Abstract—The increasing code complexity in modern software systems exceeds the capabilities of most software engineers to understand the system’s behavior by just looking at its program code. The addition of concurrency issues through the advent of multi-core processors in the consumer market further escalates this complexity.

A solution to these problems is visualizing a model of the system to ease program comprehension. Especially for the comprehension of concurrency issues, static information is often not sufficient. For this purpose, profiling and monitoring can provide additional information on the actual behavior of a system. An established visualization approach is the 3D city metaphor. It utilizes the familiarity with navigating a city to improve program comprehension.

In this paper, we present our trace-based SynchroVis 3D visualization approach for concurrency. It employs the city metaphor to visualize both static and dynamic properties of software systems with a focus on illustrating the concurrent behavior. To evaluate our approach, we provide an open source implementation of our concepts and present an exemplary dining philosophers scenario showing its feasibility.

I. INTRODUCTION

Through the advent of multi-core processors in the consumer market, parallel systems became a commodity. The resulting addition of concurrent behavior in software systems leads to further challenges in program comprehension.

For this reason, specifications and tools have been invented which facilitate the analysis of software systems to be more clearly represented. For instance, the Unified Modeling Language (UML) provides several diagrams to visualize both the static structure of a system (in terms of software entities and their relationships) and the dynamic aspects, namely class instantiations and message interactions in the context of time.

Recent approaches [e.g., 1, 2, 3, 4, 5] expand the 2D visualization of, for example, UML diagrams by another dimension to describe a software system’s static and dynamic properties. The city metaphor is such a 3D visualization approach displaying a software system as a large city. The viewers day-to-day familiarity in navigating a city (e.g., reading a street map, orienting with the help of large buildings, etc.) supports the understanding of the visualized application [2].

In addition, there has been work on tools analyzing the runtime behavior of software systems. So-called monitoring tools, such as KiKer [6], are able to collect information on operations, e.g., associated classes, response times, and concurrent behavior, by means of software probes inserted into the target system. However, these execution traces produce enormous quantities of information [4] making them challenging to analyze and to visualize.

In our SynchroVis approach [7] we chose the city metaphor to improve program comprehension for software systems. Our visualization of the system’s static structure is based on a source code analysis, while the dynamic behavior is gathered from information collected in monitoring traces. The focus of our approach is on providing a detailed visualization of the system’s concurrent behavior. The SynchroVis tool including some examples is available as open source software.1

In summary, our main contributions are:

- A 3D city metaphor to simultaneously visualize the static and dynamic properties of software systems
- A visualization of the system’s concurrent behavior within the city metaphor
- Additional support for displaying and navigating collected program traces within the city metaphor

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we describe our SynchroVis approach and our contributions. The evaluation scenario is presented in Section III, while related work is discussed in Section IV. Finally, we draw the conclusions and present future work (Section V).

II. THE SYNCHROVIS TOOL

We present our SynchroVis approach according to the nested model for visualization design by Munzner [8].

The domain of our approach is program comprehension with a focus on concurrency. Typical tasks include understanding the existence and interaction (e.g., the mutual calling behavior) of classes or components in a software system under study, as well as understanding concurrency (e.g., locking and starvation).

The information used for program comprehension are gathered from a static analysis for the static structure of the software system and from monitoring program traces [6] for the dynamic and concurrent behavior. This information is transformed into internal data formats (e.g., class instances, relationships, and traces) used for our visualization. This operation and data type abstraction is described in greater detail by Döhring [7].

The main contribution of our approach is in the design encoding and interaction technique level. We employ the city metaphor to visualize the gathered and prepared information. This city metaphor is detailed in the following.

1 http://kieker-monitoring.net/download/synchrovis/
A. Our City Metaphor

A schematic view of our city metaphor used as the basis for our visualization approach is depicted in Figure 1a. We employ the three general concepts of (1) districts to break our city into parts, of (2) buildings to represent static parts of the software system, and of (3) streets to connect our static parts according to dynamic interactions.

