, this study shows a complex interplay of local and remote, interannual and decadal changes in the wind field and associated oceanic variations, not always allowing for a direct attribution of a forcing to its consequences. Nevertheless, given the STCC’s connection to the IPO, a behaviour similar to the 1970s can be expected in the future, if the IPO switches into a negative phase for a prolonged period. With more in-situ and remote sensing systems in place today, current and future changes in the STCC can also be observed and used to validate the presented modelling efforts. Additionally, improved models and better observational coverage can be used to investigate the implications of the decadal changes of EKE in the STCC. The STCC is located in close proximity to a region of subduction (e.g. Tsubouchi et al. 2007; Li 2012). Thus, changes in currents, mesoscale variability and thermocline structure could influence the subduction and spreading of water masses and tracers.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on the manuscript. The model system has been developed by the ocean modelling group at GEOMAR in the framework of the DRAKKAR collaboration. The authors thank Lavinia Patara for providing output from the CLIM simulation and Franziska U. Schwarzkopf for providing output from the TROPAC01 configuration. All simulations were performed at the North-German
Supercomputing Alliance (HLRN). This study contributes to the Cluster of Excellence “The Future Ocean” funded by the DFG. Support from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) through MiKlip2, subproject 01LP1517D (ATMOS-MODINI) is gratefully acknowledged by RJG. Data shown in this paper are available at http://data.geomar.de.

References


Menezes, V. V., H. E. Phillips, M. L. Vianna, and N. L. Bindoff, 2016: Interannual variability of

Merle, J., H. Rotschi, and B. Voituriez, 1969: Zonal Circulation in the Tropical Western South
Pacific at 170E. Bull. Japan. Soc. Fish. Oceanogr., Special Issue (Prof. Uda’s Commemorative
Papers), 91–98.

Morris, M., D. Roemmich, and B. Cornuelle, 1996: Observations of Variability in the South Pacific

O’Kane, T. J., R. J. Matear, M. A. Chamberlain, J. S. Risbey, B. M. Sloyan, and I. Horenko,
2013: Decadal variability in an OGCM Southern Ocean: Intrinsic modes, forced modes and


Penduff, T., M. Juza, B. Barnier, J. Zika, W. K. Dewar, A.-M. Treguier, J.-M. Molines, and N. Au-
diffren, 2011: Sea Level Expression of Intrinsic and Forced Ocean Variabilities at Interannual

Penduff, T., M. Juza, L. Brodeau, G. C. Smith, B. Barnier, J.-M. Molines, A.-M. Treguier, and
G. Madec, 2010: Impact of global ocean model resolution on sea-level variability with emphasis

Qiu, B., 1999: Seasonal Eddy Field Modulation of the North Pacific Subtropical Countercurrent:


LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Length and forcing of the five main experiments, see section 2 for more details. More information on the experiments can be found alongside the data at http://data.geomar.de 29
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>length</th>
<th>wind stress forcing</th>
<th>buoyancy forcing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REF</td>
<td>1958-2009</td>
<td>full</td>
<td>full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIM</td>
<td>62 years</td>
<td>Normal Year</td>
<td>Normal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIND</td>
<td>1958-2009</td>
<td>full</td>
<td>Normal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUOY</td>
<td>1958-2009</td>
<td>Normal Year</td>
<td>full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPOsim</td>
<td>28 years</td>
<td>IPO</td>
<td>Normal Year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Length and forcing of the five main experiments, see section 2 for more details. More information on the experiments can be found alongside the data at http://data.geomar.de.
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Fig. 1. (a) The standard deviation of decadally smoothed EKE from ORCA025 (REF, 1963-2006) at 93 m (a low-pass Lanczos filter with a 5-year cutoff period is used at every grid point), divided by the mean EKE (REF, 1963-2006) at each grid point. A value of one indicates the amplitude of decadal EKE variations to be as large as the mean EKE, while a value close to zero depicts a grid point without significant EKE variability on decadal time scales. (b) As (a), but for the 1/10° resolution domain of TROPAC01. (c) The ratio of variance of decadally smoothed intrinsic EKE from CLIM (93 m depth, year 16-59) to variance of decadally smoothed total EKE from REF (93 m depth, 1963-2006) as described in section 3a. A ratio of one indicates that all variability is intrinsic, while values close to zero show grid points where most of the variability is deterministic.

