Zooplankton Gut Passage Mobilizes Lithogenic Iron for Ocean Productivity

Highlights
- Iron content in krill muscle rises with the amount of ingested lithogenic particles
- Krill feces have ~5-fold higher proportions of labile iron than intact diatoms
- Lithogenic iron mobilized by krill can enter the dissolved pool via multiple pathways
- The prevailing foodweb structure plays an important role in ocean iron fertilization
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In Brief
Lack of iron limits primary production in the ocean. Terrestrial-derived lithogenic particles can be iron-rich, but low solubility makes it unavailable. Schmidt et al. show that zooplankton ingests these particles and acidic digestion mobilizes the attached iron. This is a significant pathway of new iron supply and can boost ocean productivity.
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SUMMARY

Iron is an essential nutrient for phytoplankton, but low concentrations limit primary production and associated atmospheric carbon drawdown in large parts of the world’s oceans [1, 2]. Lithogenic particles deriving from aeolian dust deposition, glacial runoff, or river discharges can form an important source if the attached iron becomes dissolved and therefore bioavailable [3–5]. Acidic digestion by zooplankton is a potential mechanism for iron mobilization [6], but evidence is lacking. Here we show that Antarctic krill sampled near glacial outlets at the island of South Georgia (Southern Ocean) ingest large amounts of lithogenic particles and contain 3-fold higher iron concentrations in their muscle than specimens from offshore, which confirms mineral dissolution in their guts. About 90% of the lithogenic and biogenic iron ingested by krill is passed into their fecal pellets, which contain ~5-fold higher proportions of labile (reactive) iron than intact diatoms. The mobilized iron can be released in dissolved form directly from krill or via multiple pathways involving microbes, other zooplankton, and krill predators. This can deliver substantial amounts of bioavailable iron and contribute to the fertilization of coastal waters and the ocean beyond. In line with our findings, phytoplankton blooms downstream of South Georgia are more intensive and longer lasting during years with high krill abundance on-shelf. Thus, krill crop phytoplankton but boost new production via their nutrient supply. Understanding and quantifying iron mobilization by zooplankton is essential to predict ocean productivity in a warming climate where lithogenic iron inputs from deserts, glaciers, and rivers are increasing [7–10].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

While most of the remote Southern Ocean is a high-nitrate low-chlorophyll (HNLC) area, primary productivity can be elevated for hundreds of kilometers downstream of islands, including South Georgia (Figure 1A). This is considered a consequence of iron supply from the island shelves and its subsequent transport and recycling within the current flow [11–15]. Our in situ measurements of dissolved iron (DFe; <0.2 μm), total dissolved iron (TDFe; unfiltered), and surface water salinity suggest that high iron concentrations over the northern shelf of South Georgia are also associated with a freshwater source: melting glaciers (Figures 1B and 1C; Figure S1). Glacial runoff has been found to be an important iron source in other polar regions [4, 16, 17] due to its high sediment load and the attached aggregations of iron oxyhydroxide nanoparticles [4, 18]. However, most of the iron associated with glacial runoff is removed from surface waters during transition from low to high salinity [19], and the fate and chemical processing of iron during transport from glaciers to the adjacent ocean is not well understood [20].

Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba) are central within the South Georgia foodweb because they transfer primary production to higher trophic levels, including fish, seals, penguins, albatrosses, and whales [21]. Highest krill abundances on the eastern side of the island coincide with low chlorophyll a (chl a) concentrations due to its high sediment load and the attached aggregations of iron oxyhydroxide nanoparticles [4, 18]. However, stomach content analysis reveals that krill do not only feed on phytoplankton but also ingest lithogenic particles and copepods when those are abundant (Figure 1H). Consequently, the amount of lithogenic particles in krill stomachs increased exponentially toward the main glacial outlets at Cumberland Bay, reaching >100-fold higher values than at a reference station ~170 km away (Figure 2A). In concert with the increased ingestion of lithogenic particles, krill had up to 3-fold higher iron concentrations in their muscle tissue and 1–2 orders of magnitude higher iron concentrations in their fecal pellets (Figures 2B and 2C). Regardless of the sampling location, krill fecal pellets contained typically higher proportions of labile iron than the suspended material in surface waters (labile iron in pellets: 2.4% ± 2.0% of total particulate iron; labile iron in suspended material dominated by diatoms: 0.5% ± 0.5%, T value = 4.85, p value = 0.0001, degree of freedom = 31) (Figure 2D).

