

1 **Supplementary material: Fe(II) method details**

2 **Ferrozine**

3 Ferrozine sample bottles contained 0.1 mL ammonium acetate buffer (made from ammonium
4 hydroxide (Optima grade, Fisher) and acetic acid (Optima grade, Fisher) adjusted to pH 8.0) and
5 0.1 mL 10 mM ferrozine (3-(2-pyridyl)-5,6-diphenyl-1,2,4-triazine-p,p'-disulfonic acid, Sigma
6 Aldrich 'for spectrochemical determination of Fe') solution. After addition of seawater to the
7 pre-spiked sample bottles, the combined sample/reagent mixture was loaded into a 2.5 m liquid
8 waveguide capillary cell (LWCC, 3000 Series, World Precision Instruments) using a peristaltic
9 pump (MiniPuls 3, Gilson). Absorbance was measured at 562 nm (Stookey, 1970) and also 700
10 nm (a non-absorbing wavelength to monitor the stability of the baseline) 3-4 min after the
11 sample collection time using a USB4000 Fiber-optic Spectrometer (Ocean Optics) with a LS-1
12 tungsten halogen light source (Ocean Optics). A baseline measurement of the sample matrix
13 absorbance (without ferrozine) was also made for every experiment and deducted from measured
14 absorbance. Eight Fe(II) standards were run immediately before, or after, each method
15 comparison experiment encompassing the range of anticipated Fe(II) concentrations. Standards
16 were made using the seawater matrix of each experiment (retained from prior to the Fe(II) spike
17 addition), with ferrozine reagent added to solution prior to the standard Fe(II) spike as per
18 samples. Between samples the LWCC was rinsed sequentially with detergent, 0.1 M HCl and de-
19 ionized water.

20

21 **Luminol A**

22 FIA using luminol (O'Sullivan et al., 1995; Rose and Waite, 2001; Seitz and Hercules, 1972)
23 without a pre-concentration column (hereafter, 'luminol A') was conducted using a system
24 assembled from two 10-port 2-position valves (Valco, VICI), a photomultiplier tube (PMT,
25 H9319-11, Hamamatsu), a glass flow cell with a mirrored base (Waterville Analytical Products)
26 and a peristaltic pump (MiniPuls 3, Gilson). The PMT was secured inside an electrical box to
27 minimize background light and all reagent/sample tubing was opaque (Black PTFE, Global FIA)
28 except polyvinyl chloride peristaltic pump tubing (PVC, Gradko). The analytical setup of the
29 valve, reagent lines and PMT was as per Jones et al., (2013), but with two identical loops loading
30 Fe(II) reagent. Fe(II) reagent solution was made using a premix of 0.26 g luminol (98%, ROTH)
31 and 1.06 g K₂CO₃ (reagent grade, ROTH) in 10 mL de-ionized water, then stored overnight in
32 the dark at 6°C after shaking to ensure complete dissolution. This premix was then added to a 2
33 L solution of de-ionized water containing 80 mL NH₄OH (trace metal grade, Fisher), to which
34 approximately 22 mL HCl (trace metal grade, Fisher) was added to adjust the final pH to 10.1.
35 The mixed reagent was then allowed to stand for >24 h prior to use to maximize the luminol
36 response (King et al., 1995). During operation, reagent solution and seawater flowed
37 continuously. A loop of luminol reagent (approximately 200 µL) was introduced into the
38 seawater flow before the flow cell every 60 s (flow rates: 5 mL min⁻¹ sample seawater and 1 mL
39 min⁻¹ reagent). Valve operation and data acquisition were controlled by LabVIEW software.
40 Eight Fe(II) standard additions were made to the seawater matrix of each experiment prior to the
41 Fe(II) spike and used to calibrate chemiluminescence peak height. Standard solutions were each
42 run to produce 5 consecutive peaks.