Fig. 2. (a) As Fig. 1a but only showing the South Pacific. (b) As Fig. 1c but only showing the South Pacific. The small inset shows the zonal averages (averaged over the longitudes of the black box) of the standard deviation depicted in (a) (orange line) and the ratio depicted in (b) (purple line), both without units. (c) Mean zonal velocity in cm s\(^{-1}\) at 93 m depth from REF (shading). The zonal velocity is positive eastward. Overlying contours show EKE at 93 m from REF. Contour levels are 10, 20, 50, 100 cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\). The small inset depicts the zonal averages (averaged over the black box) of zonal velocity \(u\) (red line, cm s\(^{-1}\)) and EKE (black line, cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\) divided by 10). In (a), (b) and (c) the black box indicates the region in which further investigations are conducted.

Fig. 3. (a) Zonal mean velocity \(u\) in cm s\(^{-1}\) from REF, averaged from 1963-2006, against depth (shading). Positive values indicate eastward velocities. Overlying contours show density \((\sigma_0)\) with contours every 0.5 kg m\(^{-3}\) from 23.0 – 27.0 kg m\(^{-3}\). In the upper section, zonal mean EKE in cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\) at 93 m depth is shown. All quantities shown are averaged over the longitudes of the black box shown in Fig. 2. (b) As (a), but for WOA13.v2 (\(u\), \(\sigma_0\)) and AVISO (EKE) data. In (b), \(u\) are geostrophic velocities calculated with a reference depth of 1500 m.

Fig. 4. Climatological seasonal cycles averaged over 153 – 175°W, 25 – 33°S of: (a) Simulated (REF) zonal velocity difference \(\Delta u\) in cm s\(^{-1}\) between 93 m and 628 m (black solid line), and simulated (REF) density difference \(\Delta \sigma_0\) in kg m\(^{-3}\) between 93 m and 628 m (red dashed line). (b) Geostrophic zonal velocity difference \(\Delta u\) in cm s\(^{-1}\) between 100 m and 600 m (black solid line), and density difference \(\Delta \sigma_0\) in kg m\(^{-3}\) between 100 m and 600 m (red dashed line) based on WOA13.v2. (c) Simulated (REF) \(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma}\) in 10\(^{-6}\) °C m s\(^{-1}\) averaged from 64 m to 322 m, and simulated (REF) surface EKE in cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\). (d) Observed (AVIS0) geostrophic surface EKE in cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\). Note the different scales on the y axes of panels (a) and (b), and (c) and (d). For an easier interpretation of the results, the seasonal cycles are repeated once on the x-axis.

Fig. 5. Time series of EKE (cm\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\)) at 93 m depth averaged over the black box shown in Fig. 2 (153 – 175°W, 25 – 33°S) for REF (solid black line), CLIM (dashed black line), and a 1/10° model (TROPAC01, solid red line). All time series in the main plot have been filtered with a 5 year cutoff period. Blue, shaded area shows the anomalous period from 1971-1981.

Fig. 6. (a) 1971-1981 anomaly of zonal mean density \(\sigma_0\) (REF) against depth (shading). Overlying contours show temperature anomalies with contours every 0.1°C from –0.6 to 0.3°C. In the upper section, the zonal mean EKE anomaly at 93 m depth is shown. (b) 1971-1981 anomaly of zonal velocity \(u\) in cm s\(^{-1}\) against depth (shading). Overlying contours show the anomalous zonal mean meridional density gradient \(d\sigma_0/dy\). Negative values indicate an increased southward density gradient. Contours are shown every 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-7}\) kg m\(^{-3}\) m\(^{-1}\).
from $-3$ to $3 \times 10^{-7}$ kg m$^{-3}$ m$^{-1}$. All quantities shown are averaged over the longitudes of the black box shown in 2 (153 − 175°W). Anomalies are calculated with respect to the 1960-2009 mean.

Fig. 7. (a) 1971-1981 anomaly of zonal mean density $\sigma_0$ (REF) against depth (shading). (b) Density $\sigma_0$ calculated from mean salinity and anomalous temperature to illustrate the effect of temperature anomalies on the density distribution. (c) Density $\sigma_0$ calculated from mean temperature and anomalous salinity to illustrate the effect of salinity anomalies on the density distribution. All quantities shown are averaged over the longitudes of the black box shown in Fig. 2 (153 − 175°W), the latitudes of the box are indicated by the vertical, dashed black lines. Anomalies are calculated with respect to the 1960-2009 mean.

Fig. 8. Time series averaged over 153 − 175°W, 25 − 33°S of simulated (REF) $\overline{wT'}$ in $10^{-7}$ C° m s$^{-1}$ averaged from 64 m to 322 m (solid black line), simulated (REF) zonal velocity difference $\Delta u$ between 93 m and 628 m depth in cm s$^{-1}$ (dashed black line), and simulated (REF) EKE in cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 93 m depth (solid red line.)