Both the enhanced iron concentrations in krill tissue and the high labile iron content in their fecal pellets suggest that some of the ingested lithogenic iron is mobilized and even dissolved during gut passage. Such a mechanism has been shown for...
benthic and intertidal species, including polychaetes, bivalves, and harpacticoid copepods [22–24], but until now evidence was missing for zooplankton. The mobilization of lithogenic iron is likely due to the acidic digestion typical for crustaceans [25, 26]. A gut pH of 5.4, as found in pelagic copepods [26], enhances the Fe(III) solubility ~100-fold compared to carbonate-buffered seawater [27]. Other factors associated with feeding, such as mechanical and enzymatic impact on particles and anoxia [22, 26], may complement the effect of a lowered pH. Moreover, it has been shown that Fe(III) regenerated by zooplankton is bound to organic ligands, which can keep the released iron in the dissolved pool [28, 29]. These iron-binding ligands are likely degradation products of ingested phytoplankton (e.g., porphyrin compounds [28]) that are delivered alongside the reduced iron during digestion. To quantify the role of iron mobilization by krill in ocean fertilization, one needs to measure individual iron release rates and scale them up to the local abundance of krill. Therefore, we conducted short-term shipboard incubations of freshly caught krill as in a previous study [30], with the difference being that not only TDFe release rates [30] but also the excretion of DFe were measured. No relationship was found between the release rates of TDFe or DFe and krill body size, but instead relationships were found with the type and amount of ingested food. The DFe excretion rates increased with the initial amount of diatoms in krill stomachs (DFe = −25.07 + 3.59 [diatoms], R² = 0.624, p = 0.011) (Figure 2E), while the TDFe release rates were a function of both the amount of ingested diatoms and lithogenic particles (TDFe = −679 + 66.7 [diatoms] + 31.3 [lithogenic particles], R² = 0.659, p = 0.025, general linear model). Moreover, there was a strong correlation between TDFe release rates and
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**Figure 1. Glacial Supply of Iron, Phytoplankton Distribution, and Krill Grazing at South Georgia**

(A) The Southern Ocean with the study area at South Georgia (red box) overlaying a chlorophyll a (chl a) climatology derived from MODIS-Aqua (July 2002–February 2015).

(B–H) Results from our study period: December 25, 2010–January 19, 2011.

(B) Salinity in surface waters. Cumberland Bay (CB) is a major glacial outlet.

(C) Distribution of total dissolvable iron (unfiltered, TDFe). Information on the distribution of dissolved iron (<0.2 μm, DFe) and correlations between salinity and both TDFe and DFe are given in Figure S1.

(D) Distribution of chl a concentrations (μg L⁻¹).

(E) Distribution of krill density (g wet mass m⁻²).

(F) Proportion of diatoms in the suspended particulate matter (PM) at 20 m water depth.

(G) Proportion of fecal pellets in the suspended particulate matter at 20 m water depth.

(H) Stomach content of freshly caught krill.
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the dry mass of fecal pellets egested during the 3 hr incubations, indicating that fecal pellets were the main source of the released TDFe (Figure 2F). No such relationship was found between DFe release rate and the mass of egested pellets, so DFe was probably directly excreted by krill rather than leached from their pellets. The estimated total iron supply rates by krill in the upper mixed layer ranged from 0.1 to 31 pM DFe d\(^{-1}\) and 5 to 355 pM TDFe d\(^{-1}\) (Table S1). These DFe excretion rates are at the mid-range of values previously reported for micro- and mesozooplankton and cover up to 30% of the phytoplankton iron demand under bloom conditions (Tables S1 and S2). However, these are conservative estimates, as on average two-thirds of the krill population resided below the mixed layer, and additional DFe released by those krill may enter surface waters through vertical transport [15]. The DFe flux due to krill grazing is within the range of flux from physical sources such as upwelling, vertical diffusion, and atmospheric dust deposition (Table S3).

Our study shows that, on average, >90% of iron ingested by krill is re-packaged into fecal pellets rather than excreted as DFe or incorporated into body tissue (Figure 3). This is because iron concentrations in krill fecal pellets were 3–4 orders of magnitude higher than in muscle tissue and because >90% of the iron released by krill during short-term incubations was in particulate rather than dissolved form. Therefore, the cycling of iron ingested by krill is closely linked to the fate of their fecal pellets. Even in the laboratory, sinking rates of krill fecal pellets are highly variable (1–51 m h\(^{-1}\)) depending on packaging density, pellet volume, and mineral ballast [31]. In situ, pellet sinking is further modified by turbulence and impact of grazers. At several of our sampling stations, fecal pellets accounted for >70% of the suspended particulate matter in surface waters (Figure 1G), which suggests some retention. While krill fecal pellets are traditionally seen as fast-sinking and exporting nutrients [32, 33], there is increasing awareness that a substantial proportion of pellets is fragmented and degraded in the upper ocean [34, 35], and nutrients are resupplied.

