Population Genetics and Mating Strategies of the Loggerhead Sea Turtle (*Caretta caretta*) in Cape Verde

by

Victor Alexander Stiebens

28.4.2011

Supervisors:
Dr. Christophe Eizaguirre
Prof. Thorsten B.H. Reusch
The magnificent Loggerhead Sea Turtle (Caretta caretta)
Summary

The maintenance of natural population structure and of genetic diversity are the cornerstones of evolutionary conservation genetics. They represent the adaptive and evolutionary potential of endangered populations to survive. One of the most charismatic endangered marine species is the Loggerhead Sea Turtle (*Caretta caretta*). Despite being the second largest rookery in the Atlantic, the Cape Verde population has received little scientific attention. During the 2010 nesting season, nesting females were sampled on four different islands (N=111). Using various molecular methods such as sequencing the mtDNA control region and genotyping at 8 microsatellite loci, we determined several elements of the population functioning. First of all, demographic history results suggest the colonization of the archipelago in two distinct waves. High haplotypic and nucleotide diversities reveal that Cape Verde may have served as a stepping stone towards the colonization of Mediterranean Sea. Furthermore, significant genetic differentiation based on mtDNA haplotypes found on one beach indicates the coexistence of two distinct philopatric strategies: one very accurate, where females return to their natal beach (Lazareto beach in S. Vicente) and one more diverse strategy where females seem to spread their clutches over different beaches and islands. Microsatellite data revealed that the same Lazareto beach, also showed genetic differentiation from the rest of the archipelago’s populations. Interestingly, even within an island, beaches only separated by a couple of tens of kilometres showed reproductive isolation. Hence, our study proposes that increasing geographic resolution may reveal complex population functioning and we suggest the consideration of at least two evolutionary significant units in Cape Verde. Because variation at neutral loci cannot provide direct information on selective processes in the interactions between individuals and their environment, nor the possibility of future adaptive changes, genetic diversity at relevant genes should be investigated. To this end, the highly polymorphic genes of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I was chosen and successfully characterized. MHC genes are at the root of the adaptive immune system and have crucial function in specific recognition of parasite-derived antigens. The outstanding polymorphism of those genes has been proposed as important marker of genetic diversity for endangered populations. We found that beside at least one duplication event, three lineages of MHC alleles persist in the population and probably explain the signature of trans-species polymorphism seen among several species of
reptiles. Further, we discovered suggestive evidence for female turtles to not mate randomly with regards to MHC, implying MHC-dependent mate choice. With the characterization of this important adaptive marker, both for conservation and evolution, the isolation of the MHC opens many new research directions such as the evolution of mating strategy in large migratory marine species or the role of local adaptation in female philopatric behavior.
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General Introduction

Global Phylogeography

The loggerhead sea turtle (Caretta caretta) is one of seven sea turtle species worldwide. Four families of sea turtles were present during the Cretaceous Period, but nowadays only two families remain: the Dermochelyidae, represented solely by the leatherback turtle (Dermochelys coriacea) and the Cheloniidae, represented by six species including the loggerhead sea turtle (Bowen 2003). Cheloniid turtles are primarily distributed in tropical regions and southern extensions of continents, such as South Africa and South America, represent barriers to population dispersal between the major oceans (Bowen et al. 1994). But contrary to other Cheloniids, the loggerhead is more temperate in its distribution, encompassing a rookery in Natal, South Africa (Hughes 1974) and nesting almost exclusively in temperate regions (Pritchard & Trebbau, 1984).

A phylogenetic study revealed two primary mitochondrial (mtDNA) lineages for sea turtles across the world (Bowen et al. 1994). The two lineages indicate a deep separation between the Indian-Pacific and the Atlantic basins, possibly created by the onset of the cold water upwelling system in Southern Africa roughly three million years ago (Bowen et al. 1994; Shannon 1985).

In the colonization history of the loggerhead turtle there have been two more recent events of gene flow between these oceanic basins in both directions, presumably also through South African waters and the warm water Agulhas current (Bowen et al. 1994). Nowadays, it seems that loggerhead populations are effectively geographically and genetically isolated between basins, but occasional dispersal from the Tongaland rookery in the Indian Ocean into the South Atlantic might occur via the Agulhas current (Bowen et al. 1994).

A Multifaceted Life Cycle

The complex life cycle of the loggerhead sea turtle comprises various ontogenetic habitat shifts and for long remained a mystery. New born turtles were seen hatching on beaches and entering the ocean, but only seen again as subadults or adults years later; in between were the “lost years” (Carr 1986). With the development of genetic markers and the improvement of biotelemetry, the complete life cycle was successfully
characterized for some populations. One of these populations is the nesting aggregation along the coast of Florida. This population will be used as an example to explain the life cycle of the loggerhead sea turtle.

The life cycle begins on the beach where hatchlings emerge from their nests at night, actively orient themselves and move towards the ocean (Lohmann and Lohmann 2003). Once in the water, they undergo an active swimming period known as the “swim frenzy” (Wyneken and Salomon 1992). This behavior is thought to take the hatchlings into the main offshore currents (in this example the golf stream). The following transitional stage can last between days and months, depending upon winds and surface currents which facilitate the entering of the hatchlings into the oceanic zone (North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre, Witherington 2002). At this stage juveniles are epipelagic, omnivorous and spend ~75% of their time in the top 5 m of the water column (Bolten 2003). In the vicinity of seamounts or islands the juvenile loggerheads may become epibenthic or demersal (eg, Azores, Madeira or Canary islands). This oceanic stage, which lasts for 6 - 12 years (Bjorndal et al. 2000), is followed by an ontogenetic shift where the turtles move to a more neritic habitat and feed mainly on the benthos community. This neritic habitat is usually closer to the nesting grounds. In the case of the Florida loggerheads, the juveniles travel back into the western Atlantic basin (Musick & Limpus, 1997). This behavior is termed “juvenile natal homing” (Bolten, 2003). While juvenile foraging grounds can coincide with those of the adults (usually neritic), subadults generally move to the adult foraging grounds at sexual maturity and undergo breeding migrations to the nesting habitats in Florida (Bolten, 2003).

**Female Philopatry**

The migration routes of the loggerhead turtle often span entire oceanic basins (Bolten et al. 1998). Despite these long migratory movements and the mixing of populations in juvenile feeding grounds (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2009), the loggerhead displays high levels of genetic isolation among nesting aggregations (Bowen et al. 1994). Tagging studies have demonstrated that the majority of nesting females return to the same beach in successive years and that both sexes return to their resident foraging grounds (Limpus et al., 1992). Evolutionary pressures selecting for this behavior are still rather elusive, but the mechanism can be as simple as young females following experienced
females to a nesting site ("social facilitation") or more complex as females returning to the area they were born using various cues ("philopatry", Meylan et al. 1990).

The rather recent emergence of genetic tools helped to better understand female nesting behavior. Mainly two types of neutral genetic markers are used in sea turtle biology, the mitochondrial control region (mtDNA) and microsatellite tandem repeats located in the nuclear genome. The mitochondrial genome is haploid, evolves only through mutations and is only maternally inherited, which makes it an ideal tool to test philopatric behavior in sea turtles (Bowen et al. 1994). Nuclear microsatellite markers are inherited from both parents and evolve through both point mutations and recombination. Therefore, they are frequently used to address question of reproductive isolation and male-mediated gene flow among sea turtle colonies (Karl et al. 1992).

Under social facilitation, nesting beaches in a region would be well connected by gene flow (female mediated mtDNA gene flow), if the females overlap in their feeding grounds. Philopatric behavior, on the other hand, would lead to genetically isolated nesting beaches (Bowen, 2003). Significant regional population structure, based on mtDNA, was found in 10 nesting colonies in the Atlantic and the Mediterranean (Encalada et al. 1998). This was one of many studies that showed significant population structure on mtDNA in various worldwide rookeries (e.g. Carreras et al., 2006; FitzSimmons et al., 1996). The independent finding of genetic differentiation of regional nesting colonies in the Atlantic, Mediterranean and Indo Pacific provides strong evidence for philopatric behavior in the loggerhead sea turtle (Bowen et al. 1993).

**Male mediated gene flow and philopatry**

Contrary to females, males seldom reach the shore and direct observations are scarce. Once more, indirect genetic markers allow obtaining information. The Southeastern United States are not only among the largest nesting populations of the world (Conant et al. 2009), but also do populations overlap in their feeding grounds enabling the test for philopatric behavior (Bowen 2003). Pearce (2001) found high genetic structure of mtDNA, but very low structure of microsatellites in nesting females of different nesting colonies. This indicates that females show site fidelity to a particular region and males provide avenues of gene flow between nesting locations within a rookery. It is not yet certain whether males provide this gene flow by mating in the feeding grounds or on the
migratory pathways. If males do go back to the natal beach, they probably also mate opportunistically in the feeding grounds or during migrations (Bowen 2003).

The Cape Verde Loggerhead population

The second largest rookery of the loggerhead sea turtle in the Atlantic is found in the Cape Verde Archipelago (Figure 1, Monzón-Argüello et al., 2010) with around 15000 nests laid every year (Varo-Cruz 2010). Only the nesting aggregations in South Eastern Florida (53000- 92000 nests per year, TEWG, 2000) and Masirah Island, Oman (20000-40000 nesting females per year, Ross 1997) are larger. Despite the size of the Cape Verde population, its need for conservation was recognized very early (Schleich 1979, Lopez-Jurado et al. 2000), while it has only recently received scientific attention (for instance: Cejudo et al., 2000; Santos Loureiro, 2008; L. F. Lopez-Jurado et al., 2003; Varo-Cruz, 2010; Hawkes et al., 2006; Monzón-Argüello et al., 2010; Abella et al., 2007; Abella, 2010). The first genetic study, based on mtDNA haplotypes, revealed significant differences between the Cape Verde and other Atlantic and Mediterranean rookeries, identifying it as an independent rookery (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2010).

The majority of the nesting happens on the islands of Boavista (around 90%) and Sal (Marco et al. 2008, Figure 1). In S. Nicolau, S. Vicente, Santa Luzia and Maio Islands the number of females are much lower (Marco et al. 2008). Sporadic nests can be found on S. Antao and Fogo (Sonia Merino and Jeff Kutz respectively, personal communication). It is important to note that there are no major nesting aggregations either on mainland Africa (Arvy et al. 2000; Brongersma 1982; Fretey 2001), or on other Macronesian islands (Azores, Madeira Archipelago, The Selvagens Islands, and the Canary Islands, Brongersma 1982).

Large gaps in the knowledge of the life cycle of the Cape Verde Loggerhead population still remain. Two distinct feeding grounds have been determined off the west coast of Africa, by satellite logging ten postnesting female loggerheads (Hawkes et al., 2006). Interestingly a size dichotomy was observed. The three bigger females traveled towards Guinea and Sierra Leona whereas the seven smaller nesting females stayed in the oceanic habitat between the Cape Verde and Gambia/Guinea/Guinea Bissau. Frequent deep dives also suggest a more oceanic lifestyle of the smaller turtles (Hawkes et al. 2006). The breeding migrations of female loggerheads to Cape Verde seem to have a 2 or
3 year cycle (range 1-6) based on capture-recapture data (Varo-Cruz 2010). For males, no such information is available for Cape Verde, but studies from other populations suggest that males might return on a yearly basis to mate (Henwood 1987). The mating is presumed to occur along the way to the nesting beaches several weeks prior to the onset of nesting and sometimes occurs in specific aggregation areas (Caldwell et al. 1959). Nesting occurs on the beach and follows a ritualized process (Dodd 1988).

Loggerhead turtles in Cape Verde lay multiple nests (range 1-6; average 1.4) in one season (Varo-Cruz 2010). After about sixty days (depending upon temperature, Dodd 1988) the offspring hatch, enter the ocean and are not seen before they return as mature loggerheads to Cape Verde. Where they remain as post hatchlings is still a mystery, but Monzón-Argüello et al. (2010) suggest that the juveniles distribute in Atlantic and Mediterranean waters (including Gimnesies, Madeira, Andalusia, Pitiüses, Azores and the Canary Islands), thus frequenting the same waters as populations from the Western Atlantic and the Mediterranean. Before reaching maturity, the juveniles then probably move to the West African mainland coast where they were described to swim after nesting (Hawkes et al. 2006) and undergo their breeding migrations to the Cape Verde.