Fig. 9. Time series of EKE at 93 m depth averaged over the black box shown in Fig. 2 (153 − 175°W, 25 − 33°S) for REF (solid black line), CLIM (dashed black line), WIND (solid red line), BUOY (dashed red line), and IPOsim (green line). Blue, shaded area shows the period over which anomalies are averaged.

Fig. 10. (a) Zonal mean density ($\sigma_0$) anomalies at 322 m depth (averaged over the longitudes of the black box shown in Fig. 2, the latitudinal extent is indicated here by the dashed grey lines.) over time (shading). Overlying contours depict wind stress curl anomalies. Contours are drawn every $0.1 \times 10^7$ N m$^{-2}$ from $-0.3$ to $0.3 \times 10^7$ N m$^{-2}$. Anomalies are calculated with respect to the 1960-2009 mean, detrended and filtered with a 5-year cutoff period Lanczos filter. (b) IPO index (from Henley et al. (2015)) filtered with a 5-year cutoff period Lanczos filter.

Fig. 11. Meridional mean density ($\sigma_0$) anomalies (averaged over the latitudes of the black box shown in Fig. 2 whose longitudinal extent is indicated by the dashed grey line) at 322 m depth over time. Grey solid lines represent the theoretical path of an anomaly propagating westward with speed of 6 cm s$^{-1}$, which is in the range of observed speeds for Rossby waves at these latitudes (Chelton and Schlax 1996). The solid, black line in the right panel depicts the wind stress curl anomaly averaged over 110 − 120°W, 25 − 33°S (indicated by the two vertical dotted, grey lines). Density and wind stress curl are band-pass filtered, only retaining periods of 2 − 5 years. Anomalies are calculated with respect to the 1960-2009 mean.
Fig. 1. (a) The standard deviation of decadally smoothed EKE from ORCA025 (REF, 1963-2006) at 93 m depth (a low-pass Lanczos filter with a 5-year cutoff period is used at every grid point), divided by the mean EKE (REF, 1963-2006) at each grid point. A value of one indicates the amplitude of decadal EKE variations to be as large as the mean EKE, while a value close to zero depicts a grid point without significant EKE variability on decadal time scales. (b) As (a), but for the 1/10° resolution domain of TROPAC01. (c) The ratio of variance of decadally smoothed intrinsic EKE from CLIM (93 m depth, year 16-59) to variance of decadally smoothed total EKE from REF (93 m depth, 1963-2006) as described in section 3a. A ratio of one indicates that all variability is intrinsic, while values close to zero show grid points where most of the variability is deterministic.
Fig. 2. (a) As Fig. 1a but only showing the South Pacific. (b) As Fig. 1c but only showing the South Pacific. The small inset shows the zonal averages (averaged over the longitudes of the black box) of the standard deviation depicted in (a) (orange line) and the ratio depicted in (b) (purple line), both without units. (c) Mean zonal velocity in cm s$^{-1}$ at 93 m depth from REF (shading). The zonal velocity is positive eastward. Overlying contours show EKE at 93 m from REF. Contour levels are 10, 20, 50, 100 cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$. The small inset depicts the zonal averages (averaged over the black box) of zonal velocity $u$ (red line, cm s$^{-1}$) and EKE (black line, cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$ divided by 10). In (a), (b) and (c) the black box indicates the region in which further investigations are conducted.
Fig. 3. (a) Zonal mean velocity $u$ in cm s$^{-1}$ from REF, averaged from 1963-2006, against depth (shading). Positive values indicate eastward velocities. Overlying contours show density ($\sigma_0$) with contours every 0.5 kg m$^{-3}$ from $23.0 - 27.0$ kg m$^{-3}$. In the upper section, zonal mean EKE in cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$ at 93 m depth is shown. All quantities shown are averaged over the longitudes of the black box shown in Fig. 2. (b) As (a), but for WOA13.v2 ($u$, $\sigma_0$) and AVISO (EKE) data. In (b), $u$ are geostrophic velocities calculated with a reference depth of 1500 m.