Regardless of the fate of these pellets, krill gut passage increases the proportion of labile iron and therefore the likelihood of subsequent iron dissolution due to photochemical reactions, ligand activity, microbial recycling, or zooplankton coprophagy [5, 35–37]. Radiotracer experiments have shown that 6–96 pM DFe d\(^{-1}\) can be released from copepod fecal pellets, which is similar in extent to iron regeneration from phytoplankton either due to viral lysis or grazing [37]. Thus, in addition to immediate DFe excretion by krill, further DFe may derive from the degradation of fecal pellets and the digestion of krill tissue by predators [37, 38]. In conclusion, krill uptake and mobilization of lithogenic and biogenic iron provides the basis for several pathways of DFe supply. These pathways involve the activity of other organisms—microbes, zooplankton, krill predators—and abiotic processes (Figure 3), and in their sum they can deliver a substantial part of the phytoplankton iron demand.

However, questions remain over the bioavailability of the supplied iron [39], the competition between bloom-forming diatoms and bacteria for iron [37], and the balance between phytoplankton fertilization and grazing losses [40]. Insights into the net effect of krill may be provided by the fact that krill abundances at South Georgia vary greatly from year to year due to far-field processes: the recruitment in their nursery grounds in the south and subsequent advection across the Scotia Sea [41]. High-krill years coincide with low chl a concentrations on
supply from the island [12, 15, 44], so any process that enhances the concentration of DFe and TDFe in surface waters on the shelf would also contribute to the bloom development downstream. At our outermost sampling station, the Fe:C ratios of diatom-dominated suspended matter were still 2 orders of magnitude higher ($3600 \pm 330 \mu$mol mol$^{-1}$) than values for diatoms under Fe-replete conditions elsewhere [45]. Luxury iron uptake by abundant pennate diatoms [46] on the shelf and their subsequent transport downstream may be an important mechanism for offshore iron fertilization, as it retains particulate iron and recycled iron in surface waters. The fact that, even in years with high krill grazing impact, the bloom was not entirely suppressed but rather was displaced beyond their habitat suggests that iron loss via sinking krill fecal pellets is secondary. In conclusion, we offer the hypothesis that long-lasting blooms downstream of South Georgia benefit from on-shelf iron mobilization and recycling by krill and other heterotrophs.

There is general consensus that zooplankton grazing is an important mechanism to recycle biogenic iron [28, 39]. This recycled iron seems to be highly bioavailable, as diatoms take up iron regenerated by copepods 4 × 7 faster than inorganic iron [39]. Copepods also release iron-binding ligands when grazing on phytoplankton [28, 29]. The ligands can complex with inorganic iron and thereby increase iron concentration solubility. Our study shows a third implication of zooplankton feeding: the mobilization of iron attached to lithogenic particles. Acidic digestion and other gut processes can accelerate the dissolution of lithogenic iron, which is low in carbonate-buffered seawater. This mechanism was proposed based on enhanced trace-element mobility at lowered pH [6], but our field study now brings direct evidence. The ingestion of lithogenic particles is not restricted to Antarctic krill but is a widespread phenomenon among suspension-feeding zooplankton. It is known for copepods, mysids, salps, other euphausiids, and ciliates in river plums, fjords, at the seabed, or in the open ocean after dust deposition [47–53]. Therefore, iron mobilization by zooplankton could be important across a variety of habitats. Our study emphasizes that ocean fertilization does not depend merely on physical iron supply but also on the prevailing foodweb structure that facilitates iron solubility, mobilization, and recycling.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

This text summarizes the methods used, with the Supplemental Experimental Procedures providing full details.

**Sampling**

Our study took place during a research cruise at the northern shelf of South Georgia (Southern Ocean, 53–54°S; 35–39°W) from December 2010 to January 2011 onboard RRS James Clark Ross. The station activities included (1) an acoustic survey to estimate local krill densities over the diurnal cycle, (2) live-krill sampling for stomach content analysis, fecal pellet production, iron measurements, and incubation experiments, (3) collection of suspended particulate matter by Stand-Alone Pump Systems (SAPS) for taxonomic identification and iron measurements, and (4) water sampling with towed fish and GO-FLO bottles for respective horizontal and vertical profiles of DFe and TDFe.