Conservation threats

The endangered loggerhead turtle (IUCN 2007) faces various anthropogenic threats. In Cape Verde, these include the destruction of nesting beaches, due to increasing urbanization (Taylor & Cozens, 2010) and massive sand mining in specific areas (Sonia Merino, personal communication). A major threat also comes from the illegal harvest of eggs and adult females on the beaches. In 2007, an estimated 1150 turtles (of 3194 turtles that came to nest that year) were poached on the beaches of Boavista Island alone, representing 1/3 of the nesting population that season (Christian Roeder, personal communication). On the island of Sal, numbers of dead turtles are equally high. In the 2009 nesting season, 91 out of 293 tagged turtles were killed (Cozens 2009). Both males and females are also harvested in the surrounding waters of Cape Verde (personal communication with local fisherman). While bycatch of loggerheads in the intense local fisheries has been reported (Lopez-Jurado et al., 2003), its impact on the population remains to be investigated. Finally, the effects of climate change on sea turtles can be manifold: skewed sex ratio towards females, due to temperature dependent sex
determination, or the alteration of key marine habitats on which the turtles depend, are just two examples (Hawkes et al., 2009).

In summary, many factors could contribute to the extinction of the loggerhead turtle in Cape Verde. However, before any adequate conservation programs can be designed, major gaps in the understanding of the Cape Verde loggerhead population must be filled.

Neutral and adaptive genetic diversity

In this context, the next frontier in turtle biology is the understanding of the impact of the numerous threats on relevant genetic diversity. It is thought that standing genetic variation is crucial for the survival of populations (Soule 1980). In the short term, inbreeding and genetic drift leads to lower fitness of individuals and an increased risk of population extinction. In the longer term, populations that lose genetic variation (for example through demographic events or selection), have a lower potential to adapt to changing conditions (Spielman et al. 2004). Standing genetic variation can be investigated using selectively neutral markers such as the control region of the mtDNA and the microsatellite loci in the nuclear genome. Thus, removing the effect of selection, these markers provide valuable information on the strength and importance of recombination, mutations, genetic drifts and migrations in the process of shaping genetic variation among populations (Hoeglund 2009). However, variation at neutral loci cannot provide direct information on selective processes and the interactions between individuals and their environment, nor the possibility of future adaptive changes (Meyers and Bull 2002). Such adaptive genes should therefore be under known selective pressure of ecological relevance and display high degree of polymorphism to adapt to local/changing conditions (Barton et al. 2007). Among the best candidates for studying adaptive genetic diversity relevant in conservation are the genes of the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC, Hoeglund, 2009; Sommer, 2005). MHC diversity influences many important biological traits, such as immune recognition, susceptibility to infection, autoimmune diseases, individual odors, mating preferences, kin recognition, cooperation and pregnancy outcome (Sommer 2005). These genes are a central component of the jawed vertebrate (gnathostome) immune system (Janeway et al. 2001) and encode for T cell antigen presenting molecules (Trowsdale 1993). They play a major role in self and non-self recognition and in the activation of the adaptive immune
MHC class I molecules confer resistance against intracellular pathogens (such as viruses) and are expressed on nearly all cell types, whereas MHC class II genes are only found on specialized immune cells (e.g. macrophages) that bind antigens derived from extracellular parasites (Janeway et al. 2001). As a fact, these are the most polymorphic genes ever recorded. For instance, in humans, over 500 alleles have been described at a single locus (Robinson et al. 2003). The mechanism maintaining this polymorphism is thought to be parasite-mediated selection (Klein 1986; Piertney and Oliver 2006) and the exceptional allelic diversity usually observed in natural populations, provides the unique potential to adapt to a given local parasite spectrum by natural selection (Eizaguirre and Lenz 2010; Spurgin and Richardson 2010).

**Study objectives**

In the first chapter of this study, we investigated the population structure of the loggerhead sea turtle in Cape Verde based on neutral markers. We inferred reproductive behavior (based on gene flow) among islands, signs of philopatric behavior and possible demographic events shaping population structure. Since neutral and adaptive diversity are usually either weakly correlated (Hedrick 2001) or not correlated at all (Madsen et al. 2000), in chapter II we describe the characterization of the MHC class I of the loggerhead turtle. Using next generation sequencing technology, we set up a working protocol for high throughput genotyping in loggerhead turtles. We also investigated the evolution of loggerhead turtle MHC genes in the reptile phylum. All these efforts were also put in a context of conservation to address efforts needed for sustainable conservation programs on Cape Verde.
Population Structure of the Loggerhead Sea Turtle at the Northern Islands of Cape Verde

Introduction

The loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) is widely distributed in all tropical and subtropical waters in the world (Dodd 1988). It is a highly migratory species with possible trans-oceanic migrations observed during early stages of the life cycle (Bolten et al. 1998). Although only discovered in the last two decades, the second largest nesting aggregation in the Atlantic Ocean is found in Cape Verde (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2010), with approximately 15000 nests are laid per season (Varo-Cruz 2010). Cape Verde nesting turtles are genetically different from their counterparts in the Western Atlantic, Mediterranean and Brazilian populations (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2010).

The whereabouts of post hatchlings loggerheads originated in Cape Verde is still a mystery. However, juvenile loggerheads have been described feeding in waters of Madeira, Andalusia, Pitiüses, Azores, the Gimnesies, and the Canary Islands (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2010). A size-dependent adult foraging strategy has been described for post-nesting females, with bigger turtles going to a neritic feeding habitat off the coast of Gambia and Sierra Leone and smaller females feeding in a pelagic habitat off the coasts of Senegal (Hawkes et al. 2006).

This complex life cycle with ontogenetic habitat shifts provides many possibilities of interference with anthropogenic threats, which has led to enlisting the loggerhead turtle as endangered in the red list of threatened species (IUCN 2007). The Cape Verde population is no exception to this rule and the turtles there face many threats, such as habitat destruction of nesting beaches, poaching of both eggs and adult turtles or accidental captures in bycatch (Lopez-Jurado et al. 2003; Marco et al. 2008; Taylor and Cozens 2010).

The colonization history of Cape Verde by the loggerhead is not yet certain. The Mediterranean population, the closest to the Cape Verde, was colonized after the last glacial maximum, by the Western Atlantic population about 12,000 years ago (Bowen et al. 1993). The Cape Verde could have been colonized in the same wave or served as a possible stepping stone towards the Mediterranean.
Population genetic studies with marine turtles using maternally inherited mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) have shown high levels of genetic structuring, providing strong evidence that female turtles are highly philopatric to the region they were born (Meylan et al. 1990). In the western North Atlantic loggerhead population, this pattern holds true and with no structure found on the biparentally inherited microsatellite makers, the gene flow between the rookeries seems to be maintained through males (Bowen et al. 2005). Male mediated gene flow would prevent genetic isolation in the western Atlantic despite female philopatry. In other words, metapopulation functioning predicts that the loss of nesting beaches corresponds to the loss of haplotype diversity, whereas nuclear diversity will only be reduced if a large number of beaches are lost (Bowen et al. 2005).

In this context it is important to mention the concept of a conservation management unit (evolutionary significant unit). It is usually characterized by significant divergence at mitochondrial or nuclear loci and key demographic features (Moritz 1994). Since, these units are characterized by reproductive isolation, each of these units has a potential set of genetic diversity in the population that could be different to the ones in the other population.

In this context, it becomes crucial to address presence of genetic diversity and reproductive isolation at various geographical scales. We analyzed the genetic structure among islands of the Cape Verde Archipelago, but also within those islands, among beaches. This was possible by large sampling effort focusing on collecting genetic material from at least two beaches per island. We searched for possible reproductive isolations and signs of female philopatric behavior. We also tested demographic events which might have occurred in the past, both on mtDNA and microsatellites. Additionally, we used previous genetic data to test for genetic structure between different nesting seasons. Combining our results with previously published data, we refine the colonization pattern of the species in the Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea. Eventually, using the observed size distributions, information from satellite tagging and the major ocean currents, we propose different scenarios of dispersal, which could explain how the loggerhead migrate during their early life to reach the potential different feeding habitats. All the results are discussed in both an evolutionary and conservation perspective of sustainability of the loggerhead population in Cape Verde.
Materials and methods

Sample collection

Tissue samples from 142 Loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) were collected in the 2010 nesting season (June to October) on 4 different islands of the Cape Verde Archipelago (Table 1, Figure 1). Sampling occurred either from nesting females or turtles found dead on the beach. A tissue sample of roughly 3mm was carefully removed from the non-keratinized skin of the flippers, directly after egg deposition, using a single-use disposable scalpel (B.Braun, Tuttlingen, Germany). Samples were individually preserved in ethanol for later DNA analysis. All turtles found dead (killed by poachers) on the different beaches were also sampled. Additional samples from poached turtles were collected bi-weekly on whole island surveys of Sal.

![Figure 1](image)

Table 1: Beaches and corresponding sample sizes; Two different types of samples were collected from nesting turtles and from turtles found dead from poaching.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Island</th>
<th>Sampled Beaches</th>
<th>Samples from living turtles</th>
<th>Samples from dead turtles</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boavista</td>
<td>Ponta Pesqueira, La Cacao, Curral Velho</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>Algodoeiro, Costa Fragata, Serra Negra, North. Beaches</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Nicolau</td>
<td>Carriçal, Porto da Lapa</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Vicente</td>
<td>Lazareto, Praia Norte de Bahia</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This protocol resulted in sampling at least two beaches per island (Table 1; Figure 1). In order to avoid redundancy in sampling of nesting females, all turtles were tagged with external metal tags located on the front flipper.

Biometrics measurements were also recorded on the field for all individuals displaying an intact carapace (N=128). Length was determined as curved carapace length (CCL, +/- 0.1cm) from notch to notch and width as curved carapace width (CCW, +/- 0.1cm). Both measurements were taken three times and the average was determined.

**DNA extraction**

As ethanol inhibits the efficiency of the Proteinase K® and since samples were small (half of the original size), all tissue pieces were washed in distilled water for 1 minute. Afterwards, samples were air dried for 15 minutes. DNA extraction was performed using the DNeasy® 96 Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). All steps followed the manufacturer’s protocol, with the exception of the elution step. To increase DNA yield, the binding membrane was washed with 100μl of elution buffer and after centrifugation the solution collected in the vial was placed back on the membrane for one further centrifugation step. DNA concentrations and quality were checked using NanoDrop1000® (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bonn). Where extraction failed, re-extractions were carried out with the DNeasy® Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) using the Spin Column protocol. The manufacturer’s protocol was followed and modified in the same manner as mentioned above. Failures mainly occurred in tissue samples extracted from dead turtles that had, in some cases, spent several days to weeks drying on the beach. If extraction failed twice, no further attempts were made.
Laboratory procedures - Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) marker

All individuals (N=142) were amplified for a ~ 720 base pair (bp) fragment in the control region of the mtDNA using the recently developed LCM15382 and H950 primers (Abreu-Grobois et al. 2006; see appendix for primer sequences). 10µl PCR reactions consisted of 1µl 10x Buffer (Invitek®), 1 µl dNTP's (10 mM), 1µl 1% BSA, 0.3 µl MgCl2, 3.6µl HPLC water, 0.1 Taq Polymerase (Invitek®), 1µl template DNA and 1µl of each primer (5pmol/µl). The reactions were carried out under the following thermo-cycling conditions: first denaturation step of 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 1 minute, 55°C for 1 minute and 72°C for 1 minute. The final elongation lasted 5 minutes at 72 °C. PCR products were purified with ExoSAP-IT® according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cycle sequencing reactions were performed with Big Dye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany). Sequences were obtained from the forward direction (primer LCM15382) and covered the entire DNA fragment range. The sequences were loaded on the ABI 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany).