Fig. 4. Climatological seasonal cycles averaged over 153 – 175°W, 25 – 33°S of: (a) Simulated (REF) zonal velocity difference $\Delta u$ in cm s$^{-1}$ between 93 m and 628 m (black solid line), and simulated (REF) density difference $\Delta \sigma_0$ in kg m$^{-3}$ between 93 m and 628 m (red dashed line). (b) Geostrophic zonal velocity difference $\Delta u$ in cm s$^{-1}$ between 100 m and 600 m (black solid line), and density difference $\Delta \sigma_0$ in kg m$^{-3}$ between 100 m and 600 m (red dashed line) based on WOA13.v2. (c) Simulated (REF) $\overline{w^T \sigma'}$ in $10^{-6}$ C$^\circ$ m s$^{-1}$ averaged from 64 m to 322 m, and simulated (REF) surface EKE in cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$. (d) Observed (AVISO) geostrophic surface EKE in cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$. Note the different scales on the y axes of panels (a) and (b), and (c) and (d). For an easier interpretation of the results, the seasonal cycles are repeated once on the x-axis.
FIG. 5. Time series of EKE (cm$^2$ s$^{-2}$) at 93 m depth averaged over the black box shown in Fig. 2 (153 – 175°W, 25 – 33°S) for REF (solid black line), CLIM (dashed black line), and a 1/10° model (TROPAC01, solid red line)). All time series in the main plot have been filtered with a 5 year cutoff period. Blue, shaded area shows the anomalous period from 1971-1981.
FIG. 6. (a) 1971-1981 anomaly of zonal mean density $\sigma_0$ (REF) against depth (shading). Overlying contours show temperature anomalies with contours every 0.1°C from $-0.6$ to $0.3$°C. In the upper section, the zonal mean EKE anomaly at 93 m depth is shown. (b) 1971-1981 anomaly of zonal velocity $u$ in cm s$^{-1}$ against depth (shading). Overlying contours show the anomalous zonal mean meridional density gradient $d\sigma_0/dy$. Negative values indicate an increased southward density gradient. Contours are shown every $1 \times 10^{-7}$ kg m$^{-3}$ m$^{-1}$ from $-3$ to $3 \times 10^{-7}$ kg m$^{-3}$ m$^{-1}$. All quantities shown are averaged over the longitudes of the black box shown in 2 (153 – 175°W). Anomalies are calculated with respect to the 1960-2009 mean.
FIG. 7. (a) 1971-1981 anomaly of zonal mean density $\sigma_0$ (REF) against depth (shading). (b) Density $\sigma_0$ calculated from mean salinity and anomalous temperature to illustrate the effect of temperature anomalies on the density distribution. (c) Density $\sigma_0$ calculated from mean temperature and anomalous salinity to illustrate the effect of salinity anomalies on the density distribution. All quantities shown are averaged over the longitudes of the black box shown in Fig. 2 (153–175°W), the latitudes of the box are indicated by the vertical, dashed black lines. Anomalies are calculated with respect to the 1960-2009 mean.
FIG. 8. Time series averaged over 153–175°W, 25–33°S of simulated (REF) $\overline{w'T'}$ in $10^{-7} \, ^\circ C \, m \, s^{-1}$ averaged from 64 m to 322 m (solid black line), simulated (REF) zonal velocity difference $\Delta u$ between 93 m and 628 m depth in cm s$^{-1}$ (dashed black line), and simulated (REF) EKE in cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 93 m depth (solid red line.)
FIG. 9. Time series of EKE at 93 m depth averaged over the black box shown in Fig. 2 (153 – 175°W, 25 – 33°S) for REF (solid black line), CLIM (dashed black line), WIND (solid red line), BUOY (dashed red line), and IPOsim (green line). Blue, shaded area shows the period over which anomalies are averaged.
Fig. 10. (a) Zonal mean density ($\sigma_0$) anomalies at 322 m depth (averaged over the longitudes of the black box shown in Fig. 2, the latitudinal extent is indicated here by the dashed grey lines.) over time (shading). Overlying contours depict wind stress curl anomalies. Contours are drawn every $0.1 \times 10^7$ N m$^{-2}$ from $-0.3$ to $0.3 \times 10^7$ N m$^{-2}$. Anomalies are calculated with respect to the 1960-2009 mean, detrended and filtered with a 5-year cutoff period Lanczos filter. (b) IPO index (from Henley et al. (2015)) filtered with a 5-year cutoff period Lanczos filter.
Fig. 11. Meridional mean density ($\sigma_0$) anomalies (averaged over the latitudes of the black box shown in Fig. 2 whose longitudinal extent is indicated by the dashed grey line) at 322 m depth over time. Grey solid lines represent the theoretical path of an anomaly propagating westward with speed of 6 cm s$^{-1}$, which is in the range of observed speeds for Rossby waves at these latitudes (Chelton and Schlax 1996). The solid, black line in the right panel depicts the wind stress curl anomaly averaged over 110 – 120°W, 25 – 33°S (indicated by the two vertical dotted, grey lines). Density and wind stress curl are band-pass filtered, only retaining periods of 2 – 5 years. Anomalies are calculated with respect to the 1960-2009 mean.