**Krill Incubations**

Under iron-clean conditions, freshly caught krill were rinsed and placed in 9L polycarbonate carboys filled with 0.2 μm filtered seawater from surface-towed trace metal clean fish. At each station, two to three replicate carboys each
Iron Measurements
In a trace metal clean laboratory container onboard the ship, water samples for DFe (<0.2 μm) and TDFe (unfiltered) were acidified with ultra-pure HNO3 to pH 1.66 for subsequent analysis by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The labile particulate iron fraction was remobilized with a 25% solution containing 10–20 krill and two control carboys without krill were run at 2°C. The incubation water was sampled for DFe and TDFe initially and after 1 hr and 3 hr. At termination of the experiment, the remaining fecal pellets were collected for dry mass estimates.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, two figures, and three tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.07.058.
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Fig. S1, Related to Fig. 1. Dissolved and total dissolvable iron concentrations north of South Georgia (study period: 25th Dec 2010 – 19th Jan 2011). A, Concentrations of dissolved iron (< 0.2 µm, DFe) and total dissolvable iron (unfiltered, TDFe), salinity and chlorophyll a in surface waters from major glacial outlets at Cumberland Bay (CB) across the northern shelf and downstream of the island. B, Relationship between DFe- and TDFe concentration and salinity in surface waters (‘Fish’, station locations in Fig. S1A) or at 20 m water depth (‘GoFlo’, station locations to the right).
Fig. S2, Related to Fig. 4. Annual differences in bloom intensity and duration
Average chl $a$ concentration (A) and bloom duration (B) in years with low (left) and high (right) krill abundances on the South Georgia shelf.
Chl $a$ concentrations were derived from ocean colour radiometry (MODIS 2002-2013, mid August-mid April, 8-day composites). A bloom was defined as $\geq 1$ µg Chl $a$ L$^{-1}$.
Table S1, Related to Figure 2. Comparison between phytoplankton DFe demand and krill DFe supply for stations where the release of DFe by krill had been measured in ship-board incubations. blue – rates are expressed as per m² for the upper ~300 m water column, red – rates are expressed as per m³ for the upper mixed layer (UML), green – results from ship-board incubations of krill.

| Event | Chl \(a\) (mg m⁻³) | PP (g C m⁻² d⁻¹) | DFe-demand-A (µmol Fe m⁻² d⁻¹) | UML (m) | DFe-demand-B (nmol Fe m⁻³ d⁻¹) | DFe excretion (nmol Fe ind⁻¹ d⁻¹) | No krill-A (ind m⁻²) | DFe supply-A (µmol Fe m⁻² d⁻¹) | No krill-B (ind m⁻³) | DFe supply-B (nmol Fe m⁻³ d⁻¹) | Percentage % | Percentage % |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 17    | 2               | 0.46            | 1.43                          | 60     | 23.8                            | 5.3                          | 185             | 0.98            | 1.4             | 7.4             | 69              | 31              |
| 44    | 1.3             | 0.36            | 1.12                          | 60     | 18.6                            | 1.1                          | 185             | 0.2             | 1.4             | 1.5             | 18              | 8               |
| 67    | 1.5             | 0.39            | 1.21                          | 60     | 20.1                            | 3.3                          | 130             | 0.43            | 0.9             | 3.0             | 35              | 15              |
| 92    | 0.26            | 0.21            | 0.65                          | 70     | 9.4                             | 4.3                          | 1582            | 6.88            | 7.1             | 31              | 1050            | 331             |
| 107   | 0.26            | 0.21            | 0.65                          | 70     | 9.4                             | 0.1                          | 1408            | 0.21            | 6.3             | 0.9             | 32              | 10              |
| 116   | 0.35            | 0.23            | 0.69                          | 45     | 15.4                            | 2.3                          | 264             | 0.61            | 1.4             | 3.2             | 87              | 21              |
| 128   | 0.35            | 0.23            | 0.69                          | 45     | 15.4                            | 1.1                          | 194             | 0.2             | 1.0             | 1.1             | 29              | 7               |
| 145   | 0.7             | 0.28            | 0.85                          | 60     | 14.2                            | 0.4                          | 172             | 0.08            | 1.0             | 0.5             | 9               | 3               |
| 154   | 1               | 0.32            | 0.98                          | 60     | 16.4                            | 0.2                          | 61              | 0.02            | 0.5             | 0.1             | 2               | 1               |
| Mean  | 0.9             | 0.3             | 0.9                           | 59     | 15.9                            | 2.0                          | 464             | 1.1             | 2.3             | 5.4             |                 |                 |

Calculations:

- DFe-demand-A (µmol Fe m⁻² d⁻¹) = Primary production (PP, g C m⁻² d⁻¹) × Fe:C ratio (µmol mol⁻¹)
- DFe-demand-B (nmol Fe m⁻³ d⁻¹) = DFe-demand-A (µmol Fe m⁻² d⁻¹) × 1000/ UML depth (m)
- No of krill-A (ind m⁻²) = krill density (g m⁻²) in upper 300 m water column/ krill dry mass (g ind⁻¹)
- No of krill-B (ind m⁻³) = No of krill-A (ind m⁻²) × percentage of krill in UML/ UML depth (m)
- DFe-supply-A (µmol Fe m⁻² d⁻¹) = DFe-excretion rate (nmol Fe ind⁻¹ d⁻¹) × No of krill-A (ind m⁻²)
- DFe-supply-B (nmol Fe m⁻³ d⁻¹) = DFe-excretion rate (nmol Fe ind⁻¹ d⁻¹) × No of krill-B (ind m⁻³)

Assumptions: (1) PP at South Georgia can be calculated from Chl \(a\) values using the equation \(y = 144.59x + 174.77\), \(R^2 = 0.8322\) (original data in [S1]).
(2) A Fe:C ratio of 37 µmol mol⁻¹ is representative for natural phytoplankton populations under Fe-replete conditions [S2].

Krill density (g m⁻²) and their vertical distribution across day- and night-time were estimated from acoustic backscattering. Average krill dry mass varied from 0.1 to 0.22 g ind⁻¹ between stations.
Table S2, Related to Figure 3. Overview of iron measurements in krill tissue, fecal pellets and incubation water, and DFe supply from zooplankton feeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iron cycling through krill</th>
<th>Dissolved Fe (DFe)</th>
<th>DFe supply from zooplankton feeding (DFe release rate × biomass)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• PFe in tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6-2.8 pM d⁻¹ (copepods, [S7])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle: 4-13 µg Fe g⁻¹ dm [this study], [S3]</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>0.7-5.8 pM d⁻¹ (mixed mesozooplankton, [S8])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach: 0.03- 6 mg Fe g⁻¹ dm [S3]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8-8 pM d⁻¹ (micro- and mesozooplankton, [S9])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole krill: 4-174 µg Fe g⁻¹ dm [S4], [S5]</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 – 31 pM d⁻¹ (krill, [this study])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PFe in fecal pellets</td>
<td></td>
<td>31 pM d⁻¹ (microzooplankton, [S10])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-149 mg Fe g⁻¹ dm [this study]</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>17-115 pM d⁻¹ (microzooplankton, [S11])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• TDFe release when feeding</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>DFe supply from fecal pellets</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02-0.6 µmol TDFe g⁻¹ dm d⁻¹ [this study]</td>
<td>0.9-34 nmol DFe g⁻¹ dm d⁻¹ (this study)</td>
<td>6-96 pM d⁻¹ (copepods, [S11])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5-16.5 µmol TDFe g⁻¹ dm d⁻¹ [S6]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation of TDFe- and DFe release rates were based on a krill dry mass (dm) of 0.156 g ind⁻¹, which represents an average value for the sampling stations of this study.
Table S3, Related to Figure 3. Fluxes of DFe from krill and physical sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DFe flux (µmol m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Georgia</td>
<td>Krill grazing (upper mixed layer)</td>
<td>0.35 (range 0.006-2.2)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Krill grazing (upper 300 m)</td>
<td>1.1 (range 0.02-6.9)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerguelen region</td>
<td>Atmospheric dust</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.039</td>
<td>[S12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerguelen plateau</td>
<td>Upwelling</td>
<td>0.2 – 0.25</td>
<td>[S12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerguelen plume</td>
<td>Upwelling</td>
<td>0.14-0.33</td>
<td>[S12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerguelen plateau</td>
<td>Diffusion</td>
<td>0.042-0.093</td>
<td>[S12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerguelen plume</td>
<td>Diffusion</td>
<td>0.0005-0.001</td>
<td>[S12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Island Glacier</td>
<td>Lateral diffusion (incl. phyto. uptake)</td>
<td>66 at 40 km from source</td>
<td>[S13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31 at 70 km from source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1 at 159 km from source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: To our knowledge there are no data from physical sources available for South Georgia. Therefore we used estimates from the Kerguelen Region, which is to some extent similar to South Georgia (but without Antarctic krill and less glacial run-off). The Pine Island Glacier in the Amundsen Sea is much larger than glaciers at South Georgia and a very important iron source to the region.
Supplemental Experimental Procedures

**Cruise details.** This study was part of a research expedition to the northern shelf of South Georgia from 25th December 2010 to 19th January 2011 onboard RRS *James Clark Ross*.