Laboratory procedures - Microsatellite marker

After testing 16 microsatellite primer pairs, only the eight most polymorphic, which were under Hardy Weinberg equilibrium and could be multiplexed, were used to genotype all individuals. The primers Cc-10, Cc-17, Cc-22, Cc-16, Cc2 (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2008), 7C04, 2H12, 2G10 (Shamblin et al. 2007) were grouped into three multiplexes using 10 µl PCR reactions (Table 2). The same thermal cycling program was used for all multiplexes: initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 minutes, 28 cycles of 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 61°C and 30 seconds at 72°C and a final elongation at 72°C for 10 minutes. The GeneScan™ 350 ROX™ (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) was used to standardize the peaks and the products were analyzed on an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany).
# Table 2: Composition of the three multiplexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Multiplex 1</th>
<th>Multiplex 2</th>
<th>Multiplex 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cc-10, 7C04, 2H12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x Dreamtaq® Buffer</td>
<td>1µl</td>
<td>1µl</td>
<td>1µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP’s (10 mM)</td>
<td>0.5µl</td>
<td>0.5µl</td>
<td>0.5µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC water</td>
<td>1.4µl</td>
<td>5.4µl</td>
<td>2.4µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dreamtaq® Taq polymerase</td>
<td>0.1µl</td>
<td>0.1µl</td>
<td>0.1µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer 1 Forward (5pmol/µl)</td>
<td>1µl Cc-10</td>
<td>0.5µl Cc-17</td>
<td>0.5µl Cc-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer 1 Reverse (5pmol/µl)</td>
<td>1µl Cc-10</td>
<td>0.5µl Cc-17</td>
<td>0.5µl Cc-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer 2 Forward (5pmol/µl)</td>
<td>1µl 7C04</td>
<td>0.5µl 2G10</td>
<td>1µl Cc-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer 2 Reverse (5pmol/µl)</td>
<td>1µl 7C04</td>
<td>0.5µl 2G10</td>
<td>1µl Cc-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer 3 Forward (5pmol/µl)</td>
<td>1µl 2H12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1µl Cc-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer 3 Reverse (5pmol/µl)</td>
<td>1µl 2H12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1µl Cc-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template DNA</td>
<td>1µl</td>
<td>1µl</td>
<td>1µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Data and statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the software package R version 2.12.2 (The R foundation for statistical Computing).

## Data and statistical analysis - Mitochondrial marker

All obtained electropherograms were aligned in CodonCode Aligner v3.5 (codoncode.com) in one assembly. All sequences (~720bp) were corrected for sequencing errors by hand and classified according to the standardized nomenclature of the Archie Carr Center for Sea turtle Research (http://accstr.ufl.edu/; ACCSTR). Two haplotypes (2 presents in two individuals and one in a single turtle) were found to not have been previously described. A haplotype data file was created in the software DNASP v. 5.10.01 (Librado and Rozas 2009) and was used to test for haplotype diversity and nucleotide diversity (Nei 1987). To understand the evolutionary relationship among the different haplotypes, a haplotype network was generated in the software NETWORK version 4.6.0.0. Population differentiations were estimated using Wright’s fixation index (Fst) implemented in Arlequin version 3.1.5.2 (Excoffier et al. 2005). Statistical significance was tested over 100 permutations. As population’s expansion or contraction leave recognizable signatures in the pattern of molecular diversity, Arlequin was used threefold to predict demographic changes based on mtDNA. First, Tajimas D (Tajima 1989) and Fu’s Fs (Fu 1997) estimators of neutrality were computed with 1000 coalescent simulations. These indices test whether the data is conform to expectations of
neutral or departure from it, due to effects such as bottlenecks or population’s expansion. Expectations are near zero in a population with constant size. Significant negative values indicate an expansion in population size, whereas significant positive values indicate a past bottleneck. Secondly, demographic population expansion events were tested using the model of Sum of Squared Deviations (SSD) of a mismatch distribution with 100 replicates in a parametric bootstrap approach (Schneider and Excoffier 1999). We tested the goodness-of-fit of the observed distribution of pairwise nucleotide differences (mismatch distribution) to that expected from the demographic expansion model using the SSD (Schneider and Excoffier 1999). The model presumes that expanding population will show a genetic signature (unimodal distribution) that is different from that observed with a constant population size (multimodal distribution). Statistical significance is taken as proof of departure from the expansion model and thus an indication for constant population size in the past. Thirdly, demographic changes were also predicted using the Raggedness index \( r \) (Harpending 1994) of the observed mismatch distribution. Small values indicate that a population has experienced sudden expansion, while higher values suggest stationary or bottleneck populations (Harpending 1994).

After the initiation of this current work, the first population genetic study of the loggerhead turtle at the Cape Verde archipelago was released. Since our sampling was partly similar to those of Monzón-Argüello et al. (2010), we tested for population differentiation based on mtDNA over different reproductive periods for those islands that overlapped.

Data and statistical analysis - Microsatellite marker

Microsatellite alleles were called in the software GeneMarker 1.91 (Softgenetics LLC, State College, PA, USA), converted with Genetix 4.05.2 (Belkhir et al. 1999) and loaded into Arlequin version 3.1.5.2. There, departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, observed \((Ho)\) and expected heterozygosity \((He)\) as well as \(Fst\) were calculated. Because locus based heterozygosity and individual based heterozygosity may not always correlate positively, an individual heterozygosity index was estimated (Coulson Index, \(Cl\), Coulson et al. 1998). To estimate the total number of alleles per population we performed a rarefaction analysis over the mean number of alleles with the lowest
number of genes for each sample in the given test. For this, we used the software package HP-Rare version June-6-2006 (Kalinowski 2005).

To relate Fst values to geographic distances a mantel test was conducted using the software Genetix 4.05.2. Geographic distances were estimated as the shortest possible swimming distance between sampling points using GoogleEarth (version 5.2.1.1588). Genetix 4.05.2 was also used to visualize three dimensional factorial component analyzes (AFC plot).

Additionally, an unrooted phylogenetic tree using Cavalli-Sforza distances based on microsatellites was calculated with the program PHYLIP (Felsenstein 1989). In this software package the programs “Seqboot”, “Genedist”, “Neighbor”, “Consense” and “Drawtree” were used to compute the phylogenetic tree. Because nesting population may not represent best genetic structure, to identify the most likely number of populations (K), with no a priori assumption on population structure, the data was loaded into STRUCTURE version 2.3.3 (Pritchard et al. 2000). Both the length of the burn-in period and the MCMC were set to 100000. Detection of the most likely number of K groups followed using the logarithmic likelihood approach ($\Delta K$ ad hoc statistics, Evanno et al. 2005).

To detect potential confounding demographical events (with regards to population structuring), the microsatellite data was also loaded into the program Bottleneck (Cornuet and Luikart 1997). In order to detect potential recent bottlenecks, we used the two phase model (TPM) with 90% stepwise, 10% infinite allele mutations and 1000 iterations as recommended for microsatellites (Di Rienzo et al. 1994). Eventually, the same software was also used to test for a modal shift in allele frequency classes expected from past population reductions.

**Data and statistical analysis - Biometrics**

After visual inspection for normal distribution, variation of Curved Carapace Length (CCL) was tested using an analysis of variance with either island or nesting beach as predictor (ANOVA). In order to compare the length of the turtles to other rookeries, CCL was converted to Straight Carapace Length (SCL) after (Frazer and Ehrhart 1983). The conversion formula was established for adult Loggerhead turtles from the southern Florida population, so errors due to local deviations may occur. Further, a size
comparison of the Cape Verde rookery to other loggerhead rookeries across the world was elaborated. Symbolically, only some of these rookeries were shown on the bar plot, illustrating two rookeries with bigger nesting females, two of intermediate sizes and two of small sizes.
Results

Mitochondrial DNA

Mitochondrial DNA - Island level

Out of the 142 sampled turtles, 132 could be sequenced for a 720 bp mtDNA control region. To directly compare mtDNA markers to microsatellite markers, 111 mtDNA sequences were used, for which the 8 microsatellite markers could also be scored. In most cases, the cause for no amplification of the markers was degraded DNA originating from dead turtles.

A total of 8 distinct mtDNA haplotypes were found (Table 3), of which two (Haplotypes: NDH1 in two samples; NDH2 in one sample) were found to be undescribed in Archie Carr Center for Sea turtle Research (http://accstr.ufl.edu/; ACCSTR). The likelihood that those arose from sequencing error is low since at least one of the haplotypes was found in two independent turtles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Island</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>CCA1.3</th>
<th>CCA1.4</th>
<th>CCA17.1</th>
<th>CCA17.2</th>
<th>CCA2.1</th>
<th>CCA11.2</th>
<th>NDH1</th>
<th>NDH2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boavista</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Nicolau</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Vicente</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Islands</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Haplotypes CCA11.2, CCA17.1, CCA17.2, NDH1 and NDH2 have only been described in the Cape Verde Archipelago. The haplotype network showed low divergence among most of the haplotypes except for the CCA2.1 haplotype which differed for more than 30 point mutations from the other haplotypes (Figure 2). Interestingly, this haplotype was not equally distributed over all islands, but was more specific to S. Vicente (Table 3, Figure 3). Going into more detail, we found that the presence of this haplotype was not only more specific to this island, but that within the island all samples with this haplotype had been collected from one beach (Lazareto beach, Figure 3). Due to the very low turtle abundance on this beach we were only able to collect eight samples, but seven of those harbored this haplotype.
Figure 2: Haplotype network based on the mitochondrial control region. Pie graphs represent one haplotype and the colors represent the sampled islands. The size of each pie graph is correlated to the total number of individuals included in the haplotype. Numbers in red indicate location of point mutation. Note that CCA2.1 Haplotype distance was modified for representation convenience.

Figure 3: Haplotype diversity map of the four sampled islands, where S. Vicente is split into the two sampled beaches. The CCA1.3 (black) haplotype is the most dominant haplotype on all islands.
Although all populations shared the CCA1.3 haplotype (Figure 3), pairwise genetic distances (Fst) showed significant differences between the islands that were geographically furthest away (Table 4).

Table 4: Pairwise Fst values (above diagonal) and the corresponding p values (below diagonal) across the islands (*indicates statistical significance after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boavista</th>
<th>Sal</th>
<th>S. Nicolau</th>
<th>S. Vicente</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boavista</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Nicolau</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Vicente</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To account for potential over-representation of the CC2.1 haplotype in S. Vicente, we split the populations from this island into the sampled beaches and found that the observed structure arose solely from the turtles that had nested on Lazareto beach (Table 5). Here, Fst values were very high and, interestingly, high genetic differentiation was observed even between the two nearest beaches on S. Vicente. Additionally, a mantel test conducted on 5 populations (with S. Vicente split into the two beaches) confirmed that there was no correlation between genetic distance (Fst) and geographic distance based on mtDNA.

Table 5: Pairwise Fst values (above diagonal) and the corresponding p values (below diagonal) across the islands, with S. Vicente split into the two sampled beaches (*indicates statistical significance after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boavista</th>
<th>Sal</th>
<th>SV- N. de Bahia</th>
<th>SV - Lazareto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boavista</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Nicolau</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV- N. de Bahia</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV - Lazareto</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both haplotype diversity (h) and nucleotide diversity (π) showed large variation with the lowest haplotype diversity h and nucleotide diversity π observed on the islands with the largest nesting colony (Boavista) (Table 6). When splitting the island of S. Vicente both beaches showed low haplotype diversity, however, sample size was low in Lazareto (N=8), but rather high in Praia Norte de Bahia (N=16). Nucleotide diversity seemed low in P. Norte de Bahia, while Lazareto showed very high nuclear diversity, probably due to the presence of the very divergent haplotype CCA2.1. Comparing these standard diversity indices with those from other Atlantic populations revealed that the Cape
Verde rookery is amongst the nesting aggregations with highest haplotype and nucleotide diversity, with only the USA rookery showing higher nucleotide diversity.