Districts: Packages (e.g., in Java) or components (as a more general concept) form the districts of our city metaphor. Each package is visualized as a rectangular layer with a fixed height. Several packages are stacked upon one another to display their subpackage hierarchies with increasing lightness.

Buildings: Each class is represented by a building which is placed in its corresponding district (determined by its package). The ground floor of the building represents the actual class object, while the upper floors represent dynamically created class instances.

Streets: Operation calls contained in the program trace are represented by streets, i.e., colored arrows between floors of the same or different buildings. Each color corresponds to a single thread to simplify the comprehension of different traces. Arrows entering the ground floor represent either calls of static operations or of constructors. A constructor call also adds a new floor to the building. Arrows entering upper floors represent operation calls on the corresponding object instances.

In addition, SynchroVis allows to show or to hide static relations of either a selected class or of all classes in our visualization. All of these relations are displayed as arrows connecting building roofs. Black arrows symbolize inheritance relationships, gray arrows symbolize interface implementations, while white arrows symbolize general associations.

An example of visualizing the static information of a large software system is depicted in Figure 2. In this case, the packages, classes, and relations of the Java-based Vuze BitTorrent Client are displayed.

B. Mechanisms for Visualizing Concurrency

Besides visualizing the interleaving of concurrent threads as described in the previous section, we also provide mechanisms to visualize four specific synchronization concepts.

Threads: All program traces start at a special thread building in an external district. The ground floor of the thread building represents the starting thread of the program execution. Each time a new thread starts, a new floor is added, colored in the respective thread’s color. The initial arrow of the new trace starts within the respective floor of this thread building. In case of thread termination, the associated floor looses its color. Refer to Figure 1b for a schematic representation.

Monitor/Semaphore: A classic synchronization concept is the monitor, e.g., realized by the synchronized keyword in Java. It is similar to the concept of binary semaphores. Each semaphore or monitor is visualized by a separate floor on a specific semaphore building next to the thread building. If the monitor gets acquired, the floor gets colored by the respective thread’s color, otherwise it is uncolored. Furthermore, any successful acquire or entry operation is depicted with a solid arrow directed between source class instance floor and the semaphore floor. Blocking operations are depicted with similar dashed arrows. Thus, a waiting thread is visible by its directed arrow entering a differently colored semaphore. Similarly, it is possible to spot deadlocks by comparing the different semaphore floors and their respective waiting threads. This semaphore/monitor mechanism is depicted in Figure 1c.
**Wait/Notify:** The next synchronization concept supported by our SynchroVis approach is the wait and notify mechanism. Again, we add a special building to the extra district and assign a floor to each object a thread is waiting on. Each wait operation is depicted with a dashed arrow between the source floor and the respective floor of the special building, while each notify or notifyAll operation is depicted with a solid arrow. This allows for a visualization very similar to the visualization of locking and deadlock behavior of semaphores. This mechanism is illustrated in Figure 1d.

**Thread Join:** The final synchronization concept realized within our approach is the joining concept of threads, where threads wait upon the completion of another thread. This is visualized by dashed arrows into the respective floor of the thread building that the other threads are waiting upon.

**C. Displaying and Navigating Collected Program Traces**

The SynchroVis tool provides the usual means for interactively navigating the city (e.g., moving, rotating, and zooming), as well as for searching and locating specific entities within. It is also possible to select an arbitrary scene element to get further information on it.

Furthermore, SynchroVis provides specific support for navigating program traces. The user is able to use both a chronological and a hierarchical display of program traces. The chronological display allows to iterate over all events of all threads sorted by time in ascending order, while the hierarchical display allows to iterate over the events of a single thread. Additionally, it is possible to use a time-based stepping with a configurable interval.

For enhanced usability, SynchroVis provides the option to directly jump to a specific point in time or to a specific event. Moreover, it offers the possibility to automatically step through the trace by means of a movie mode. In this mode, the user is able to watch and to study the recorded behavior of the application.