**Temperature, salinity and fluorescence.** Vertical profiles of conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) and fluorescence were collected with a SeaBird Electronics 9 Plus (SBE 9Plus) CTD and attached Aqua Tracka fluorometer (Chelsea Instruments). Underway surface salinity and fluorescence were obtained from the Oceanlogger SBE45 CTD using the ship’s seawater supply. Non-calibrated fluorescence data are given in ‘relative units’.

**Abundance of diatom, flagellates, ciliates and bacteria.** At each station, discrete water samples for taxonomic analysis of the plankton community were taken from 20m depth with a 10L Niskin bottle on a standard stainless steel CTD rosette. Samples were preserved with 2% acidic Lugol’s solution and stored in 250 ml amber glass bottles. A 50 ml sub-sample was settled for 24h in an Utermöhl counting receptacle and examined with an inverted microscope (Zeiss, Axiovert 25). Rare items (i.e. large diatoms, thecate dinoflagellates and large ciliates) were counted by scanning the complete receptacle at ×200 magnification, while small common items were enumerated from two perpendicular transects across the whole diameter of the receptacle. The smallest items included in this study were approximately 5 µm. Autotrophic flagellates, small naked dinoflagellates and thecate dinoflagellates were grouped as ‘flagellates’. The dimensions of the different taxa were measured and the volume calculated based on simple geometric shapes or combinations thereof [S14].

**Composition of suspended particulate matter.** Stand-Alone Pumping Systems (SAPS, Challenger Oceanic) were deployed to sample suspended particulate matter from 20m, 50m and 150m water depth. Material was pumped for 1-1.5 hours and filtered onto polycarbonate filters (293 mm diameter, 1 µm pore-size, Sterlitech, USA). From each filter a small piece (~5 cm²) was cut out with a ceramic knife for subsequent microscopical examination. The remaining filter was stored at -20°C for trace metal analysis. The microscopical analysis was carried out in analogue to that of plankton samples obtained with the Niskin bottles. However, due to the larger volume (mean: 530±210 L) and greater water depth, SAPs samples contained additional items such as copepods, nauplii, pteropods, tintinnids, fecal pellets and lithogenic particles. All items were enumerated and their dimensions measured. The volume and carbon content of copepods was estimated from their prosome length, that of nauplii from the total length according to [S15]. The shape of other items was considered to be either spherical (pteropods, some fecal pellets), cylindrical (fecal pellets) or a truncated cone (tintinnids). Due to intermediate size or breakage, fecal material (≥ 25 µm diameter) was combined into one category regardless its origin from copepods, euphausiids or pteropods. Lithogenic particles were counted in 3 size fractions: 5-10 µm, 11-25 µm and 26-50 µm and the volume was considered to be equal to a half-sphere.

**Krill acoustics.** Krill density (g m⁻²) and their vertical distribution were estimated from acoustic backscattering according to [S16]. The mean krill density per station was calculated from multiple 10-nm-transects across the area. Usually 5-7 transects were run to span a diel cycle. By pooling day-time vs. night-time transects, patterns in krill diurnal vertical migration were extracted. For the conversion of krill density (g m⁻²) into carbon concentrations (mg C m⁻³), first, the average wet mass of krill in the upper 50 m water column was calculated using day- and night-time vertical distribution profiles and, second, wet mass was transferred into carbon content applying a multiplication factor of 0.0993 [S17].

**Live krill sampling** was based on targets identified with the echosounder and carried out with purpose-built 1 m² square nets. To allow for short duration hauls, all but one of the targets were in the upper 50 m water column. Solid plastic cod ends minimised abrasion to the catch. Onboard, krill were immediately transferred into the cold room (2°C). A batch of ~50 krill was frozen at -80°C for diet analysis and trace metal analysis of muscle tissue.

**Krill stomach content.** To examine krill diet, the stomach was dissected from frozen krill and samples were analysed according to [S3],[S14].