Table 6: Haplotype diversity (h) and nucleotide diversity (π) across sampled islands and S. Vicente split into the sampled beaches; (1): Diversity indices were also compared to those of other rookeries in the Atlantic (summarized in: Reis et al. 2009). All indices calculated after Nei (1987).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>h (SD)</th>
<th>π (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Islands</td>
<td>0.605 ± 0.048</td>
<td>0.00956 ± 0.00206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boavista</td>
<td>0.471 ± 0.116</td>
<td>0.00126 ± 0.00032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>0.631 ± 0.073</td>
<td>0.00806 ± 0.00321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Nicolau</td>
<td>0.681 ± 0.090</td>
<td>0.00540 ± 0.00339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Vicente</td>
<td>0.566 ± 0.086</td>
<td>0.01943 ± 0.00377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV- N. de Bahia</td>
<td>0.314 ± 0.138</td>
<td>0.00089 ± 0.00044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV- Lazareto</td>
<td>0.250 ± 0.180</td>
<td>0.01152 ± 0.00831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA (1)</td>
<td>0.517 ± 0.020</td>
<td>0.02298 ± 0.01176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil (1)</td>
<td>0.138 ± 0.032</td>
<td>0.00037 ± 0.00060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece (1)</td>
<td>0.072 ± 0.039</td>
<td>0.00006 ± 0.00024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey (1)</td>
<td>0.498 ± 0.039</td>
<td>0.00132 ± 0.00128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In sea turtle biology, high haplotype and nucleotide diversity indicate that the population has not experienced any recent colonization events (Reis et al. 2009), as mutation rates are low and diversity takes time to evolve. Thus, we tested possible demographic events using Tajima's $D$, Fu's $F_s$ and a mismatch distribution based on a goodness-of-fit curve from expected population expansion model compared to the observed distribution under the Sum of Squared Deviations (SSD) and the Raggedness index $r$ (Table 7). Fu's $F_s$ neutrality test revealed non-significant negative and positive values across islands. Tajima's $D$ estimator gave negative significant results for two populations: S. Nicolau ($D=-2.238, p=0.000$) and Lazareto ($D=-1.870, p=0.000$), suggesting population expansion in the past for these populations. The raggedness index $r$ was shown to be non-significant in all populations. It varied from low values in the data set from the entire Archipelago and S. Nicolau to high values in Lazareto. These non-significant results reject the null hypothesis of expectation under a sudden demographic expansion model. We further analyzed the mismatch distribution. The analysis showed a multimodal mismatch distribution for most of the populations, characteristic of a stable sized population in the past (Figure 4). The second peak observed in most of the populations coincided with the populations where the very distinct CCA2.1 haplotype was present. Thus, this second peak probably arose from this haplotype. At the Archipelago level, but also at the island level on Boavista and on Lazareto we observed significant SSD, rejecting the population expansion hypothesis. On the other hand, a good fit of demographic expansion was found in Sal, S. Nicolau and N.
de Bahia. This was shown by non-significant SSD values, even though the distinct second peak was observed in Sal and S. Nicolau.

Table 7: Tajima's D, Fu's Fs, the Raggedness index r and SSD with the corresponding p-values. Values in bold denote statistical significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fu's Fs</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Tajima's D</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>SSD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Islands</td>
<td>4.213</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>-1.350</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boavista</td>
<td>-0.187</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>6.147</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>-1.110</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Nicolau</td>
<td>2.204</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>-2.238</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Vicente</td>
<td>13.492</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.782</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV - N. de Bahia</td>
<td>-1.116</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>-1.347</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV - Lazareto</td>
<td>8.918</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>-1.870</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4: Mismatch distribution for mtDNA; S. Vicente is split in the two sampled islands; * denotes rejection of population expansion model by significant SSD value; Scales are not uniform across all graphs.
Mitochondrial DNA signal reduced to a beach level

As shown by the population of Lazareto, significant evolutionary units can be found at the beach level. Therefore, we conducted identical tests reducing the geographical scale. We conducted pairwise Fst tests for all beaches from which more than four turtles were sampled (Table 9). After Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, the results showed that the turtles from Lazareto beach were almost exclusively genetically differentiated from the other turtles nesting on different beaches. The only other significant difference was found between the northern beaches of Sal and the turtles sampled in Ponta Pesqueira (Boavista).

In order to get a better understanding of past demographical events within one island, we computed Tajima’s D, Fu’s Fs, the Raggedness index r and SSD on a beach level (Table 8). In most cases, the indices did not give significant values or provide uniform conclusions. In the case of Carrical beach (on S. Nicolau Island), a significant negative D value, low r and a no rejection of the SSD population expansion model gave strong indications of a population expansion.

Table 8: Tajima’s D, Fu’s Fs, the Raggedness index r and SSD with the corresponding p-values. Values in bold denote statistical significance. BV: Boavista; SL: Sal; SN: S. Nicolau; SV: S. Vicente.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beach Type</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Fu’s Fs p</th>
<th>Tajima’s D p</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>SSD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algodoeiro (SL)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.668 0.809</td>
<td>0.750 0.820</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrical (SN)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.430 0.930</td>
<td>-2.113 0.003</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Fragata (SL)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-0.579 0.320</td>
<td>0.009 0.520</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Cacao (BV)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.761 0.753</td>
<td>-0.754 0.235</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lazareto (SV)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.918 0.99</td>
<td>-1.870 0.000</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponta Pesqueira (BV)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.325 0.491</td>
<td>0.519 0.716</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto da Lapa (SN)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.829 0.089</td>
<td>-0.972 0.181</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norte de Bahia (SV)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-1.116 0.086</td>
<td>-1.347 0.090</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal north. beaches (SL)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.740 0.999</td>
<td>2.300 0.970</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra Negra (SL)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.546 0.976</td>
<td>-2.270 0.000</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9: Pairwise Fst values (above diagonal) and the corresponding p values (below diagonal) across all sampled beaches (*indicates statistical significance after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing). BV: Boavista; SL: Sal; SN: S. Nicolau; SV: S. Vicente.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algodoeiro (SL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrical (SN)</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Fragata (SL)</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Cacao (BV)</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponta Pesqueira (BV)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto da Lapa (SN)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norte de Bahia (SV)</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mitochondrial DNA - Signal over different nesting seasons

The first study published using neutral DNA markers to address population structure of the loggerhead turtle in Cape Verde was from the 2004 and 2005 nesting season (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2010). Two of the islands sampled in those seasons (Boavista and Sal), were also sampled in our study. We tested for significant genetic differentiation based on mtDNA haplotypes in the overlapping sampled islands, but found no significant differences (Table 10), confirming the Cape Verde rookery as a philopatric unit.

Table 10: Pairwise Fst values (above diagonal) and the corresponding p values (below diagonal) comparing two nesting seasons on two different islands (* indicates statistical significance after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing). (1): present study; (2): Monzón-Argüello et al., 2010. The samples collected in the 2004 and 2005 nesting season were pooled by the authors and are entitled 2005 in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fst</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sal 2010 (1)</th>
<th>Sal 2005 (2)</th>
<th>Boavista 2010 (1)</th>
<th>Boavista 2005 (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sal 2010 (1)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal 2005 (2)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boavista 2010 (1)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boavista 2005 (2)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microsatellite Markers

Microsatellite Markers - Island level

We successfully amplified 8 loci from 111 turtles and none of the microsatellites across populations deviated consistently from the Hardy-Weinberg-Equilibrium (HWE). Failed genotyping arose mainly from degraded DNA of dead turtles. Individual heterozygosity (Coulson Index, CI), observed heterozygosity (Ho) and expected heterozygosity (He) are given in (Table 11). All of them showed identical levels across the islands (Coulson Index, Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 0.5225, p= 0.914).

Table 11: Sample sizes, observed heterozygosity (Ho), expected heterozygosity (He) and the Coulson Index (CI) over the four sampled islands with S. Vicente split into two sampled beaches. Standard deviations are given between parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Ho</th>
<th>He</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All samples</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.744 (0.170)</td>
<td>0.746 (0.140)</td>
<td>0.735 (0.136)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boavista</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.779 (0.118)</td>
<td>0.749 (0.135)</td>
<td>0.767 (0.144)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.722 (0.161)</td>
<td>0.737 (0.147)</td>
<td>0.725 (0.146)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Nicolau</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.739 (0.209)</td>
<td>0.736 (0.147)</td>
<td>0.736 (0.151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Vicente</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.738 (0.198)</td>
<td>0.766 (0.114)</td>
<td>0.728 (0.136)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV- N. de Bahia</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.737 (0.198)</td>
<td>0.766 (0.114)</td>
<td>0.729 (0.098)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV- Lazareto</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.763 (0.215)</td>
<td>0.671 (0.140)</td>
<td>0.734 (0.104)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Genetic distances were visualized through a 3-D factorial component analysis (AFC, Figure 5). The turtles sampled on the different islands do overlap, but interestingly, the pattern observed on microsatellites is similar to the geographic map of the sampled islands (compare to map: Figure 1). The turtles from Sal were located towards the top half (north), the Boavista turtles towards the right corner (east), S. Nicolau in the middle and S. Vicente towards to the left (west).

This pattern suggests reproductive isolation, which was tested statistically by pairwise Fst values (Table 12). In general, the Fst values were very low and after Bonferroni correction only the islands furthest away, S. Vicente and Boavista, remained significantly different. To correlate the geographic distance between each island and the Fst’s, a mantel test was performed and proved to be highly significant (p=0.000), suggesting reproductive isolation by distance. To further understand this pattern, we split the island of S. Vicente into the two sampled beaches and conducted further pairwise Fst tests (Table 12). As with the mtDNA differentiation, the microsatellite genetic divergence seemed to come solely from the Lazareto beach and not from the entire
island. The mantel test was repeated with the five populations and no significant correlation could be found anymore (p=0.09).

Table 12: Pairwise Fst values (above diagonal) and the corresponding p values (below diagonal) across the islands, with S. Vicente split into the two sampled beaches (*indicates statistical significance after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boavista</th>
<th>Sal</th>
<th>S. Nicolau</th>
<th>S. Vicente</th>
<th>SV- N. de Bahia</th>
<th>SV - Lazareto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boavista</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td><strong>0.0249</strong></td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td><strong>0.1185</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>0.7658</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td><strong>0.0898</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Nicolau</td>
<td>0.1802</td>
<td>0.7838</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td><strong>0.0858</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Vicente</td>
<td><strong>0.0000</strong></td>
<td>0.0270</td>
<td>0.0270</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.0000</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV- N. de Bahia</td>
<td>0.2342</td>
<td>0.6036</td>
<td>0.6126</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.0000</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0694</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV - Lazareto</td>
<td><strong>0.0000</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0000</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0000</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0000</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ∆K ad hoc statistic of the STRUCTURE analysis revealed no obvious grouping. The increment was very low in all clusters (K=1, mean ln likelihood= -3008.61, SD= 1.03; K=2, mean ln likelihood= -2989.68, SD= 9.71; K=3, mean ln likelihood= -3038.68, SD= 111.71; K=4, mean ln likelihood= -3010.25, SD= 123.03; K=5, mean ln likelihood= -2974.46, SD= 55.04). The highest increment was for K=2, but still very low and no conclusions on genetic structure based on islands, beaches or haplotypes could be drawn.

Variation in mean number of alleles per microsatellite locus across the four sampled islands was small after correction for unequal sample sizes (Figure 6, A). When splitting S. Vicente into the sampled beaches, a decrease, though not significant (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 7.3324, p-value = 0.1193), was observed in the mean number of alleles in the box plot (Figure 6, B). This apparent reduced number of alleles from the Lazareto beach could be a sign of a recent bottleneck effect. This was tested in a two phase model Wilcoxon test for heterozygote excess based on mutation-drift equilibrium. All sampled islands together showed no signs of heterozygote excess (p=0.67). At a smaller scale, the islands of Boavista (p=0.769), Sal (p=0.902) and S. Nicolau (p=0.156) showed no possible recent bottlenecks, whereas S. Vicente (p=0.003) was highly significant after Bonferroni correction. When testing in S. Vicente on a beach scale, both nesting beaches showed significant results (Lazareto p=0.019, Norte de Bahia p=0.001). The modal shift in allele frequency classes expected from past population reductions was neither present in the whole island of S. Vicente, nor on the N. de Bahia beach. While it was present the in Lazareto beach, the results should be interpreted carefully as sample size was relatively low (N=8). None of the islands showed any signs of heterozygote
deficiency in a two phase model test, which would have been an indication for population expansion.

The significant genetic differentiation found between Lazareto and the rest of the Cape Verde Archipelago, based on mtDNA and microsatellite markers, triggers the question whether the signal observed in the microsatellites is an old association to the very divergent CCA2.1 mtDNA lineage and represents shared ancient polymorphism or it indeed arises from reproductive isolation for the turtles nesting in Lazareto. To answer this, we performed additional pairwise Fst tests but used the 6 major haplotypes as groups instead of sampling population. These showed a significant divergence of the microsatellites, which had the CCA2.1 haplotype (Table 13).
Table 13: Pairwise Fst values (above diagonal) and the corresponding p values (below diagonal) based on the six major haplotypes (*indicates statistical significance after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p \ Fst</th>
<th>CCA1.3</th>
<th>CCA1.4</th>
<th>CCA11.2</th>
<th>CCA17.1</th>
<th>CCA17.2</th>
<th>CCA2.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCA1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td><strong>0.049</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA1.4</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA11.2</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA17.1</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td><strong>0.053</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA17.2</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA2.1</td>
<td><strong>0.000</strong></td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td><strong>0.000</strong></td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further, an AFC microsatellite plot based on the different haplotypes was created (Figure 7). Almost all of the microsatellites from the CCA2.1 haplotype grouped into one big cluster. In this cluster all turtles from Lazareto with this haplotype and one turtle from Sal (also with CCA2.1 haplotype) were found. The other turtles with this haplotype, not clustering within the main group, came from turtles sampled on other islands. The only turtle from Lazareto beach missing the CCA2.1 haplotype did not cluster with the other Lazareto turtles, either.