43

44 **Luminol B**

45 A second FIA method (hereafter 'luminol B', as opposed to 'luminol A' described above), using
46 a 8-hydroxyquinoline (8-HQ) pre-concentration column (Landing et al., 1986), was also used.
47 For this method, a 50 mM luminol stock was prepared by dissolving 0.177 g luminol (98%,
48 ROTH) and 0.250 g Na₂CO₃ (Sigma-Aldrich) in 20 mL of de-ionized water which was then
49 stored overnight at 6°C prior to use. A 2 M NaOH (trace metal grade, Sigma- Aldrich) stock
50 solution was prepared in 200 mL de-ionized water. A 0.1 M stock solution of dimethylglyoxime
51 (DMG) (Fluka, >99%), used to mask the interference caused by Co(II) (Klopf and Nieman,
52 1983; Ussher et al., 2009), was prepared in methanol (Acros Organics, 99.9%). A 40 mM
53 sulphite standard was prepared from sodium sulphite (Acros Organics, 98.5%) in de-ionized
54 water. A 10 µM luminol working solution was prepared as required by dissolving 15 g of
55 Na₂CO₃ (Acros Organics, 99.5%) in 500 mL de-ionized water, to which 200 µL of luminol
56 stock, 5 mL NaOH stock and 200 µL DMG stock were added and then the solution made up to 1
57 L with de-ionized water. The luminol reagent solution was then passed through a Chelex 100
58 column, which was pre-cleaned with 0.5 M HCl (Fisher, trace metal grade) followed by de-
59 ionized water, flowing at approximately 2 mL min⁻¹ and allowed to stabilize for >24 h before use
60 (Bowie et al., 1998). A 50 mM HCl elution acid was made by diluting HCL (UPA grade, Romil)
61 with de-ionized water. 2 M ammonium acetate buffer stock was prepared from NH₄OH (Optima
62 grade, Fisher) and CH₃COOH (Optima grade, Fisher) in de-ionized water and adjusted to pH 5-
63 5.5. To make a working buffer solution, 200 mL of buffer stock was diluted with de-ionized
64 water to a final volume of 1 L. The luminol B apparatus setup included 3 peristaltic pumps
65 (Gilson, Minipuls3) with 2 stop PVC accu-rated pump tubing (Elkay). All other manifold tubing
66 was fluorinated ethylene propylene (Cole-Palmer). The manifold used a solenoid valve to control
67 sample/buffer and wash flows, a 6-port 2-position injection valve (Valco, VICI) to control

68 loading and eluting cycles, and a PMT (Thorn EMI B2F/RFI+C634). Valve/pump timings and
 69 data acquisition were controlled by LabVIEW software with a data acquisition module (Ruthern
 70 Instruments, Bodmin, UK). A 120 s pre-loading time ensured any previous sample left in the line
 71 was flushed to waste. Sample was mixed with the buffer and then loaded over the 8-HQ column
 72 (for 60 s) which was then rinsed with de-ionized water and eluted (for 80 s) with 50 mM HCl
 73 (Table 2). The 80 s elution period, longer than needed to generate a peak, was maintained to
 74 prevent carry over between replicate loading/unloading cycles. 5 Fe(II) standard additions were
 75 used to calibrate the system by standard addition to a seawater matrix that was buffered to pH
 76 5.5. Standard solutions were each run for 5 consecutive sample cycles. Sampling was continuous
 77 during the oxidation experiments producing a sample peak every 3.5 min (Supplementary Table
 78 1).

79

	Pre-load	Sample loading	Column washing	Column eluting
Sample pump	120 s	60 s	Off	Off
Wash pump	Off	Off	30 s	Off
Reagent pump	120 s	60 s	30 s	80 s

80 Supplementary Table 1. Flow injection analysis cycle for the Fe(II) flow injection analysis pre-
 81 concentration system (luminol B).

82

83 Voltammetry

84 The voltammetry estimation of Fe(II) was carried out by determination of the difference between
85 reactive Fe(III) concentrations in the presence and absence of the Fe(II) binding ligand 2,2'-
86 dipyridyl (Dp). Reactive Fe(III) is defined as the concentration of Fe(III) available to complex
87 with the added electroactive ligand (which binds both Fe(III) and Fe(II)) (Waska et al., 2016) 1-
88 nitroso-2-naphthol. Prior addition of Dp to a sample has been shown to mask Fe(II) from the
89 determination, allowing the contribution of Fe(II) to the electrochemical Fe(III) signal to be
90 estimated (Gledhill and Van Den Berg, 1995). In this study, the method of Gledhill and van den
91 Berg (1995) was modified by omitting the catalytic oxidant H_2O_2 and the surfactant sodium
92 dodecyl sulfate. Sample bottles for the determination of reactive Fe(III) ($Fe_{R(III)}$) containing 50 μL
93 of 2 mM Dp were pre-prepared so that the Fe(II) was fixed by Dp as the sample was collected.
94 Sample bottles for the determination of total reactive Fe (Fe_R , the sum of reactive Fe(III) and
95 reactive Fe(II)) contained no added reagents prior to sample aliquot collection. In order to
96 control time differences between sample collection and analysis a calibration curve was
97 established using the experimental water pre Fe(II) addition. For the calibration curve nine 10
98 mL aliquots of experimental water were pipetted into separate 15 mL fluorinated ethylene
99 propylene centrifuge tubes. 1-Nitroso-2-naphthol (NN) was added to a final concentration of 20
100 μM and the sample buffered at pH 7.0 through the addition of Hepes (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-
101 piperazineethanesulfonic acid, Sigma) to a final concentration of 10 mM. Standard additions of
102 10 nM Fe(III) were added to 3 aliquots, and 20 nM Fe(III) to 3 separate aliquots. The samples
103 were left to equilibrate for >30 min prior to analysis. All experimental samples were analyzed in
104 triplicate. $Fe_{R(III)}$ was determined between 30 min and 1 h after sampling and Fe_R determined
105 subsequent to $Fe_{R(III)}$, 30 min to 1 h after the addition of NN. Close control of the analysis times