**III. Evaluation**

Due to space concerns, we limit ourselves to a single evaluation scenario in this paper. Refer to Döhring [7] for an discussion of the benefits of our approach and for an anecdotal expert interview conducted with several internal developers concerning questions of comprehensibility and usability.

As an example scenario, we describe a typical concurrency analysis of the well known dining philosophers problem with SynchroVis. This scenario is often used to study locking behavior, especially deadlocks. For the sake of simplicity, we assume three present philosophers, realized by separate threads, and three shared forks, realized by monitors.

A normal run of the dining philosophers problem is presented in Figure 3. Here, the philosopher represented by the red thread acquired his two forks (monitors) and is executing his eating time. The green philosopher acquired only a single fork and is waiting for the release of his second fork, currently held by the red thread. This is visualized by the green arrow pointing at the red floor of the semaphore/monitor building.

A run resulting in a deadlock is presented in Figure 4. In this case, all three philosophers managed to acquire a single fork. Thus, all three threads are waiting upon each other to release the respective monitors. This is visualized by the colored arrows pointing at the differently colored floors of the semaphore/monitor building. The navigation within the program traces allows for an analysis of the cause of this deadlock.

Both runs of this evaluation scenario are included in our distribution of SynchroVis.

**IV. Related Work**

For reasons of limited space, we only discuss closely related approaches to our visualization, i.e., visualizations based on the city metaphor and visualizations of concurrency. A general overview on several 3D visualizations of software systems is provided, for example, by Teyseyre and Campo [9].
A. 3D Visualization with the City Metaphor

Software World by Knight and Munro [1] was one of the first approaches employing the 3D city metaphor to visualize software systems. A similar more recent approach is provided by Wettel and Lanza [2]. Contrary to SynchroViz, both approaches only visualize the static structure of a system.

Panas et al. [3] present a realistic 3D city visualization focusing on the static structure and the communication based on program traces. In contrast to our approach, the authors use a more realistic visualization (e.g., cars to represent communication) but provide no direct support for concurrency.

EvoSpace [4] utilizes the 3D city metaphor with a day view to display a system’s static structure and a night view to display its dynamic behavior. Contrary, our approach combines both views into one and also offers support for concurrency.

Caserta et al. [5] visualize large software systems with their static and dynamic properties using hierarchical edge bundles to aggregate dynamic relations. In contrast, our approach is focused on visualizing single execution traces and concurrency.

B. Visualizing Concurrency

Much research has been conducted for visualizing concurrency utilizing UML diagrams. Mehner and Weymann [10] enhance UML communication diagrams to visualize Java monitors. Artho et al. [11] and Malnati et al. [12] provide extensions of UML sequence diagrams to explicitly support concurrency. Leroux et al. [13] utilize state charts in combination with an extension to UML sequence diagrams to visualize the concurrent behavior of a software system. SynchroVis builds upon several of these extensions [12, 13] and adapts them to our city metaphor. Furthermore, we combine the visualization of static and dynamic properties and provide better scalability by utilizing the third dimension.

Further approaches [e.g., 14, 15] focus on supporting program comprehension of concurrent applications without using the UML. In contrast to these two approaches, our approach is able to visualize both static and dynamic properties (including concurrency) of the software system in a single view.

To the best of our knowledge, SynchroVis is the first tool to visualize concurrency with the help of the 3D city metaphor.

V. CONCLUSIONS & OUTLOOK

3D visualization of monitoring traces with the help of the city metaphor provides an effective means to ease program comprehension of concurrency. Our SynchroVis approach and its corresponding tool enables the visualization of static and dynamic properties of a software system. Furthermore, it supports software engineers in debugging and understanding the concurrent behavior of their applications.

As future work for our SynchroVis tool, we will implement a space-optimizing layout algorithm, also minimizing edge lengths and crossings. Furthermore, our city metaphor can be improved by diversifying the look of our buildings, e.g., by using cylinders instead of cubes for the special buildings. Finally, we will focus on providing greater scalability of our visualizations for larger software systems.
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