Figure 7: AFC plot of microsatellite markers based mtDNA haplotypes. Values between parentheses represent the variance explained by each axis. Green circles: Haplotype CCA2.1 turtles; Yellow circle: Turtle with CCA2.1 haplotype but sampled in Sal, not in Lazareto like all other turtles in this grouping; Red circle: Only other turtle sampled in Lazareto, with different haplotype though.
For better characterization of the pattern observed in the AFC haplotype plot (Figure 7), the STRUCTURE software was used in an unconventional way, so that the results have to be interpreted carefully but may provide relevant information. We ran a STRUCTURE analysis with the same number of K as there are haplotypes (eight) and let the software sort the microsatellites by these haplotypes (Figure 8). At K = 8, one group seemed to always cluster apart: This group was always found within the CCA2.1 haplotype and is graphically illustrated by yellow bars (group 5 in Figure 8). Seven of the eight yellow bars accounted for the beach on Lazareto and the other yellow bar came from one sample on Sal. The other three turtles with this haplotype (5), coming from the islands of Sal and S. Nicolau, did not cluster into this group and seem to have had a very different set of microsatellites. As already observed in the AFC plot, the one turtle from Lazareto (43(1)) seemed to have a very different set of microsatellites than the other turtles from this beach.
Figure 8: Structure plot computed with eight clusters and sorted after haplotypes. Numbers represent each individual and the numbers between parentheses stand for the Haplotype: (1): CCA1.3; (2): CCA1.4; (3): CCA17.1; (4): CCA17.2; (5): CCA2.1; (6): NDH1; (7): CCA11.2; (8): NDH2
Microsatellite patterns scaled down to the beach level

As mentioned in the previous section, Lazareto demonstrated that possible significant evolutionary units could be found on the beach level. In order to identify more such units, we conducted pairwise Fst tests of all the sampled beaches (Table 14). Again, the turtles nesting in Lazareto genetically diverged from turtles nesting in all other beaches. Some structure was also observed from the turtles sampled in Carrical and La Cacao.

Table 14: Pairwise Fst values (above diagonal) and the corresponding p values (below diagonal) across all sampled beaches (* indicates statistical significance after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing). BV: Boavista; SL: Sal; SN: S. Nicolau; SV: S. Vicente.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algodeiro (SL) [1]</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrical (SN) [2]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Fragata (SL) [3]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Cacao (BV) [4]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lazareto (SV) [5]</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Pesqueira (BV) [6]</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto da Lapa (SN) [7]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norte de Bahia (SV) [8]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal north. beaches (SL) [9]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra Negra (SL) [10]</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We also run a ΔK ad hoc statistic in STRUCTURE with the maximum number of clusters set to K=10 (as many as there are beaches), to identify any structure without a priori information on any sampling points. The results showed no high increments in the mean ln likelihood values, suggesting too low population structuring, if any, to be detected without a priori knowledge on population’s origin.

Further, a phylogenetic tree based on microsatellites identified that the geographic pattern of the island did not match the genetic pattern observed (Figure 9). However, the bootstrap values in most of the nodes were low supporting the STRUCTURE analysis without a priori information on populations.
Figure 9: Microsatellite phylogenetic tree (based on Scorza-Cavalli distance) of the turtles nesting on the different beaches. Numbers on nodes represent bootstrap values in percent. BV: Boavista; SL: Sal; SN: S. Nicolau; SV: S. Vicente.

A two phase model Wilcoxon test for heterozygote excess based on mutation-drift equilibrium revealed indications of population expansion on the beach of Ponta Pesqueira in Boavista (p=0.019), which has very high nesting frequencies, nowadays. On the other hand, recent bottleneck events were found as already mentioned in the beaches of Lazareto and N. de Bahia in S. Vicente. Additionally, a possible bottleneck event was found on the beach of Algodoeiro in Sal, showing a significant heterozygote excess (p=0.003) and a modal shift in allele frequencies. However, sample size on that beach (N=7) was lower than the suggested 10 samples by the software bottleneck, suggesting caution be taken in interpretation.
Biometrics

Curved Carapace Length (CCL) and Curved Carapace Width (CCW) were measured for 128 turtles (Table 15) and showed a wide range of sizes (from 74.7 cm to 97cm). This suggests that several overlapping generations were sampled, as sea turtles have indeterminate growth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean CCL</th>
<th>Mean CCW</th>
<th>Mean calculated SCL</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Samples</td>
<td>83.45 ± 3.71</td>
<td>77.15 ± 3.705</td>
<td>76.59 ± 3.71</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boavista</td>
<td>84.03 ± 3.18</td>
<td>77.25 ± 3.00</td>
<td>77.26 ± 3.17</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>83.1 ± 4.3</td>
<td>76.74 ± 3.97</td>
<td>76.30 ± 4.28</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Nicolau</td>
<td>82.73 ± 2.66</td>
<td>76.35 ± 2.67</td>
<td>75.93 ± 2.61</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Vicente</td>
<td>83.9 ± 4.37</td>
<td>78.04 ± 4.49</td>
<td>77.08 ± 4.28</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant difference was apparent among turtles from different islands (ANOVA, F=0.7399, p=0.5302, Figure 10, A). After the strong structure found in both mtDNA and microsatellite markers (see previous sections) in the Lazareto nesting turtles, we tested whether those turtles showed any size difference to the other sampled islands. The Lazareto turtles seemed to have a tendency to be larger (Figure 10, B), however the effect showed not to be significant (ANOVA, F=1.664, p=0.162). Splitting the CCL of the turtles into the different haplotypes also revealed the most divergent haplotype to belong to slightly larger turtles (Figure 10, C). Though, the effect was not strong enough to be significant (ANOVA, F=1.981, p=0.102).

Mean Straight Carapace Length (SCL), calculated from CCL, for the entire archipelago was 76.59 ± 3.71 cm (Table 15). The turtles nesting in Cape Verde seem to fall into the same size range as the ones nesting in the Mediterranean, being much smaller than other open ocean populations (Figure 11). No statistical tests could be performed to prove this, since only one value per population was given in the literature. However, this pattern has already been described in (Cejudo et al. 2000).
Chapter I - Results

Figure 10: Box plots of curve carapace length (CCL). [A]: CCL distribution among the 4 sampled islands; [B]: CCL distribution where S. Vicente was split into the two sampled beaches; [C]: CCL split into the 5 major haplotypes (per haplotype more than 2 samples)
Figure 11: Mean Straight Carapace Length (SCL) of nesting females compared to other rookeries. Error bars represent size range of female turtles. Florida (Gallagher et al. 1972); Cape Verde (present study); Libya (Broderick and Godley 1996); Greece (Margaritoulis et al. 2003); Cyprus (Godley and Broderick 1992); Oman (Hirth and Hollingworth 1973); South Africa (Hughes 1975); Japan (Kamezaki 2003).
Discussion

Demographic history

Despite representing the third largest rookery for loggerhead turtles worldwide, Cape Verde Archipelago has received relatively little scientific attention. In our study, we revealed the presence of eight distinct mtDNA haplotypes, including the presence of one very divergent lineage (CCA2.1). Of those eight haplotypes, five have been described for the Cape Verde population alone, supporting one of the highest haplotype and nucleotide diversity of the Atlantic Ocean populations. Other populations with such high diversity (e.g. southeastern United States population) are known to have experienced constant population size in the past (Reis et al. 2009). In contrast, populations such as in Greece and Brazil, which show low haplotype and nucleotide diversity, seem to have arisen from recent colonization events (Reis et al. 2009). After colonization events, diversity is usually low since it is generally accompanied by a population bottleneck (“Founder effect”). Our results supported by the Sum of Squared Deviations (SSD), Fu Fs and Tajima’s D neutrality indicators suggest that the Cape Verde loggerhead rookery experienced no recent major demographic event.

When increasing geographical complexity and looking at the pattern of colonization among the islands, we found that the island where 90% of the nesting occurs, Boavista, does not show signs of recent population expansion events. Interestingly, results suggested otherwise for other islands. For instance, S. Nicolau population showed significantly negative D values, low non-significant r values (both signs of population expansion), and the observed mismatch distribution fits the expected distribution on an expansion model. All these indices suggest a past colonization event of this island. By reducing the scale to the beach level, the data suggests that the expansion seen on this island arose primarily from the Carrical beach. Most of the deviation from a unimodal distribution of the mismatch analysis came from a second peak which appears from the presence of the divergent CCA2.1 haplotypes. This fact suggests that a second lineage has either introgressed from other rookeries by migration, explaining relatively low frequencies (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2010), or this lineage represents a second, more recent colonization event. By expanding previous sampling (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2010) we found this haplotype to be more prevalent than previously believed (mainly
on Lazareto beach) and to represent a stable evolutionary unit in the Cape Verde rookery.

The most abundant lineage (CCA1.3 and its satellite haplotypes) is mainly found in the western Atlantic basin (Encalada et al. 1998), proposing that Cape Verde was colonized from this rookery. The other lineage (CCA2.1), which composes 10% of the Cape Verde rookery, is mainly found in the Mediterranean, but also in the western Atlantic (Encalada et al. 1998). This haplotype is thought to have evolved in the Indian Ocean and to have entered the Atlantic Ocean through South Africa (Bowen et al. 1994). Thus, the Cape Verde could have been colonized from the western Atlantic, the Mediterranean or directly from the Indian Ocean (following the Benguela Current) in a second wave of colonization. Because the Mediterranean loggerhead populations arose from the western Atlantic population (Bowen et al. 1993) about 12000 years ago, after the retreat of the last glacial maximum, it is unlikely to have founded the Cape Verde rookery. Additionally, such a recent colonization event would have been detected on the mtDNA signature in the mismatch distribution or based on heterozygote excess in the two phase model Wilcoxon test based on mutation-drift equilibrium. Instead, we propose that the Cape Verde is a rather old rookery, which is supported by high haplotype and nucleotide diversity indices, and propose that the Mediterranean may have also been founded from the Cape Verde rookery, serving as stepping stone from the western Atlantic. When the theory of colonization of the Mediterranean was postulated (Bowen et al. 1993), no genetic information from the Cape Verde rookery was available, which may have led to an incomplete conclusion.

Mitochondrial DNA has higher mutation rates than nuclear DNA (Haag-Liautard et al. 2008), but nuclear DNA evolves faster than the mtDNA control region, due to the potential of recombination (Kimura 1983). Thus, demographic history on different time scales can be obtained by using different markers. Testing nuclear microsatellites at the rookery level, we found no signs of a recent major demographic event. By increasing geographical complexity to the island level, we found that the islands of S. Vicente showed a significant heterozygote excess, a sign of a recent bottleneck effect. The further reduction of the scale to the beach level in S. Vicente revealed highly significant values, indicating possible bottlenecks in both beaches. Furthermore, Lazareto turtles showed the modal shift in allele frequency classes expected from past population reductions. Additionally, Lazareto beach seemed to have a reduced number of microsatellite alleles
when compared to other populations, reinforcing the bottleneck hypothesis on this population. Such a demographic event would support the hypothesis of two independent colonization waves in Cape Verde, with the second one being more recent than the major one, as shown by CC.2.1 haplotype on Lazareto. This further supports the idea of a recent colonization of the Mediterranean rookery in a stepping stone model.

**Philopatry and genetic structure**

Although females seem to be philopatric to the rookery, two different philopatric strategies seem to co-exist within the loggerhead turtle population in Cape Verde. On the one hand, some turtles seem to be specific to one beach (Lazareto), while other turtles show a less accurate philopatric behavior, nesting on several beaches either within an island or other islands, while remaining philopatric to Cape Verde. Such a behavior has been observed on the island of Sal, where tagging data revealed that turtles nest on various beaches, even within one nesting season. Turtles tagged in Boavista, have also been reported to nest in the island of Sal (Varo-Cruz 2010).

Different philopatric behavior accuracies have been observed in different turtle colonies around the world. The northeastern United States population showed haplotype homogeneity for over 1000 km, while in the southeastern United States population a precision of roughly 50-100 km was estimated (reviewed in: Bowen and Karl 2007). This difference in philopatric behavior was attributed to the fact the northern population was more recently colonized after the last glaciation resulting in a low variance in haplotypes distribution (reviewed in: Bowen and Karl 2007). A similar pattern was observed in Japan (Hatase et al. 2002) and Brazil (Reis et al. 2009). Our results suggest a different explanation, independent of demographic events, since Cape Verde seems to be an old rookery.