**Fecal pellet collection.** About 150-200 healthy individuals were sequentially washed in a series of acid-cleaned buckets filled with 0.2 µm-filtered seawater obtained from trace metal clean towed fish and then left for defecation in a laminar flow cabinet in a temperature-controlled room (2°C). Fecal pellets were collected at 0.5-1 h intervals over a maximum of 3 hours using acid washed plastic pipettes. The pellets were purified, transferred to an acid washed plastic tube, rinsed twice with deionised water (Milli-Q, Millipore) and then frozen at -80°C for subsequent analysis of trace metals.
Krill bottle incubations. To set up the experiments, water from towed (2-3 m depth) trace metal clean fish was passed through a 0.2 µm membrane filter in a class 100 laminar flow cabinet and filled sequentially into 4-5 acid-washed 9 L-polycarbonate (PC) carboys (Nalgene). The carboys were placed in a laminar flow cabinet in a temperature-controlled room (2°C). Krill were first sequentially washed in three 5 L acid cleaned plastic buckets containing 0.2 µm filtered seawater and then placed into 2-3 of the 9 L PC carboys (10-20 krill carboy⁻¹ depending on their body size). The other 2 PC carboys served as controls. After initial mixing and adding krill, a subsample of 120 ml water was taken from each carboy tap and subsequently after 1 h and 3 h. The samples were transferred to a trace metal clean laboratory container for the analysis of DFe and TDFe. Following the termination of the experiments, the fecal pellets remaining at the bottom of the carboys were quantitatively collected into an acid washed plastic tube, twice rinsed with deionised water and then frozen at -80°C. The krill used in the experiments were also frozen at -80°C for subsequent enumeration and morphometric measurements.

Dissolved iron (DFe) and total dissolvable iron (TDFe) in water samples. Discrete water samples were collected from depths between 20-1000 m using six trace metal clean GO-FLO bottles (General Oceanics, Miami, USA) deployed on a Kevlar wire. In addition, surface water samples for DFe and TDFe were collected using the towed fish. The surface water was pumped from the towed fish to the trace metal clean laboratory container via an enclosed system, using Teflon tubing and suction provided by a peristaltic pump (Watson Marlow). Samples for DFe measurements were filtered through 0.2 µm cartridge filters (Sartobran P300, Sartorius) with gentle N₂ overpressure. The filtration was carried out in a class 100 laminar flow hood. Both DFe and TDFe samples were stored in 125 mL acid-washed low density polyethylene bottles (LDPE, Nalgene), acidified with ultra pure HNO₃ (Romil UpA) to pH 1.66 (22 mmol H⁺L⁻¹), and shipped to the National Oceanography Centre Southampton (NOCS). For off-line preconcentration of DFe and TDFe an automated system (Preplab) with a WACO preconcentration/matrix removal resin [S18] was used. Concentrations of DFe and TDFe were determined by isotope dilution inductively coupled plasma – mass spectrometry (ID-ICP-MS, Element II XR ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the method described by [S19].

It should be noted that TDFe represents DFe plus the amount of Fe re-dissolved from particles following > 6 months sample storage after the addition of 22mmol H⁺L⁻¹. This implies that acid-inert minerals (e.g. zircon) and their associated trace metals likely did not contribute to the total dissolvable concentration. The analytical blank of the ICP-MS method was determined and showed that the acid blank (distilled HNO₃) was negligible, while the buffer blank (2M NH₄Ac pH8.9) did not exceed 0.06 nmol L⁻¹. The detection limit of this method was 0.03 nmol L⁻¹ (defined as three times the standard deviation of the system blank, n = 3). The accuracy of the system was assessed by the determination of DFe in surface water (SAFe S) and deep water (SAFe D2), collected during the SAFe programme and in GEOTRACES surface (GS) and deep (GD) seawater reference materials. The concentration of DFe measured during this study was SAFe S = 0.087 ± 0.025 nmol L⁻¹ (n = 25), SAFe D2 = 0.90 ± 0.10 nmol L⁻¹ (n = 19), GEOTRACES GD = 1.28 ± 0.15 nmol L⁻¹ (n = 3), and GS = 0.56 ± 0.05 nmol L⁻¹ (n = 6). All standard seawater values were in good agreement with the census values (SAFe S = 0.093 nmol L⁻¹; SAFe D2 = 0.93 nmol L⁻¹; GS = 0.55 nmol L⁻¹; GD = 1.00 nmol L⁻¹).