106 for all samples was considered necessary as determination of Fe_R is operational and can also be
107 influenced by the kinetics of NN and Fe complexation in seawater (Laglera and Filella, 2015).
108 For all samples the voltammetry conditions were as follows: N_2 Purge time 180 s, deposition
109 potential -0.15 V, deposition time 30 s, quiescence time 8 s, potential scan from -0.25 V to -0.6
110 V using sampled DC with an interval time of 0.1 s and a step potential of 0.00255 to give a scan
111 rate of 25 mV s^{-1} . Prior to experiments the response to Fe(II) of Dp was checked and found to be
112 equivalent to Fe(III) (0.6 nA nmol^{-1}).

113 **References**

- 114 Bowie, A. R., Achterberg, E. P., Mantoura, R. F. C., and Worsfold, P. J. (1998). Determination
115 of sub-nanomolar levels of iron in seawater using flow injection with chemiluminescence
116 detection. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 361, 189–200. doi:10.1016/S0003-2670(98)00015-4.
- 117 Gledhill, M., and Van Den Berg, C. M. G. (1995). Measurement of the redox speciation of iron
118 in seawater by catalytic cathodic stripping voltammetry. *Mar. Chem.* 50, 51–61.
119 doi:10.1016/0304-4203(95)00026-N.
- 120 Jones, M. R., Nightingale, P. D., Turner, S. M., and Liss, P. S. (2013). Adaptation of a load-
121 inject valve for a flow injection chemiluminescence system enabling dual-reagent injection
122 enhances understanding of environmental Fenton chemistry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 796, 55–60.
123 doi:10.1016/j.aca.2013.08.003.
- 124 King, D. W., Lounsbury, H. A., and Millero, F. J. (1995). Rates and mechanism of Fe(II)
125 oxidation at nanomolar total iron concentrations. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 29, 818–824.
126 doi:10.1021/es00003a033.

127 Klopff, L. L., and Nieman, T. A. (1983). Effect of iron(II), cobalt(II), copper(II) and
128 manganese(II) on the chemiluminescence of luminol in the absence of hydrogen peroxide.
129 *Anal. Chem.* 55, 1080–1083. doi:10.1021/ac00258a023.

130 Laglera, L. M., and Filella, M. (2015). The relevance of ligand exchange kinetics in the
131 measurement of iron speciation by CLE-AdCSV in seawater. *Mar. Chem.* 173, 100–113.
132 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2014.09.005.

133 Landing, W. M., Haraldsson, C., and Paxeus, N. (1986). Vinyl Polymer Agglomerate Based
134 Transition Metal Cation Chelating Ion-Exchange Resin Containing the 8-Hydroxyquinoline
135 Functional Group. *Anal. Chem.* 58, 3031–3035. doi:10.1021/ac00127a029.

136 O’Sullivan, D. W., Hanson Jr., A. K., and Kester, D. R. (1995). Stopped flow luminol
137 chemiluminescence determination of Fe(II) and reducible iron in seawater at subnanomolar
138 levels. *Mar. Chem.* 49, 65–77. doi:10.1016/0304-4203(94)00046-G.

139 Rose, A. L., and Waite, T. D. (2001). Chemiluminescence of luminol in the presence of iron(II)
140 and oxygen: Oxidation mechanism and implications for its analytical use. *Anal. Chem.* 73,
141 5909–5920. doi:10.1021/ac015547q.

142 Seitz, W. R., and Hercules, D. M. (1972). Determination of Trace Amounts of Iron(II) Using
143 Chemiluminescence Analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 44, 2143–2149. doi:10.1021/ac60321a020.

144 Stookey, L. L. (1970). Ferrozine- a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. *Anal. Chem.* 42,
145 779–781. doi:10.1021/ac60289a016.

146 Ussher, S. J., Milne, A., Landing, W. M., Attiq-ur-Rehman, K., Seguret, M. J. M., Holland, T., et

147 al. (2009). Investigation of iron(II) reduction and trace metal interferences in the
148 determination of dissolved iron in seawater using flow injection with luminol
149 chemiluminescence detection. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 652, 259–265.
150 doi:10.1016/j.aca.2009.06.011.

151 Waska, H., Koschinsky, A., and Dittmar, T. (2016). Fe- and Cu-Complex Formation with
152 Artificial Ligands Investigated by Ultra-High Resolution Fourier-Transform ion Cyclotron
153 Resonance Mass Spectrometry (FT-ICR-MS): Implications for Natural Metal-Organic
154 Complex Studies. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 3. doi:10.3389/fmars.2016.00119.

155