First of all, since all females are philopatric to the Cape Verde rookery, they may aim to nest on the main island of Boavista (90% of nesting) but may not succeed and spread to other islands or beaches- leading to genetic leakage. This is supported by decreasing nest frequency, with increasing geographic distance from Boavista and that this island is geographically the first possible nesting opportunity turtles encounter if they come from West African foraging grounds. Secondly, evolutionary bet hedging theory would also predict that nesting on different beaches/islands would increase likelihood of
contributing to the nest generation allele pool in case of major incident on one beach
explaining potentially non site fidelity. Thirdly, local adaption theory would predict that
females would go back to their natal beach because they carry the necessary genetic
make up to survive in that environment which will be passed on to the next generation.
Eventually, philopatric behavior might be linked to mating strategies. For instance, if
both sexes are philopatric, females increase the possibility of finding a mate, a major
constraint in migratory species (Greenwood 1980).
Those remain hypotheses and are not mutually exclusive within a population. Further
work will have to identify pressure underlying the potential of co-existing philopatric
strategies to better understand female nesting behavior.

Reproductive isolation

Our mtDNA results suggest the existence of two sub-populations of loggerhead turtles in
Cape Verde. The same pattern was also seen on microsatellite markers. The Lazareto
turtles are genetically differentiated from all other sampled populations thus suggesting
partial reproductive isolation. The significant differentiation found within the island of S.
Vicente between beaches separated by only a couple of tens of kilometers, indicate that
reproductive isolation can be reduced to a surprisingly small scale. Barriers to gene flow
do not seem to arise solely from isolation by distance in Cape Verde, although it may also
contributes to the pattern as seen with the 3-D factorial component analysis plot where
individuals cluster according to their sampling origin. This could be an indication, that
mating occurs more or less specific to an island, but gene flow between islands is also
maintained by female nesting strategy, giving none significant result in the mantel test.
Sampling during mating would probably be a better indicator of barrier to gene flow
than during nesting. However this is a challenging enterprise.
Nonetheless, it is important to note that gene flow including Lazareto population seems
to be reduced with the other sampled islands/beaches and that for large migratory
marine species, signs of reduced gene-flow are scares.
Since the microsatellite pattern observed matched the mtDNA pattern it is justified to
wonder whether the signs of reduced gene flow arise from reproductive isolation or
from ancestral signal. Testing gene flow using haplotype as statistical unit rather than
nesting population in the 3-D factorial component analysis we show that the signal
probably arises from reproduction and not from vestiges of ancestral genetic divergence, since all but one turtle (not sampled in Lazareto) that carried the CCA2.1 haplotype showed a different microsatellite signal. This study has shown that in sea turtle biology it is important to reduce the scale down to a beach level to infer proper population demography and life history.

**Biometrics and hatchling dispersal**

The loggerhead turtles nesting in Cape Verde are smaller than those from other populations in the Atlantic and fall into the size distribution of the Mediterranean population (Margaritoulis et al. 2003). This pattern was already described by Cejudo et al. (2000). The size differences between the Mediterranean and western Atlantic populations are thought to arise from lower nutrient levels, and the implied lower productivity of the system in the Mediterranean Sea (Tiwari and Bjorndal 2000). Resource availability affects growth rate, maturation time, and maximum size in sea turtles (Bjorndal 1985). Thus turtles may be responding to lower resource levels, by maturing sooner and diverting energy from growth into reproduction, in order to maximize lifetime reproductive success (Tiwari and Bjorndal 2000).

In Cape Verde, two post-nesting trajectories for foraging have been described using satellite loggers, supporting the idea of two feeding habitats, an oceanic habitat, and a neritic habitat. Based on size dichotomy, the oceanic habitat would correspond to 91% of the turtles nesting in Cape Verde. This oceanic habitat, comprises a powerful upwelling system, however, strong seasonal fluctuations are found in the strength of the upwelling (Mittelstaedt 1991). The deep dives of turtles in this habitat could suggest that loggerheads have to invest a considerable amount of energy in food allocation (Hawkes et al. 2006), resulting in reduced growth in these turtles. The neritic feeding ground, on the other hand, supports larger turtles (Hawkes et al. 2006). The other major insular rookery of the loggerhead turtle in Japan also shows size dependent foraging habitat selection, with smaller turtles foraging in the pelagic and bigger turtles along the coasts (Hatase, et al., 2002). The authors proposed that body size is mainly determined by growth in the immature life stage, since sea turtles grow very little after reaching maturity (Hughes 1974). Thus, habitat selection, as a function of body size, is closely related to the recruitment and settlement of juvenile loggerheads, after developmental
migrations to the North Pacific, where they then grow and eventually mature in the feeding grounds. The philopatric behavior of sea turtles to both nesting and foraging areas would then bring them back to the feeding habitat they settled in as juveniles, either neritic or oceanic (Hatase, et al., 2002). Such a case could also be true for the Cape Verde turtles. It would imply that the turtles do not move from the oceanic feeding ground to the neritic as they get older, since growth is mainly determined in the immature phase. Hence, the feeding habitat might be related to the settlement of juveniles and this settlement dependent upon hatchling and juvenile dispersal in very early life stages.

We propose the following dispersal patterns, based on major ocean currents for the Cape Verde rookery, in order to explain how they could get to the two different foraging grounds (Figure 12). The first scenario is sufficient to explain both feeding grounds but both are not mutually exclusive and may complement each other:

In one scenario (black line on Figure 12), following ocean currents, hatchlings could enter the North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre through the Canary and the North Equatorial Current and stay in this habitat for post hatchling development (as the North Western Atlantic hatchlings). The Gulf Stream and North Atlantic Drift would eventually bring them back to the eastern Atlantic basin. Juveniles from Cape Verde found in the Azores and the Canary Islands (Monzón-Argüello et al. 2009) would support this scenario. These juveniles might then move with the Canary Current further south and inhabit the pelagic habitat east of Cape Verde, grow slowly and mature at a relatively small size. Maturation and philopatry would then bring them back to this habit after every breeding migration.

In this scenario, the juveniles could also move further down the coast (not settling in the oceanic habitat of Senegal), eventually use the Guinea current and to enter the neritic habitat off the coast of Sierra Leone and mature there (grey line on Figure 12).
Figure 12: Maps obtained from: U.S. Navy, 1976, Marine Climatic Atlas of the World, Volumes 1 and 2. The North and South Atlantic maps were united to one map. The two lines represent different dispersal scenarios to the 2 distinct feeding habitats. A: Oceanic feeding habitat off the coast of Senegal; B: Neritic habitat in the area of Sierra Leone, Guinea (Feeding habitats determined by satellite tracking data from Hawkes et al. 2006); CV: Cape Verde archipelago.
Monzón-Argüello et al. (2010) suggested that 43% of juvenile turtles still forage in unknown territories (they analyzed ten foraging grounds in the north eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean). The South Atlantic Ocean could contain some of these unknown juvenile foraging grounds. Due to the prevailing currents and the proximity of the Cape Verde Archipelago to the equator, the possibility that hatchlings drift into the southern hemisphere is given (scenario 2). Though very speculative, the following scenario will try to demonstrate that once Cape Verde hatchlings are in the southern Atlantic they could reach the neritic feeding grounds of Sierra Leone following ocean currents (red line on Figure 12). The offspring could enter the South Atlantic Subtropical Gyre, either through the Equatorial Counter Current or the Guinea Current and remain there as post-hatchlings and early juveniles. Juvenile loggerheads have been captured on the high seas of the South Atlantic, however the origin of those turtles was not determined (Kotas and Gallo 2004; Pinedo and Polacheck 2004). In another study Reis et al. (2009) collected samples from the juvenile turtles at the Rio Grande seamount 800 km off the coast of southern Brazil and identified that juveniles carried haplotypes that we now know are present in the western Atlantic, Mediterranean and Cape Verde populations. A distinction between these rookeries could not be drawn, but the possibility that juveniles from Cape Verde forage in Brazilian waters exists. Juvenile loggerheads have also been caught in the waters of the eastern South Atlantic (Petersen et al. 2007; Weir et al. 2007). However, the origin of these turtles could not be determined. This would imply that the Cape Verde juvenile turtles would make a transoceanic migration similar to their counterparts in the North Atlantic. Eventually, the juveniles would then move from the southern eastern Atlantic further north along the Benguela current, settle and finally mature in the Gulf of Guinea.

It has to be noted that these scenarios, especially the second one, are speculative and more evidence has to be gathered, however, they may serve as basis for modeling studies. More “mixed stock analysis” of juvenile foraging grounds in the South Atlantic could provide important information on different juvenile dispersal patterns of the turtles nesting in Cape Verde.
Implications for conservation

Two distinct subpopulations have been determined in Cape Verde: One frequenting the beaches of Lazareto in S. Vicente and another nesting on Boavista, Sal, S. Nicolau and the north eastern beaches of S. Vicente. We suggest that the Lazareto turtles should be treated as an evolutionary significant unit (Moritz 1994) until further samples have been collected in following seasons to verify the size and distribution of this population. Few turtles have been observed to frequent the heavy urbanized and polluted beach in Lazareto in the past nesting seasons, suggesting that this population is substantially threatened. Being an evolutionary significant unit, the loss of this nesting site could mean loosing important genetic variability of the entire Cape Verde rookery. The ability of a population to adapt to biotic or abiotic change is dependent on the set of genes of the entire population (Hoeglund 2009), thus loosing distinct genes from Lazareto, could reduce the capacity of the entire Cape Verde population to adapt to future changes. Our results propose that this population has already suffered a bottleneck event and we therefore recommend special attention of local conservation projects to be given to this nesting aggregation. Furthermore, our results demonstrate the need for investigating nesting aggregations at different geographical scales, as large geographic patterns may not reflect the complex functioning of the rookeries, neither in terms of philopatry, reproduction or dispersal.
Chapter II

Characterization of MHC class I gene in the endangered Loggerhead Sea Turtles reveals low functional diversity

Introduction

All species are confronted to disease. However, endangered species with potentially restricted genetic diversity are particularly threatened (Sommer 2005). In vertebrates, growing evidence suggests that genetic diversity is particularly important at the level of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC). The MHC represents the most polymorphic cluster of genes in all jawed vertebrates (Apanius et al. 1997). Since the primary function of MHC molecules is to present parasite derived peptides to T lymphocytes, which initiate protection against parasites, the predominant selective forces are likely pathogen-driven (Piertney and Oliver 2006; Sommer 2005).

There are two main types of MHC molecules, MHC class I and class II. Both MHC molecule classes transport peptides from the cytoplasm and display them on the cell surface. The peptides presented by MHC class I molecules are mostly derived from proteins degraded by the proteasome, whereas MHC class II molecules display peptides from extracellular antigens that have been endocytosed and degraded by endosomal and lysosomal proteases. Class Iα molecules present peptides to CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes and class II molecules to CD4+ T helper cells (Janeway et al. 2001). MHC class I molecules are present on nearly all cell types, while MHC class II molecules are displayed by antigen presenting cells such as macrophages, B cells and dendritic cells.

The antigen receptors on T cells are specific for complexes of foreign peptides displayed on self MHC molecules. In human, up to six different MHC molecules can be displayed on the cell surface, which are the products of three different MHC class-I genes (A, B and C). MHC genes are particularly polymorphic in the region that encodes for the peptide-binding domain. Particularly, the class I MHC molecules consist of an α-chain, which has three extracellular domains (α1, α2 and α3) and the noncovalently associated β-2 microglobulin. The residues of the α1α2 domains form the peptide-binding region (PBR). Antigenic peptides are anchored at specific residues called antigen binding sites (ABS), which are commonly found to be under positive selection (e.g. striped mice (Froeschke and Sommer 2005), great snipe (Ekblom et al. 2007), cichlids (Blais et al. ...
MHC genes that differ at ABS produce molecules that effectively bind and present different ranges of antigens to T cells. This will in turn determine whether or not an effective immune response can be mounted against an infectious agent. Polymorphism in ABS provides an individual and the population a greater chance of responding to a new pathogen in the environment. Genetic diversity at MHC loci has been used to measure the immunological fitness of wild populations.