Particulate iron in suspended material, krill fecal pellets and krill muscle tissue. Suspended particles were collected by the Stand-Alone Pumping Systems attached to a Kevlar wire and deployed for 1-1.5 h at 20 m, 50m, and 150 m. Acid cleaned 1 µm polycarbonate filters (Sterlitech, 293 mm diameter) were mounted and demounted in the individual filter holders in a laminar flow hood. The PC filters were stored at -20°C and shipped frozen to the NOCS. Here, filters were thawed and particles were rinsed off using deionized water. Particles were collected on acid cleaned 47 mm PC filters (0.4µm poresize, Sterlitech) mounted in a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE, Nalgene) filter holder. Following filtration, the loaded filters were transferred into a 50 mL acid cleaned PTFE container. The labile particulate trace metal fraction was remobilized using a 25% acetic acid solution (SpA, Romil) over a period of three hours. Subsequently, the filters were transferred carefully into acid cleaned petri dishes (Fisher) and particles removed from the filters during the leaching process in the 50 mL PTEE containers were concentrated by centrifugation. The remaining 25% acetic acid solution was decanted into 25 mL acid cleaned PTFE containers. The acetic acid solution was evaporated to dryness on a heat plate set to 90°C. The remaining salts were re-dissolved by 1 mL of concentrated sub-boiled HNO₃ (PTFE still, Savilex). The solution was concentrated on the heat plate until a small drop of HNO₃ was left. This drop was diluted with 10 mL of 2% HNO₃ (sub-boiled) and transferred into 30 mL acid cleaned LDPE bottles and stored until analysis.
After decanting the 25% acetic acid solution, the wet 47 mm PC filters were transferred back into the 50 mL PTFE container and placed onto the PTFE container wall to minimize the filter blank. To digest the remaining particles, 2 mL of concentrated sub-boiled HNO₃ and HCl (PTEE still, Savilex) and 13 drops of concentrated hydrofluoric acid (HF, SpA, Romil) were added. The PTFE container was closed and placed on a heat plate at 140°C. After 4 hours the container was carefully opened and the HNO₃/HCl/HF solution was evaporated to dryness. The undigested filters were removed and the remaining salts were re-dissolved with 2 mL concentrated sub-boiled HNO₃ and evaporated on the heat plate until a small drop of HNO₃ was left. This drop was diluted with 15 mL of 2% HNO₃ (sub-boiled) and transferred into 30 mL acid cleaned LDPE bottle until analysis. All samples were analyzed by ICP-MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, X-Series) using calibrations by standard additions and In/Re for drift control. Particulate iron concentrations (PFe) in the water column were determined by applying the water volume recorded by the flowmeter on the SAPs and hence had passed the SAPs PC filter. The leaching and digestion of krill fecal pellets and krill muscle tissue were performed in a similar manner as described for the SAPS particles.

To validate the determined trace metal concentrations, certified reference materials (HISS-1, NIST 1573a, NIST 1648a, TORT-2) were analysed with each batch of samples. Results were in good agreement with certified values (HISS-1: 2.54±0.50 g kg⁻¹ (2.50 g kg⁻¹); NIST 1573a: 0.38±0.01 g kg⁻¹ (0.37 g kg⁻¹); NIST 1648a: 37.5±4.4 g kg⁻¹ (39.2 g kg⁻¹); TORT-2: 0.12±0.00 g kg⁻¹ (0.11 g kg⁻¹)). The limit of detection (defined as three times the standard deviation of the system blank n = 3) did not exceed 0.2 µg kg⁻¹, and the blank of the method was below the limit of detection (LOD).

**Phytoplankton bloom characteristics.** Chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*) concentrations were obtained from ocean colour radiometry (MODIS, 2002-2014, 9 km standard product, 8-day composites, 20th of August – 20th of April). Therefore the area of the South Georgia bloom (50°S-55°S, 34°W-42°W) was divided into 40 subareas of 1°Lat x 1°Lon. For each of these subareas the annual median chl *a* concentration and bloom duration (chl *a* ≥1µg L⁻¹) were determined. During the years 2006/7 and 2013/14 no proper phytoplankton bloom developed at South Georgia, and therefore these years were excluded from further analysis.

**Annual krill abundance at South Georgia.** As an index of the annual krill abundance at SG we used the inverted anomaly of the median krill body length for the years 2002/3, 2003/4, 2004/5, 2005/6, 2007/8, 2008/9, 2009/10, 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13. The krill body length data derived from a long-term dietary analysis of Antarctic fur seals based on weekly collected scat samples [S20]; the raw data are available on request from the British Antarctic Survey. Here we calculated the annual median krill body length from scat samples collected during austral summer (beginning of December to end of February). About 1000 krill were measured for each of the years. The occurrence of small krill at South Georgia (median length <50 mm in predator diet) is associated with successful krill recruitment and transfer from nursery areas further south [S21]. Moreover, the dominance of smaller krill also indicates high mass-specific feeding rates [S22], which further enhances the effect of a large population on the food environment. In contrast, the dominance of large krill (>50mm) indicates an aging population with low influx of younger krill [S21] and low mass-specific feeding rates [S22], and therefore less impact on the food environment.
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