The potential mechanisms by which parasite mediated selection acts on MHC polymorphism can be summarized in three major hypotheses: heterozygote advantage, negative frequency dependent selection and habitat heterogeneity (reviewed in Eizaguirre and Lenz 2010; Piertney and Oliver 2006). It is worth noting that these three mechanisms acting on MHC polymorphism are not mutually exclusive (e.g. Apanius et al. 1997). This is best seen in the many associations between MHC allelic diversity and resistance to parasitism that have been found in natural populations (see Milinski 2006; Piertney and Oliver 2006), which could be a sign for heterozygote advantage but also for negative frequency-dependent selection.

Additionally, there is growing evidence, that MHC-dependent mate choice may contribute to its outstanding polymorphism (Reusch et al. 2001; Yamazaki et al. 1976) and thus may play crucial role for species survival.

A specific feature of the MHC polymorphism is trans-species existence. Allelic lineages are maintained over long periods of time, even across speciation events (Klein 1986). Trans-species sharing of MHC sequences among genera has been supported by observations in many taxa (e.g. fish (Ottová et al. 2005), carnivores (Seddon and Ellegren 2004) and ungulates (Hedrick et al. 1999), however little is known about reptiles (but see Glaberman and Caccone 2008).

Genetic variation at MHC loci is thought to be important for resistance against pathogens, thereby increasing individual fitness and thus the long-term survival of endangered species (Hughes and Nei 1988). Several studies have reported decreased pathogen resistance among MHC homozygotes, or an increase in pathogen susceptibility in inbred individuals in general. However, a direct link between pathogen-mediated population decline and low MHC variation has been difficult to demonstrate in natural populations (reviewed in Sommer 2005). The importance of those genes for population viability is best highlighted by the case of the largest remaining marsupial carnivore, the Tasmanian devil (Sarcophilus harrisii). It is currently under threat of extinction due to a
newly emerged wildlife disease (facial tumor disease). A conclusive link between a loss of MHC diversity and the spread of the disease was identified (Siddle et al. 2007). How much minimum MHC diversity and population structuring is needed before species go extinct, remains to be discovered (Siddle et al. 2010).

Despite a tremendous research effort to understand further the evolution of MHC genes, surprisingly, only a few number of studies considered the group of non avian reptiles. As sister taxa to both mammals and birds, non avian reptiles provide the link between the ancient and ectothermic lineage (fish and amphibians) and the modern endotherms (mammals and birds). There are four groups of non avian reptiles: squamate (snakes and lizards), crocodilia (crocodilians), sphenodontia (tuatara) and chelonian (turtles). The better characterized example is the Tuatara (Miller et al. 2008; Miller et al. 2006).

Analysis of the exon 2 of the MHC class I revealed two sets of duplicated alleles in most individuals, alleles with 6bp insertion and alleles without this insertion.

In this study we investigate variation at MHC class I exon 2 of the Loggerhead Sea Turtle (*Caretta caretta*) from the second largest aggregation in the Atlantic Ocean in the archipelago of Cape Verde. Due to its fast decline and the numerous threats the loggerhead turtles are confronted to the species has been listed as endangered in the list of threatened species (IUCN 2007). Additionally, in Cape Verde, the fungus *Fusarium solani* was found to be the cause of infections in the eggs of which accounted for over 80% mortality in challenged experiment (Sarmiento-Ramírez et al. 2010)

This chapter has two goals. First, we aim to provide tools to investigate resistance/susceptibility to disease for an endangered species. Second, we intend to complement the knowledge about the evolution of MHC genes in a phylogenetic old group.
Materials and Methods

Sampling and DNA extraction

Tissue samples from 40 loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) were collected in the 2010 nesting season (June to October) on the island of Sal in the Cape Verde Archipelago. Sampling and DNA extraction were performed as described in the previous chapter.

Primer design

In order to design primers to characterize the highly polymorphic MHC class Iα exon 2 sequence, Genebank was searched for MHC sequences of related species to the loggerhead turtle. The found reptile and avian MHC class I sequences were aligned using BioEdit version 7.0.5.3 (Hall 1999) and consisted of sequences from reptiles *Malaclemys terrapin* (Genebank accession numbers: GQ495891.1), *Pelodiscus sinensis* (AB185243.1 and AB022885.1), *Sphenodon punctatus* (FJ457094.1, FJ457093.1), and a bird species *Gallus Gallus* (AY123227.1). Within this alignment, conserved regions in the exon 2 were selected to design primers. After various tests for different primer combinations, annealing temperatures, cloning and sequencing procedures the primer combination Cc-MHC-I-F (5’-GATGTATGGGTGTGATCTCCGG-3’) and Cc-MHC-I-R (5’-TTCACTCGATGCAGGTCDNCTCCAGGT-3’) showed consistent amplification of multiple MHC class I sequences. Although, the Cc-MHC-I-R primer shows polymorphism from the 16th to 18th base pair, no better primers could be obtained.

Amplification, Cloning, and Sequencing

To reduce the risk of PCR artifacts, two independent 20 µl PCR reactions were prepared. Each consisted of 2µl 10x Dreamtaq® Buffer, 1µl dNTP’s (10mM), 2 µl of each primer (5pmol/µl), 0.2µl Taq Polymerase (Dreamtaq®), and 2 µl of template DNA. Thermal profile started with an initial denaturing step at 95°C for 3 minutes, followed by 30 cycles of 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 66°C and 1 minute at 72°C. The final elongation was set for 5 min at 72°C. The volumes of both reactions were then pooled, of which 30µl were loaded in an agarose gel (1.5%, 5h at 45 V). Bands of expected size ~200 bp were excised.
Gel purification followed manufacturer’s protocol for the NucleoSpin Extract II Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). PCR amplicons were cloned with the Qiagen® PCR cloning Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The manufacturer’s ligation protocol was followed to the exception that the ligation-reaction-mixture consisted of 1µl pDrive Cloning Vector, of 5µl Ligation Master Mix and of 4 µl PCR products. The transformation protocol was modified as follows: 5 µl of the ligation-reaction mixture were mixed with 25 µl competent cells. Reactions were then heated for 40 seconds at 42°C. Later, 150 µl SOC medium were added and to allow recombinant growth for Kanamycin selection, the reaction mixture was first incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C (slightly shaken) and then plated on a Kan® IptgX-Gal plate. Plasmids were extracted with the Invisorb® Spin Plasmid Mini Two Extraction Kit (Invitek, Berlin, Germany) as described in Kit’s provided protocol, with a final elution step of 50µl. Cycle sequencing took place in 10 µl PCR reactions consisting of 1 µl Big Dye® Buffer, of 1µl Big Dye® Terminator, 1µl of the universal M13 Forward primer, 3µl of HPLC water and 4 µl of extracted plasmid template. The thermal cycling protocol had a first step for 1 minute at 96°C, then 26 cycles consisting of a step at 96°C for 10 seconds, the next step at 50°C for 5 seconds and the elongation final step was set at 60°C for 4 minutes. After DNA precipitation the products were loaded on an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany). After verification of the sequences, the newly established MHC amplification protocol was used for high throughput sequencing on new generation sequencing platform.

454 genotyping of all individuals

The 454 next generation sequencing platform, using a barcoded deep amplicon approach (Babik et al. 2009) was chosen to assure large coverage and determine all possible alleles carried by the sampled turtles. To this end, DNA concentrations were standardized to 10 ng/µl to maximize likelihood of equal coverage of all samples. As previously described two independent PCR reactions were performed. For each replicate, the protocol was split into two steps. In the first step, PCR conditions were kept as described above but the cycles were reduced to 25. A reconditioning step was performed and consisted of only 10 cycles and used the end product of the first PCR as template. Reconditioning procedure and independent reactions reduce the final
proportion of artifacts (Lenz and Becker 2008) a major problem with new sequencing technologies. Reconditioning step used 454 sequencing adaptors (Forward side TitaA CCATCTCATCCCTGCGTGTCTCCGACTCAG; Reverse side TitaB CCTATCCCTGCTGCTTCAGTCTCAG, GATC, Constance, Germany), followed by a 10 bp individual tag (MID, Roche) and the newly developed specific primer pairs. The MID tags were designed such as the random accumulation of up to two polymerase errors in the MID would still lead to the correct individual identification.

After amplification, amplicons were cleaned using the Qiagen PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The cleaned products were run on gels, to verify the presence of the expected bands.

From all cleaned samples DNA concentration was measured again and all samples were pooled so that each PCR reaction contributed to an equal amount of 100 ng/sample. To remove other unspecific amplicons the final pool was loaded on a gel (14 h at 30 V), and bands at 340 bp were cut and products were extracted as described above.

Genotyping

MHC alleles were called and assigned to each individual using Perl scripts and the program cd-hit (Li and Godzik 2006). Reads were screened for the forward and reverse primers designed for the sequencing, allowing one nucleotide mismatch or indel (on top of the degenerate bases) in case of sequencing errors. Reads were then sorted by MID tags, again allowing for one nucleotide mismatch or indel, which was rendered possible because MID tags were designed to differ from one another by at least two nucleotides. Reads were mapped and those blasting MHC class I alleles were retained. Reads were eventually assigned to individual turtle given their MID tag sequences. All reads were aligned using BioEdit and resulting sequences were designated as alleles. Reads that were originally assigned to individuals were sorted to correspond to these designated alleles. Importantly, for each turtle, only alleles that appeared in both independent PCR preparations were kept, and remaining allele frequencies were calculated. Alleles were assigned to a turtles if their frequency (in terms of number of reads) was above 10% of the most frequently occurring allele within an individual.

Errors occurring during the 454 sequencing errors include substitutions and small indels (Babik et al. 2009; Galan et al. 2010). The frequency of errors resulting in base
substitutions was low, and these were expected to occur randomly across the sequence. Therefore, the probability of multiple, identical substitution errors was low (Galan et al. 2010). Single base indels occurring in homopolymer tracts were relatively common and were non-randomly distributed along the sequence. However, such variants were removed because of low frequency within an individual. Although sequences may stem from different loci, variants will be referred to as alleles.

Data analyses

To test for signs of positive selection, MEGA 4 was used and dN/dS ratio and z-test were calculated. MEGA 4 was also used to build a neighbor joining tree with 1000 bootstraps for all MHC alleles found in the loggerhead. Two additional neighbor joining trees were simulated: one based on the control region of 6 reptile species and one based on the MHC class I of 5 reptile species.

We used the ScoreCons online server to determine variation for amino acid residues of the exon 2 of the loggerhead turtles. The software MultiLocus 1.22 (Agapow and Burt 2001) was used to estimate linkage disequilibrium between detected alleles using 10000 randomizations.

The risk that females will choose an MHC-identical male, or a male with fewer alleles, when mating completely at random was assessed in a permutation procedure. We combined 40 female genotypes with identical 40 putative male genotypes 100 times at random, each time counting genotypic similarity and difference in allele number. Difference between observed and expected distribution were tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and potential difference between medians were tested using Wilcoxon test.
Results

Descriptive sequencing

For the sequenced turtles used in this chapter we obtained approximately 4100 usable reads from the sequencing company. Coverage varied between 54 and 106 reads per allele.

Turtles description - Allelic pools

We detected 24 different variants in 40 turtles from Sal sequenced for which our stringent thresholds were passed. Allele frequencies varied from 0.025 to 0.275 for the most common allele. Out of the 24 alleles, 13 had a frequency equal or higher than 10% (Figure 13).

Figure 13: Histogram representing the frequencies of the different alleles found in 40 loggerhead sea turtles from Sal.

Building a phylogenetic tree, we identified three main lineages supported by high bootstrap (Figure 14). One of those lineages is represented by only one allele, which strongly diverges from the others but remained functional (allele 5). This allele was not rare (frequency = 10%). When in the reading frame, all alleles show functional sequences. The 24 alleles encoded for 20 amino-acid sequences.
Figure 14: Neighbor joining tree based on the MHC class I sequences of the loggerhead turtle retrieved from 40 samples from the island of Sal. Values represent percentage support based on 1000 bootstraps.

In stickleback for instance, alleles have been shown to appear in tight linkage disequilibrium (Lenz et al. 2009) which is not the case in the loggerhead. None of the alleles that appeared in more than one individual were in linkage disequilibrium with another (p=0.557) - suggesting independence of the loci.
**Turtles description - Individual allele variation**

Individual diversity ranged from 1 to 4 (median=2) indicating between two and four loci (4 loci, each homzygote) being present in the loggerhead populations. Nucleotide difference ranged from 1 to 66 with a median of 22.5, and from 1 to 13 amino acid changes (median of 6.3). As expected under parasite mediated selection, MHC genes in turtle show strong sign of positive selection $Z=3.587$, $p<0.001$ (Mean non synonymous substitution $D_n= 123.057$, Mean Synonymous substitution $D_s=35.943$).

Previous study in stickleback identified selection on individual diversity comparing distribution of observed individual number of alleles to the one obtained under random mating. In the case of the loggerhead, to follow this approach, we have to assume that both males and females display identical diversity. Permutation based test of 40 females mating randomly with 40 males showed significant difference from the observed distribution ($D = 0.4159$, $p$-value = 0.015). We observed a lower number of individual MHC alleles diversity than under random mating ($W = 245$, $p$-value = 0.003; median$_{random}= 3.5$, median$_{observed}=2$), suggesting selection for intermediate MHC diversity.

**Comparison with other reptiles**

The two neighbor joining trees built using the mtDNA control region and the MHC class I $\alpha$, respectively, display different information (Figure 15). On the one hand, the control region showed clear clustering for species where each node is supported by high bootstrap values. On the other hand, the MHC class I shows at least two lineages supported by 99% bootstrap value. Interestingly, the loggerhead MHC alleles belong to both clusters and showed closer relationship with MHC alleles from other reptiles than within the species- indicating trans-species polymorphism over a large range of reptile species.
Figure 15: A) Neighbor-Joining tree based on the control region of six reptile species; B) Neighbor-Joining tree based on the MHC class I of 5 reptiles and an marine mammal as outgroup. Although A) shows clear separation for species, B) demonstrates trans-species polymorphism of the MHC class I gene in reptiles.
Discussion

In this work, we characterized the genes of the major histocompatibility complex of the endangered loggerhead sea turtles (IUCN 2007). Loggerhead turtles have been confronted to multiple direct or indirect anthropogenic threats menacing genetic diversity – a crucial component of population viability (Sommer 2005). The MHC genes are not only good proxy to estimate genetic diversity but also play important role on the onset of the adaptive immune system and mating strategy. Here, we describe a working protocol for fast high throughput genotyping. We found that, despite their endangered status, turtles from the studied population still display normal level of genetic diversity both at the individual and at the population level. We also found that there seem to be selection for non-random MHC individual allele distribution, suggesting selection for an optimal individual diversity (Reusch et al. 2001). Eventually we show a strong sign of trans-species polymorphism over large range of reptile species.

Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the maintenance of MHC polymorphism, but, given the function of those genes, parasite mediated balancing selection is the most likely (reviewed in Milinski 2006; Piertney and Oliver 2006). The exceptional allelic diversity usually observed in natural populations provides the unique potential to adapt to a given parasite spectrum both in terms of specific alleles as well as in terms of diversity. The 40 sequenced turtles showed up to 4 different MHC alleles. This implies at least one event of duplication or up to four different loci. The number of MHC loci in the genome is restricted (see Nowak et al. 1992) and represents the bottleneck for adaptation. Each individual can express only a limited number of the alleles that are available in the population and consequently can only resist a limited range of pathogens. On an evolutionary time scale, the number of loci within a species is not fixed and may vary over time in a birth-and-death process of gene duplications and deletions (Klein et al. 1993). In response to more diverse parasite communities, the advantage to present (and recognize) a higher number of parasitic antigens might select for haplotypes with additional gene copies, because these enable the expression of a higher number of different MHC alleles. Evidence for varying number of MHC loci between individual haplotypes within and between population within a species exist (e.g. in the stickleback, Lenz et al. 2009). The birth-and-death process of MHC gene evolution has so far mostly been explained in qualitative terms, i.e. genes which carry an
advantageous allele are maintained whereas others are silenced and eventually removed (Nei et al. 1997)

In the loggerhead turtles, the detected alleles also showed high clustering suggesting different lineages to be amplified and probably expressed. MHC alleles frequently cluster into distinct allelic lineages whose origin predates species divergence, a phenomenon also known as trans-species polymorphism (Klein 1986). This phenomenon is generally explained by balancing selection, whereby a large number of alleles is maintained in a population at any given moment in time and therefore, in a speciation event, alleles of different allelic lineages are passed on to each of the diverging new species (Klein et al. 2007). Two alleles from distinct allelic lineages are likely more divergent (i.e., accumulated different mutations) than two alleles from the same lineage. Genotypes with two such alleles are then expected to bind more different parasite derived antigens and should therefore be favored. Consequently, alleles from distinct lineages would be propagated, leading to the maintenance of divergent allelic lineages in the population over long time spans, including speciation events (Klein et al. 2007). Trans-species has already been reported in three related iguana species (Glaberman et al. 2008). Our results show a larger taxonomic range of trans-species polymorphism, from marine turtles to terrestrial iguanas, which may arise from the old phylum represented by reptiles.

It was suggested for several taxa, and demonstrated experimentally for others, that females make their mating decision based on MHC (reviewed in Milinski 2006), including reptiles (Sand lizard (Olsson et al. 2003) and the Sphenodon (Miller et al. 2009)). In the context of sexual selection, only recently it had been taken into account that most vertebrates possess several MHC loci (Reusch et al. 2001). As a result, there are many possible combinations of alleles at different loci. The chances of choosing a partner with identical MHC alleles become very unlikely, because the combination of alleles at multiple loci lowers the likelihood of existing MHC genotypes. In sticklebacks, it was shown that allele distribution at the population reflects MHC-based mate choice when different from random mating (Reusch et al. 2001). In the loggerhead turtle, using a similar approach and assuming identical female and male genotypes, we found lower median than under random mating, suggesting selection for intermediate MHC diversity (Nowak et al. 1992; Woelfing et al. 2009).
Although increased individual MHC diversity is expected to be advantageous (Heterozygous advantage, Doherty and Zinkernagel 1975), it has been suggested to result in a depletion of the mature T-cell repertoire and thereby reduce immunocompetence (Lawlor et al. 1990; Vidović and Matzinger 1988)(Lawlor et al. 1990; Vidović and Matzinger 1988). Therefore, it may be more advantageous to optimize MHC diversity rather than maximize it (Reusch et al. 2001; Wegner et al. 2003). Future studies will have to determine whether or not female loggerhead turtles make their mating decision based on MHC genes. If it is the case, it opens a large field of science, since reptiles represent an old phylogenetic group and would provide great insights about the evolution of mating strategies. Additionally, as seen in chapter I, mate choice and reproductive strategies have the potential to explain female philopatry and the observed genetic structure at neutral markers.

The MHC class I data presented in this chapter can serve as an important launching point for studies of conservation genetics, sexual selection, and disease resistance in the loggerhead turtle. Over the last two decades, the MHC has emerged as an important model system for evaluating the relative influence of natural selection versus drift and migration on the levels of genetic variation in populations (Bernatchez and Landry 2003; Piertney and Oliver 2006). This is important when considering that selection may have its greatest effect on functionally important genes. Additionally, evidence for selection on large migratory marine organism is scarce and the loggerhead turtle could provide new insights.
Final Conclusions

Despite representing one of the largest worldwide rookeries for the loggerhead sea turtle, the Cape Verde Archipelago has received relatively little scientific attention. Genetic diversity is believed to be crucial for the adaptive and evolutionary potential of populations. Endangered species, like the loggerhead turtle, are more prone to extinction due to potential loss of genetic diversity and the consequent weak potential of adaptation (Hoeglund 2009). Major gaps in the understanding of population structure and estimate of neutral and adaptive genetic diversity prevail in the loggerhead species. Thus, in this study we investigated genetic structure across the archipelago using neutral markers, such as the control regions of the mitochondrial DNA and microsatellites and characterized the class I loci of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC).

In order to understand the nature of selection shaping the genetic patterns found in the Cape Verde population, we investigated the demographic history of the loggerhead turtle. Results suggest the rookery to be rather old and of stable population size: The archipelago seems to have been colonized in two distinct waves, the second wave bringing in a very divergent haplotype. Furthermore, based on the observed genetic diversity present in the rookery and comparing it to other major rookeries in the Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea, we propose that Cape Verde has served as a stepping stone towards the colonization of the Mediterranean Sea after the last glaciations.

Another major insight brought by our study suggests that increasing geographical resolution may reveal complex population functioning. At the island level for instance, a genetic bottleneck on the most north western island (S. Vicente) was detected, although not visible at the rookery level. Increasing the geographical complexity further to the beach level showed that both beaches that were sampled on that island went through bottleneck events.

One of those beaches, the Lazareto beach, did not only show a bottleneck, but also significant different set of mtDNA haplotypes compared to all other populations. Such results are signs for the co-existence of two distinct philopatric behaviors of nesting females on Cape Verde: one very accurate strategy, where females return to their natal beach (Lazareto beach in S. Vicente) and one more diverse strategy where females seem
to spread their clutches over different beaches and islands. The evolutionary pressures underlying these two strategies, is a matter of investigation in future studies. Surprisingly, the same Lazareto beach also showed signs of structure on microsatellite markers, indicating reproductive isolation between this beach and the other islands. Therefore, we recommend considering the beach of Lazareto an evolutionary significant unit (Moritz 1994) and implanting special efforts in the survival of this unit. The finding of this conservation unit reduced to a beach scale depicts the importance in sea turtle biology to increase complexity in future investigations.

One evolutionary concept underlying philopatric behavior is adaptation to a local environment (Greenwood 1980). A major challenge in evolutionary biology is to identify relevant ecological pressure and their underlying selected genes (Janeway et al. 2001). A well known example of such a system is the interaction between parasites and the major histocompatibility complex (MHC, reviewed in Milinski 2006). The high degree of polymorphism found in these genes and the known selective pressure, make this system ideal to target question of local adaptation (Eizaguirre et al. 2010). Indeed, the MHC genes have been suggested to play an important role in adaptive population divergence (Eizaguirre et al. 2009). Furthermore, since the MHC function is to resist pathogen pressures, MHC diversity is often correlated to individual fitness and thus long-term survival of species (Hughes and Nei 1988).

Therefore, the successful characterization of the MHC class I of the loggerhead turtle, which allows for fast high throughput genotyping, opens new research directions. First of all, we show that reptiles seem to show a high level of trans-species polymorphism offering great opportunity to further investigate evolution of MHC genes in a phylogenetic old group. Secondly, we also found suggestive evidence for female turtles to not mate randomly with regards to MHC. Further work will need to focus on investigating, whether MHC-based mate choice explains the observed pattern of reproductive isolation between Lazareto and the other populations.

The next step, which could not be addressed within the time frame of this thesis, would be to investigate the potential patterns of local adaptation. Local adaptation could be a strategy of females to transfer adaptive genes efficiently in the local environment to maximize offspring survival.
To conclude, this thesis has served as a threefold stepping stone to i) refine the pattern of colonization of the northern Atlantic by the loggerhead turtles, ii) show large range of trans-species polymorphism across several reptilian taxa for the MHC genes and iii) develop new research directions for investigating female mate choice and pattern of local adaptation in a large migratory marine species.
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## Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’ 3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCM15382</td>
<td>GCTTAACCCTAAAGCATTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H950</td>
<td>GTTCGGGATTTAGGGGTTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-2 F</td>
<td>CCCCCATAACACCACATCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-2 R</td>
<td>AGGTCACAATGGAGCAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-10 F</td>
<td>TCCACATGGGGTGTATGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-10 R</td>
<td>TGCCCCTCTTGGAGAATTTCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-17 F</td>
<td>CCACTGGAAGTCTAAGAAGAGTGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-17 R</td>
<td>GGAATTCAGGGGATTTTGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc2G10 F</td>
<td>CAGTCGGCGTCATCGTGGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GTCAAATACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc2G10 R</td>
<td>GTTTGCCTTATTTGGTCACAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc2H12 F</td>
<td>CAGTCGGCGTCATCGTCTCAG AGTTTTTGACTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc2H12 R</td>
<td>GTTTCCACACCCCTGTTCAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-22 F</td>
<td>CCCCCACTGCTTAAACTTCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-22 R</td>
<td>TATTCACATGCCACAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-17 F</td>
<td>CCACTGGAAGTCTAAGAAGAGTGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc-17 R</td>
<td>GGAATTCAGGGGATTTTGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc7C04 F</td>
<td>GTTTCCAAACAGGAGAAGAACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc7C04 R</td>
<td>CAGTCGGCGTCATCGTCTTCAG AAGTCTCACACAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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